Well differentiated liposarcoma of spermatic cord: report of 3 rare cases
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Abstract
Spermatic cord liposarcomas are very rare tumors. Patients usually present with painless growing scrotal swellings which are clinically misdiagnosed as hernia. The correct diagnosis is not common and usually they present as operative or histological surprises. To our knowledge, there are about 186 similar cases reported in the literature. Herein we report three cases of spermatic cord liposarcoma with clinical presentation of scrotal bulging, mimicking inguinal hernia in one case and resembling a testicular tumor in the other two cases. The patients were operated and all of them underwent radical orchiectomy and tumor resection.

Keywords: Spermatic cord, Liposarcoma, Hernia, Pathology.


Introduction
Soft tissue tumors of spermatic cord including spermatic cord liposarcomas are very rare tumors (1-2). Patients usually present with painless growing scrotal swellings. Most of them are clinically misdiagnosed as hernia (2-3). Based on the literature review, there are about 186 cases of reported liposarcomas in the English literature (4). Liposarcoma of spermatic cord usually occurs in older age men and rarely in young patients (1,5). There is an increased incidence of this cancer in Japanese (nearly one fourth of all reported cases) but the cancer has a worldwide distribution (1). Benign lesions constitute about 80% of all spermatic cord tumors in young boys and mostly originate from lipomatous tissue. Liposarcomas are the most common paratesticular tumors in adults. Despite the fact that lipomatous neoplasms are the most common paratesticular neoplasms, they play minor role in the spermatic cord malignancies, comprising only approximately 5% to 7% of all spermatic cord sarcomas (6). Most cases are well differentiated liposarcomas. Myxoid and mixed myxoid/round cell liposarcomas are rare (7). Clinical evaluation may be inaccurate and they should be differentiated from inguinal hernia, hydrocele and chronic epididymitis. The correct diagnosis is not common and usually they present as operative or histo-
logical surprises. The findings of ultrasound examination of liposarcoma are variable and non-specific (8). In contrast to testicular masses, ultrasound examination is less informative for paratesticular sarcomas. An ultrasound examination may help in confirming the consistency of the mass and the status of testes and the cord. CT scan has been found to be useful, as liposarcomas are of low density and can be well-demarcated. There are no pathognomonic features for the differentiation of benign versus malignant masses defined in the literature (1). Use of MRI provides good information on the local situation.

Histological grade and presence or absence of metastasis are used to determine staging of these malignances (9). Spermatic cord liposarcomas are treated by surgery in the form of radical high orchiectomy from as close to the deep ring as possible (1, 10). Adjunctive radiotherapy is recommended in cases with positive margin, relapse, lymphatic invasion and high grade tumors (11-12). The role of chemotherapy is not definite and most of the recommendations are for high grade tumors (1, 13-15). No distant metastasis but common local recurrences have been reported (16-17).

**Case Report**

The first case was a 63 year old man who complained of progressive scrotal swelling aggravated by physical activity since one year prior to admission. General physical exams and laboratory tests were normal. Clinically on genital examination, there was a very soft nontender left hemiscrotal enlargement resembling an indirect inguinal hernia. Ultrasound study revealed a 50x100 mm heterogenous soft tissue content in the left hemiscrotum with limited motility during Valsalva maneuver suggestive of an incarcerated omental hernia or lipoma. At the time of surgery, the cord and left hemiscrotum were occupied by a soft tissue mass with grossly abnormal heterogenous adipose containing appearance which gradually tapered into normal spermatic cord at the proximal 3 centimeters of the inguinal canal leading into the internal ring. There was no palpable adenopathy or adherence to local tissue planes outside the spermatic fascia. Left radical orchiectomy was hence performed. Macroscopic examination showed a large encapsulated soft mass measuring 10x10x5 cm which surrounded the left spermatic cord and was inseparable from it. On cutting, it was yellow soft with areas of fish fleshy consistency. Adequate sampling from each centimeter of mass was performed. The histological examination of H&E slides revealed a neoplastic tissue, composed of adipocytes including some atypical ones and scant lipoblasts showing peripherally or haphazardly arranged nuclei and vacuolated cytoplasm (Fig. 1). Mitotic activity was mild and necrosis was absent. Immuno-histochemical stainings for MDM2 and S-100 protein were focally positive. The diagnosis was well differentiated liposarcoma of spermatic cord.

The second patient was a 37 year old man who presented with left hemiscrotal swell-
For a period of 7 months without any pain or lymphadenopathy. Physical examination showed an irregular and firm mass in superior portion of left testis. Clinical impression was testicular tumor. Laboratory tests including serum tumor markers were within normal limits. Ultrasound study revealed an echogenic mass measuring 6.8x3.2 cm adjacent to left testis. MRI study, displayed two masses approximately 8x7 and 8x6 cm. The former was heterogeneous and suspicious for malignancy and the latter displayed fat density. This patient also underwent left radical orchiectomy and tumor resection. Gross examination revealed two lobulated ovaloid masses measuring 9x7x6 (Figs. 2 and 3) and 8x6x6 cm which compressed the spermatic cord but did not invade into testis or epididymis. Cut sections of both masses were similar and creamy yellow soft to fish fleshy. Microscopic examination of both samples detected well differentiated liposarcomas of spermatic cord with the above mentioned histology (Fig. 4).

The third case was a 75 year old man who presented with a left scrotal mass for several months without pain or lymphadenopathy. He had history of inguinal hernia in the same side and previously had undergone herniorrhaphy. Laboratory tests including serum tumor markers were within normal limits. Ultrasound study revealed an echogenic mass measuring 16x.8x6cm, adjacent to left testis. This patient also underwent left radical orchiectomy. Gross examination revealed a large lobulated soft mass 16.5x.8.5x6cm, surrounded by a thin capsule. Cut sections of the mass in most areas were yellowish soft but creamy irregular areas were also present. The tumor was grossly 3 cm away from spermatic cord margin. Microscopic examination of the mass was also well differentiated liposarcoma.

The margin of resection was free from tumor in all patients and subsequent abdominopelvic CT scan for assessment of retroperitoneal involvement was also negative. Therefore no adjuvant radiotherapy or chemotherapy was administrated for them. Two of the patients remained disease free over a three years’ follow up period leading to the time of this report. The third one was also disease free for nine months up to the time of this report.

**Discussion**

Liposarcoma of the spermatic cord mostly presents in elderly patients (1, 5). Two of our cases were also presented in age of old-
er than 60 years.

Well differentiated liposarcoma is the most common histologic subtype of this malignancy that was found in all three of our cases (7). All of the three presented patients were clinically misdiagnosed at the time of presentation, one of them as an inguinal hernia and the two others as scrotal masses. Diagnostic procedures are not so specific for evaluation of spermatic cord liposarcoma (1). Ultrasound study was not helpful in our cases. Histological examination often gives an end to this diagnostic dilemma. Our cases were also finally diagnosed with the help of histological evaluation. Wide resection was performed for our cases that is the primary treatment. Local recurrence has been reported that may occur several years following surgical operation, so the patients should be followed up for a long period of time (16-17). None of our cases received adjunctive radiotherapy as they did not have positive margin, relapse, lymphatic invasion or high grade tumors.
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