Influence of MTHFR gene variations on perceived stress modification: Preliminary results of NURSE study
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Abstract

Background: High level of perceived stress in nurses is due to a genetic predisposition and environmental stressors. The aim of NURSE (Nursing Unacquainted Related Stress Etiologies) study was to investigate the association of C677T MTHFR gene polymorphism and stress perception among nurses.

Methods: In this comprehensive study, 216 female nurses were recruited. Perceived stress was assessed using the Cohen Perceived Stress Scale (PSS). Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood, and MTHFR genotype was detected by the polymerase chain reaction.

Results: MTHFR C677T genotype analysis revealed that half of the participants had normal C/C genotype, and the remaining half presented higher frequencies of C/T genotype (39.8%) compared to T/T genotype (10.2%). The mean±SD stress score in morning shift, night shift, and rotation was 15.39±4.75, 15.92±4.94, and 15.83±5.61, respectively (p=0.7). Perceived stress score was more in highly educated group but it was not significant (p=0.2). Distribution of different MTHFR genotypes in diverse groups revealed that in groups with more stress score, the frequency of heterozygote (C/T) and homozygote (T/T) genotypes increased. Data revealed that in low stress category, 87% of the participants had a normal genotype. However, in high stress category, 71.3% of the participants had a normal genotype.

Conclusion: MTHFR genotype, independent of folate availability and probable confounding parameters, might be a potential risk factor of perceived stress among nurses.
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Introduction

Occupational stress is a major hazard of the modern workplace, which can occur by incongruity between job demand and individual’s reservoir to carry the burden (1). Job overload, downsizing, extended working hours, argumentative work environments, and shift working are a few of the many causes of stressful working conditions (2). Hospital staff members and health care workers are exposed to high levels of perceived stress (3). Among health care workers, nurses are one of the highly stressed hospital staff members who face significant challenges (4).

High level of perceived stress has been reported in more than 50% of respondent nurses, which represents a significant health problem for nurses, and in turn to the health organization (5-6).

Workload, overtime, personal responsibility, insufficient pay, and conflict with patients’ relatives, physicians

↑What is “already known” in this topic:
High level of perceived stress in nurses is due to a genetic predisposition and environmental stressors. Previous studies investigated the relationship between occupational stress and some biochemical and immunological parameters and the results revealed that hyperhomocysteinaemia (HHcy) has been shown to be an independent risk factor of several primary and secondary disorders of methionine metabolism.

→What this article adds:
The present research provided that the 677TT MTHFR genotype, independent of folate availability and probable confounding parameters, might be a potential risk factor for perceived stress among nurses.
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The aim and objective of NURSE study was to investigate the association of C677T MTHFR gene polymorphism with stress perception among nurses.

Methods
Participants
In a comprehensive study with the aim of investigating health condition in nurses, 216 female nurses were referred by the matron in cooperation with nursing council. Nurses were recruited from 5 different educational hospitals of Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS) in which the first phase of the study was conducted.

The following inclusion criteria were used for entry into the study: female gender, age 22 to 70 years, and a minimum of 6 months job experience. Exclusion criteria were as follow: personal or familial history of major psychiatric disorders, neurological problems, mental retardation, or metabolic diseases. In addition, any participants who had incurable diseases or history of psychotic disorders and a positive history of smoking were excluded from the study.

After semi-structured meetings, which were conducted for verbal explanation of the project to the participants, they were asked to complete a self-administered questionnaire including demographic information, educational level, and types of work shift. Educational level was classified as Bachelor’s science degree (Bs), Master’s degree (Ms), and Doctorate Degree.

Shift work schedule for nurses in Iran is defined as below: morning shift: 7:30 AM to 2 PM, afternoon shift: 2 PM to 7:30 PM, and evening shift: 7:30 PM to 7:30 AM. In the current study, afternoon shift and evening shift workers were named as night shift workers. Rotation nurses worked a forward rotation schedule: one morning (7:30 AM – 2 PM), one afternoon (2 PM - 7:30 PM), and one evening shift (7:30 PM - 7:30 AM), followed by 2 days off.

Perceived Stress Scale
Perceived stress was assessed using the Cohen Perceived Stress Scale (PSS). PSS is a widely-used psychological instrument, consisting of 10 items, which measures the perception of stress based on the questions asking about feelings and thoughts over the last month. The items in the PSS are considered as unpredictable, uncontrollable, and overloaded. In each case, respondents were asked how often they felt a certain way. The responses were scored 0 (never), 1 (almost never), 2 (sometimes), 3 (fairly often), or 4 (very often). The total score ranges from 0 to 40, with higher scores indicating greater degree of perceived stress. Studies of human social stress and health outcomes use the PSS-10, which has good reliability (α ranges from 0.84 to 0.86) (38).

After being informed of the procedures, all participants who signed the informed consent were enrolled in this study. Study protocols were approved by the Ethics Committees of the Hospital District of TUMS, Matron Council, and Nursing Directory.

Genotyping of the MTHFR Gene Variant
Four milliliters of blood was collected in tubes contain-
Statistical Analysis
Data were analyzed using SPSS 19 to obtain Mean±SD values; Student’s t test, analysis of variance, and chi-square tests were used as appropriate. Student’s t test and analysis of variance were used to determine differences in means, and chi-square test was used to assess intergroup significance. Effect sizes were expressed as odds ratios with 95% confidence interval s. The level of significance was set at p< 0.05.

Results
A total of 216 participants were recruited for this study. The demographic characteristics of the participants are demonstrated in Table 1. Based on marriage status, the participants were categorized into 3 groups as single (30.1%; n= 65), married (69.4%; n= 150), and others (0.5%; n= 1). Based on educational level, participants were classified as having a BS degree (94.5%; n= 204), MS (2.3%; n= 5), and a doctorate degree (3.2%; n= 7). Shift works were scheduled as morning shifts (39.8%; n= 86), night shifts (24.5%; n= 53), and rotation shifts (15% of the total PCR products.

Marital status was considered as another confounding factor. In this aspect, stress score was measured in 2 different groups of the married and the single. Mean±SD stress score was 15.59±5.02 in the married group and 15.90±5.34 in the single. There was no significant difference between the 2 groups (p= 0.67).

Table 1 demonstrates the selected characteristics of the participants and stress score with respect to MTHFR genotype polymorphisms. According to Table 1, there was no significant difference in age, height, weight, BMI, marital status, educational level, and shifting work in diverse MTHFR genotype polymorphisms. As there was no difference in the mentioned probable confounding factors among the 3 MTHFR genotypes, the association between stress score and MTHFR polymorphisms was determined. Figure 1 compares stress score with respect to MTHFR genotypes.

Table 1. Characteristic of nurses by genotype for the MTHFR Polymorphism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Total (n=216)</th>
<th>Normal (C/C) (n=108)</th>
<th>Heterozygote (C/T) (n=86)</th>
<th>Homozygote (T/T) (n=22)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>34.92±6.35</td>
<td>35.72±6.86</td>
<td>33.72±2.84</td>
<td>35.68±5.07</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>160.14±6.51</td>
<td>160±6.61</td>
<td>159.35±6.5</td>
<td>161±6.01</td>
<td>0.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>66.37±11.96</td>
<td>70.57±56.13</td>
<td>62.33±9.89</td>
<td>65.36±7.19</td>
<td>0.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>24.99±3.83</td>
<td>25.29±4.1</td>
<td>24.99±3.83</td>
<td>24.57±3.87</td>
<td>0.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married (%)</td>
<td>69.4%(150)</td>
<td>73.1%(79)</td>
<td>62.8%(54)</td>
<td>77.3%(17)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bs*degree (%)</td>
<td>92.6%(200)</td>
<td>92.6%(100)</td>
<td>91.9%(79)</td>
<td>95.5%(21)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night Shift (%)</td>
<td>24.5%(53)</td>
<td>25.9%(28)</td>
<td>23.3%(20)</td>
<td>22.7%(5)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as mean±SD
BMI=Body Mass Index
*Bs=Bachelor’s Degree

As diversity in working shifts seemed a confounding factor on stress score, the score was analyzed in different shift groups. The mean±SD stress score in the morning, night, and rotation shifts was 15.39±4.75, 15.92±4.94, and 15.83±5.61, respectively. The difference in stress score was not significant among the 3 groups (p= 0.7).

Marital status was considered as another confounding factor. In this aspect, stress score was measured in 2 different groups of the married and the single. Mean±SD stress score was 15.59±5.02 in the married group and 15.90±5.34 in the single. There was no significant difference between the 2 groups (p= 0.67).

Based on educational level, participants were classified into 2 groups as participants with BS degree and participants holding a degree higher than BS. Mean±SD stress score was 15.57±5.08 in the group with BS degree and 17.06±5.32 in the group with higher than BS degree. Although the perceived stress score was higher in the highly educated group, the difference was not significant between the 2 mentioned groups (p= 0.2).

Figure 2 displays the distribution of different MTHFR genotypes in diverse groups categorized based on stress. The figure reveals that in groups with more stress score, the frequency of heterozygote (C/T) and homozygote (T/T) groups; however, the difference was not significant (p= 0.3). For further evaluation, stress score was classified into 5 different categories as mentioned in a previous study. Group 1 had the least and Group 5 the highest stress score.

Table 1 demonstrates the selected characteristics of the participants and stress score with respect to MTHFR genotype polymorphisms. According to Table 1, there was no significant difference in age, height, weight, BMI, marital status, educational level, and shifting work in diverse MTHFR genotype polymorphisms. As there was no difference in the mentioned probable confounding factors among the 3 MTHFR genotypes, the association between stress score and MTHFR polymorphisms was determined. Figure 1 compares stress score with respect to MTHFR genotypes.

Figure 1 shows that stress score in the normal (C/C) group was lower than that of homozgyote (T/T) and heterozygote (C/T) groups; however, the difference was not significant (p= 0.3). For further evaluation, stress score was classified into 5 different categories as mentioned in a previous study. Group 1 had the least and Group 5 the highest stress score.

Figure 2 displays the distribution of different MTHFR genotypes in diverse groups categorized based on stress. The figure reveals that in groups with more stress score, the frequency of heterozygote (C/T) and homozygote (T/T) genotypes increased. There was no (T/T) homozygote genotype in Group 1 with the least stress score, while more than 60% of (T/T) homozygotes were in Groups 4 and 5, with the highest stress score. In the next step, participants were classified into 2 major groups as followed based on their MTHFR genotype: Group 1 included normal (C/C genotype) participants and Group 2 included...
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Polymorphic individuals carrying polymorphic allele (C/T and T/T genotypes).

Furthermore, we classified perceived stress score in 2 categories as low stress defined as stress score < 3 and high stress defined as stress score ≥ 3. Data revealed that in the low stress category, 87% of the participants had a normal genotype. However, in the high stress category, 71.3% of the participants had a normal genotype. The results also supported a relative risk of 2.21 (95% CI: 1.18–1.88), which means that the risk of stress in participants carrying the polymorphic allele genotype was more than 2 folds. Thus, work-related confounders such as work shift, marital status, and educational level did not affect the strength of association.

Discussion

Insight into perceived stress has recently been focused and complemented by growing evidence on its biological mechanism and underlying pathways (39). A wealth of research indicates that perceived physiological stress may lead to various physical and mental disorders through indirect effects on health behaviors and direct effects on neuroendocrine and immune pathways (40). Concern over problems associated with perceived stress and the mechanisms leading to diverse diseases has fostered interest in different involving biochemical and immunological parameters.

In this regard, the effect of perceived stress on some biochemical and immunological factors such as cortisol, inflammatory cytokines, C-reactive protein (CRP) level, and homocysteine were evaluated (15). In the recent years, homocysteine has become a subject of great interest due to its implication as a risk factor for cardiovascular diseases (41), psoriasis (42), renal insufficiency (43), spina bifida (44), multiple sclerosis (45), and cognitive disorders (46).

Although numerous studies have focused on the association of physical disorders with homocysteine level, only very few investigations of psychiatric diseases and homocysteine level exist. In this aspect, association of homocysteine level with increased anger, anxiety, and depression has been reported in clinical studies (29, 47), whereas data regarding homocysteine level and behavioral stress are sparse (48).

As it was demonstrated that MTHFR 677CT polymorphisms in homocysteine/methionine pathway result in HHcy, many studies suggest that these variants may play a role in common psychiatric disorders (36, 49-50). According to the mentioned issues, the current study examined the role of MTHFR C677T polymorphisms on perceived stress.

The results of the present study revealed that individuals with 677TT genotype, which may lead to HHY, are predisposed to higher stress perception as compared to those with 677CT and 677CC genotypes. In addition, the risk of stress perception was approximately 2.2 folds more in participants bearing the TT genotype than those with the 2 other genotypes. Previous studies corroborated the important role of HHcy on perceived stress by expressing HHcy and perceived stress as 2 independent risk factors for eliciting cardiovascular diseases (48, 51).

In this aspect, Kang et al. found a higher level of homocysteine in ship yard workers with high level of job strain compared to low level (11.2 Vs 10.8), however, the difference was not significant (48). Martin et al. who mentioned long working hours and shift work as job stressors, found higher levels of plasma homocysteine among long-haul bus drivers than the control group (18.57 Vs 9.43 μM) (17).

Because shift work system leads to fatigue by disrupting the circadian rhythms, sleep problems and anxiety, shift working nurses may be exposed more to higher levels of stress compared to morning shift nurses (52). Thus, different studies have underlined the higher risk of stress exposure, lower work satisfaction, and health problem among night and shift health care workers (53-54).

Association of shift working and major chronic diseases including cancer and cardiovascular disease (CVD) has been reported by previous studies (55). In the present study, perceived stress was slightly higher in night shifts (15.92±4.94) and rotation shifts (15.83±5.61) compared to morning shifts (15.39±4.75); however, the difference was
Therefore, it could be concluded that C677T MTHFR polymorphisms correlate with perceived stress, regardless of the effect of shift work. In addition to shift work, we examined other possible confounding or mediating factors such as educational level and marital status in the analyses.

In the present study, high level of education had a positive impact on more perception of stress, but the correlation was not statistically significant. The result of the current study was compatible with that of the Lee et al. study, which showed higher levels of perceived stress in nurses with higher levels of education (5). On the contrary, Feizi et al., who analyzed the impact of some predictive variables on levels of perceived stress among approximately 4500 Iranian people, found that higher levels of education were negatively associated with perceived stress, measured by Goldberg General Health Questionnaire (GHQ) questionnaire (56).

The discrepancy between studies on educational level and stress perception could be explained by the fact that on the one hand, higher levels of education could lead to higher income and socioeconomic status, which may lead to lower perception of stress (57); and on the other hand, high educational achievement follows an occupation with more responsibilities and job strain, which may lead to a higher level of stress perception.

Likewise, regarding the association between marital status and perceived stress, the current study revealed a slightly higher level of perceived stress in single respondent nurses compared to the married, which was in contrast to Feizi et al. results (56). The discrepancy between the 2 studies may be due to different physiological instrument measuring the perceived stress.

Therefore, the results of the current study revealed that significant interrelationships occurred among perceived stress and C677T polymorphisms even when the mentioned confounding factors were adjusted for in a multiple regression analysis.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, our data suggest that the 677TT MTHFR genotype, independent of folate availability and probable confounding parameters, might be a potential risk factor for perceived stress among nurses. As a result, special attention should be devoted to stressful occupations such as nursing with particularly homozygote T/T and heterozygote C/T MTHFR genotype. Therefore, the results of the present study can be interpreted as a useful assessment to identify the individuals with higher risk of stress perception.

To our knowledge, no previous studies were published demonstrating the association between MTHFR genotype and stress perception. The results of the study has highlighted the importance of genetic influence on stress perception, however, it deserves further investigation in the aspect of MTHFR enzyme, folate level, and B12 in perceived stress.
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