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ABSTRACT

Two brothers with DIDMOAD syndrome are reported. The older brother has diabetes mellitus (type I), diabetes insipidus, optic atrophy, deafness and atonia of the urinary tract with severe symptoms such as diabetic ketoacidosis and frequent urinary tract infections. His younger brother had the same manifestations but with less severity. We report the findings of our two patients and compare them with the frequency of the symptoms in 100 patients from the literature.


INTRODUCTION

DIDMOAD syndrome (Wolfram syndrome) is a rare syndrome. Until 1982 only 100 cases had been reported all over the world. We report two sibs with DIDMOAD syndrome; a 21 year old male with diabetes mellitus (type I), diabetes insipidus, optic atrophy, inner ear deafness and atonia of the efferent urinary tract; and his 14 year old brother with the same problems but with less severe manifestations. Atonia of the urinary tract is not represented in the acronym and was established in both of our patients. We therefore report here the findings of our two patients and compare them with the frequency of symptoms in 100 patients from the literature.

Case report

Case one

A 21 year old male was admitted because of polydipsia and polyuria. He is the product of a normal pregnancy and delivery. The nonconsanguineous parents are healthy. There is no diabetes insipidus, reduction of visual acuity, deafness and atonia of the urinary tract in the family history, but type I diabetes mellitus exists in one of his paternal cousins, and his youngest maternal aunt is mentally retarded (Fig. 1). When the patient was 3 years old, diabetes mellitus was diagnosed. He was receiving lente insulin (Novo Copenhagen) 40 units daily and had several episodes of diabetic ketoacidosis and coma. Fasting blood glucose ranged between 60 mg/dl (3.33 mmol/l) to 540 mg/dl (30 mmol/l) and the mean blood glucose was 190.64 mg/dl (10.59 mmol/l). He had diabetes insipidus since age 14 and had used vasopressin (DDAVP/Desmopressin nose drops). When he receives both insulin and vasopressin he is favorably free of polydipsia and polyuria, and with discontinuation of either insulin or vasopressin, the pertaining symptoms reappear. Visual acuity was markedly diminished in both eyes.

He had colour blindness, indicating primary optic atrophy. Fundoscopy of both eyes revealed bilateral optic atrophy, but no diabetic retinopathy. An audiogram indicated bilateral high tone sensorineural hearing loss (Fig. 2). Urinalysis was positive for sugar and in culture of the urine, E. coli and K. pneumoniae were...
obtained with a colony count of more than 100,000 per mm$^3$. He was treated with several antibiotics. During use of vasopressin, urinary specific gravity was normal; in its absence the specific gravity decreased. On intravenous pyelography (Fig. 3) the renal pelvices, calyces, as well as the ureters were markedly dilated, and the urinary bladder was enlarged, i.e. severe bilateral hydroureteronephrosis. The patient was discharged with 50 units of lente insulin daily, advised to use vasopressin nose drops and perform urinalyses regularly for detection of urinary tract infection.

After the first admission the patient was readmitted three times with chills and fever due to urinary tract infection, most recently in Nov., 1987. The visual acuity on the final admission was severely impaired.

Figure 1. Pedigree of the patients.

Figure 2. The audiogram of patient one, indicating sensorineural hearing loss.

Figure 2. The audiogram of patient one, indicating sensorineural hearing loss.
Case two

A 14-year-old boy, the younger brother of case one (Fig. 1), was admitted because of uncontrolled diabetes mellitus and also for investigation of DIDMOAD syndrome. He had diabetes mellitus since the age of 4 and had three episodes of diabetic ketoacidosis. He received 30 units of lente insulin (Novo Copenhagen). His fasting blood glucose ranged between 81 mg/dl (4.50 mmol/l) and 360 mg/dl (20 mmol/l) and the mean blood glucose (MBG) was 241.7 mg/dl (13.42 mmol/l).
He also had diabetes insipidus. Similar to his brother, when he uses both insulin and vasopressin nose drops, polydipsia and polyuria cease. Vision in both eyes was decreased. He had colour blindness also, again indicating primary optic atrophy.

Fundoscopy of both eyes revealed bilateral optic atrophy but no diabetic retinopathy. Audiogram showed bilateral high tone sensorineural hearing loss (Fig.4). He had glycosuria which was later controlled with lente insulin. Urine culture was negative. On intravenous pyelography (Fig.5), calyces as well as ureters were dilated.

After discharge, the patient had frequent referrals for bouts of urinary tract infection, which were managed favorably. The vision had deteriorated as compared with the first admission.

A third brother, age 17, was admitted and thoroughly investigated. His blood glucose tolerance test, fundoscopy, audiometry, urinalysis and IVP all were within normal limits.

**DISCUSSION**

In 1938, Wolfram first described the presence of juvenile diabetes mellitus and slowly progressive atrophy of the optic nerve in siblings. In 1950, Cooper, et al, pointed out that this syndrome can be associated with diabetes insipidus, atonia and dilatation of the efferent urinary tract and perceptive hearing loss. These authors emphasized an autosomal recessive mode of inheritance for this syndrome, which later came to be called DIDMOAD syndrome, an acronym for Diabetes Insipidus, Diabetes Mellitus, Optic Atrophy and Deafness. Until 1977, ninety eight cases of the DIDMOAD syndrome were reported. In 1982, two more patients were added to the previous reports by Dreyer, et al, and the total cases reached 100.

The frequency of the major symptoms of DIDMOAD syndrome in these cases are tabulated and compared to findings in our patients (Table I).

The onset of the various features of the syndrome is not constant. Type I diabetes mellitus is the most frequent and commonly the first symptom in patients with DIDMOAD syndrome, the average age of onset being 7 years.

Decrease in visual acuity usually remains undetected for sometime and is found in 98% of the patients. It begins in the first two decades of life (mean 11 years) and gradually progresses. Diabetic cataract reported by one author is a rare ocular finding. If optic
Table 1. Incidence of different symptoms found in 100 reported cases of DIDMOAD Syndrome as compared with our patients F.V. and V.V.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Incidence reviewed in 100 cases</th>
<th>F.V.</th>
<th>V.V.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optic atrophy</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deafness (or abnormal audiogram)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atonia of the urinary tract</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes insipidus</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Atrophy is recognized in a diabetic patient, audiometry and intravenous pyelography should be performed. Optic atrophy however may be the presenting feature, but it is not associated with retinitis pigmentosa as is the case in other hereditary syndromes e.g. Laurence-Moon-Biedl syndrome. The optic atrophy is of the primary type, early cases showing only loss of blue appreciation while more advanced cases have complete color blindness with severe loss of visual acuity. Diabetes insipidus develops in the first three decades of life (mean age 14 years), and is reported to be vasopressin-sensitive. As vasopressin is elaborated by the cells of the supraoptic hypothalamic nuclei, the diabetes insipidus must represent a progressive degeneration of these hypothalamic cells or of the supraoptic-hypophyseal tract.

In 48% of the patients, inner ear hearing loss, mainly of high frequencies was detected often without subjective complaints. This symptom starts in the first three decades of life (mean 16 years) and progresses but only a few patients become completely deaf. Atonia of the efferent urinary tract is more frequent than diabetesinsipidus. Many of the reported cases have had urinary tract abnormalities ranging from atonic bladder to hydronephrosis and hydrouretre.

It seems difficult to relate the symptoms of this autosomal recessively inherited syndrome to a single pathogenic mechanism. However a progressive degeneration of basal ganglia could be a common denominator. An interesting hypothesis on the relation between central nervous system disturbances and diabetes emphasizing the role of enkephalins was proposed by Pyke. This points to the DIDMOAD syndrome as a most interesting, genetic model of a pathogenic principle in diabetes which awaits further clarification.

The long term prognosis of a patient with DIDMOAD syndrome is determined by complications of diabetes mellitus and renal failure in consequence of atonia of the efferent urinary tract associated with repeated urinary infections. For this reason, the urinary tract should be kept carefully under observation in patients with diabetes mellitus and optic atrophy. Like others, we also believe under clinical and genetic aspects that this syndrome of diabetes insipidus (D1), diabetes mellitus (DM), optic atrophy (OA), deafness (D) and atonia of the efferent urinary tract (AU), is not adequately designated by enumerating only four symptoms (DIDMOAD) instead of five (DIDMOADAU).
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