The safety and effectiveness of the current treatment regimen with or without roflumilast in advanced COPD patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Saeideh Jafari Andarian¹, Alireza Olyaeemanesh*², Seyed Alireza Hosseini³, Ali Akbari Sari⁴ Shahram Firoozbakhsh⁵, Mojtaba Nouhi Jadesi⁶, Mohammadreza Mobinizadeh⁷

Received: 8 September 2015

Accepted: 9 November 2015

Published: 20 February 2016

Abstract

Background: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a chronic respiratory disease, which reduces the lung function and causes respiratory symptoms over time, and it is primarily associated with shortness of breath, cough and sputum production. Roflumilast, which is a long-acting selective inhibitor, reduces the anti-inflammatory effect of the main symptoms of COPD. The aim of this study was to compare the clinical effectiveness of adding roflumilast to the current treatment regimen of patients with severe COPD.

Methods: To retrieve the marker studies, medical databases were searched up to February 2014. We included studies, which compared the clinical effectiveness and safety of roflumilast as concomitant to Long-acting β_2 -agonist/Long-acting muscarinic antagonist (LABA/LAMA) regimen, in adult patients with severe COPD. The number of exacerbations, changes in the lung function FEV1, FEV1/FVC and quality of life were the major predefined outcomes. Meta-analysis of outcomes was performed by the RevMan software, with $l^2 > 50\%$, representing considerable heterogeneity.

Results: Seven randomized controlled trials and two systematic reviews were included. In terms of safety, participants were likely to experience more side effects from roflumilast compared to placebo, particularly gastrointestinal effects (diarrhea, nausea, vomiting), headache and weight loss. There was no significant difference in the risk of cardiac complications or flu-like symptoms or upper respiratory tract infection in the two groups. In terms of effectiveness, only a small improvement was observed in SGRQ (St George's Respiratory Questionnaire) index. Roflumilast reduced moderate to severe attacks, and caused significant improvements in the lung function regardless of the severity of the disease and the concurrent use of other standard COPD therapies.

Conclusion: Roflumilast anti-inflammatory therapy reduces the chronic bronchitis symptoms in patients with moderate to severe COPD, and it can be safely used with other drugs simultaneously.

Keywords: Roflumilast, COPD, Safety, Effectiveness.

Cite this article as: Jafari Andarian S, Olyaeemanesh A, Hosseini SA, Akbari Sari A, Firoozbakhsh Sh, Nouhi Jadesi M, Mobinizadeh M. The safety and effectiveness of the current treatment regimen with or without roflumilast in advanced copd patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Med J Islam Repub Iran* 2016 (20 February). Vol. 30:332.

Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is one of the most common lung disorders in adults (1-3). COPD is a progressive and chronic respiratory disease (4)

with decreased lung function and respiratory symptoms over time such as primarily shortness of breath, cough and sputum production (5) which ultimately lead to limited activities and lower quality of life (6-8).

¹. MSc Student, HTA, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ja.saeideh@gmail.com

². (Corresponding author) Assistant Professor, National Institute of Health Research, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. arolyaee@yahoo.com

³. PhD, Office for Clinical Trials, Food and Drug Organization, Tehran, Iran. hosseini.reza7@gmail.com

⁴. Associate Professor, Department of Health Management and Economics, and Knowledge Utilization Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. akbarisari@tums.ac.ir

⁵. Associate Professor, Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. shafirus@yahoo.com

⁶. PhD Student in Health Economics, Department of Health Economics, School of Management and Information Sciences, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. mojtabanouhi@gmail.com

⁷. Young Researchers and Elites Club, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran. mobinreza@yahoo.com

COPD is more common in seventh and eighth decades of life and has a low prevalence in people less than 40 years of age. It shows a higher prevalence in those with low socioeconomic status (SES), and it is directly correlated with rates of smoking and air pollution (9). In Iran, it accounts for about 10% of the causes of mortality and morbidity (9). According to the statistics in Iran (1387), of the country's total population of 70 million, 7 million suffer from respiratory diseases, with the prevalence of 25-30% (10), and many of them referred to emergency departments. WHO estimates that COPD is the fourth or fifth most common cause of mortality worldwide (11). Moreover, it is estimated to be promoted to the third cause of mortality in the world by 2020 (9). Currently, there is no cure for COPD (12). There is no intervention to reduce the mortality caused by COPD, except for quitting smoking (13), non-drug treatments such as pulmonary rehabilitation (14) and oxygen therapy in hypoxic patients (11). Disease control is comprised of interventions for smoking cessation (15), drug treatment, training and pulmonary rehabilitation (11). Drug treatment aims to relieve symptoms, improve exercise tolerance (16), promote quality of life, decelerate reduction of the lung function and even improve it, and to prevent and treat attacks. Attacks in COPD patients disturb their quality of life. In addition, the huge economic burden of COPD is attributed to the cost of attacks control (11). Drugs are frequently used to manage COPD as recommended by the World Health Authority (WHO) and GOLD include β 2-agonists such as salbutamol and salmeterol, and anti-cholinergic agents (17). Although these drugs have proved to reduce exacerbations and symptoms, there is little evidence to suggest they can reduce the progression of this disease (17). There are no medications to cure inflammation. PDE4 inhibitors provide a novel approach for the treatment of COPD (18). Roflumilast (Daxas) is the most promising compound in pre-clinical and clinical development. It is a long-acting

selective inhibitor, which reduces the main symptoms of COPD through its antiinflammatory effect. The medicine is prescribed as a 500µg pill once a day (19). The aim of this study was to compare the effects of a common treatment regimen with/ without roflumilast on COPD patients.

Objectives

1. To evaluate the safety of roflumilast compared to the current treatment regimen used for advanced COPD patients; the medical side effects of this drug include diarrhea, headache and nausea.

2. To examine the effectiveness of roflumilast compared to the current treatment regimen used for advanced COPD patients by observing the changes in FEV1

Research Questions

1- How is the status of safety (drugs' side effects) of roflumilast compared to common treatment regimen in patients with advanced COPD?

2- How is the status of efficacy (FEV1, reducing attacks) of roflumilast compared to the current treatment regimen in patients with advanced COPD?

Methods

To retrieve the studies that compared the clinical effectiveness of roflumilast with salmeterol, tiotropium and salmeterol/ fluticasone. searched we PubMed. Cochrane, CRD, Scopus, IranMedex, Web of Science, and CINHAL. In addition, a hand search of respiratory journals and meeting abstracts was done up to February 2014. Finally, the search was performed in Google scholar (Table 3). The literature was also examined. In this search, MeSH and Free texts were used. To avoid publication bias, extensive search was done without any language restrictions although the articles were mostly in English (Table 4). We reviewed the reference lists of all the primary trials and review articles for additional references. To obtain the results of the ongoing studies, clinicaltrials.gov websites were searched.

S. Jafari Andarian, et al.

Table 1. Inclusion criteria used in roflumilast-study selection											
PICO	Clinical effectiveness										
Population	Moderate to severe COPD patients (FEV1 \leq 50% predicted) over 18 years										
Interventions	Roflumilast										
Comparition	A Common Treatment Regimen: Laba (Salmeterol), Lama (Tiotropium).										
Outcomes The number of exacerbations, changes in lung function FEV1, FEV1 / FVC, quality of life, adva events, the frequency of hospitalization, cardiovascular diseases											
Study design	Systematic review, randomised controlled trial										
	Table 2. Exclusion criteria used in roflumilast-study selection										
Population	Patients who did not have COPD; healthy subjects; patients with asthma										
Study Pharmacokinetics or cost effectiveness studies; Studies with poor perform outside the natural environment (in vitro)											
Language restrictions Non-English language											

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Adults older than 18 years of age with COPD, as defined by the American Thoracic Society, European Respiratory Society or GOLD, with an airflow obstruction evident by spirometry, with post-bronchodilator FEV1/FVC ≤ 0.7 (20) were included in the study.

Interventions that compared outcomes in participants who received placebo and treatment of concomitant oral roflumilast (LABA and LAMA) were examined.

Primary outcomes included changes in the lung function from baseline including forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1), forced vital capacity (FVC) and COPD exacerbations.

Secondary outcomes were as follows: The

incidence of quality of life e.g., the total score on St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ); symptoms (breathlessness on Borg and other scales and Shortness of Breath Questionnaire; composite measures (summary symptom score)); adverse effects (number of participants experiencing one or more adverse event e.g., gastrointestinal, central nervous system (CNS) and cardiovascular adverse events, and changes in weight, and withdrawal rates); and serious adverse events and mortality. Such research included the systematic review of the studies (Systematic Review) and RCTs in which the oral administration of placebo, compared to treatment with roflumilast (LABA and LAMA), was done simultaneously (Table 1 and 2).

Table 3. Summarizes the number of papers and articles on the site entering the final stage of the synthesis

le 3.	Summarizes the number	of papers and articles on the site entering	ig the final stage of the synth
	81	Pubmed	Databases
	20	Cochrane	
	3	CRD	
	21	Thoracic	
	33	Clinical Trial	
	11	Controlled-trial	
	3	Chestnet	
	2	Informahealth care	
	6	Pulsus	
	1008	Scholar google	
	1118	Total of entered	EndNote software
	63	Repeated studies	
	1125	The remaining studies	
	1125	Documents of Title and Abstract	Title and Abstract
	1100	Unrelated documents	
	25	The remaining titles and Abstract	
	16	Out studies for a reason	
	5	Ongoing trials	
	9	Full text	Trials entered into
			the Quality Survey

3

Databases	Table 4. How to find clinical effectiveness studies Keywords	N. finding							
Databases	Copd or coad or pulmonary disease, chronic obstructive or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or chronic airway disorder or chronic airway limitation or chronic obstructive respiratory	<u>773252</u>							
PubMed	disease or chronic obstructive lung disease or pulmonary disease Roflumilast or daxas or daliresp or phosphodisterase4 or phosphodisterase 4 or pde4 or pde 4 or pde four or "phosphodisterase 4*" or "pde4*"								
	tiotropium or spiriva or fluticasone or flixotide or fluticasone salmeterol or advair or seretide or salmeterol or serevent or ICS or inhaled corticosteroid* or LAMA or long acting muscarinic receptor antagonist or long acting muscarinic antagonist or LABA or long acting* agonist or long acting beta-adrenoceptor agonist or long acting beta agonist or long acting*								
	Randomized controlled trial or controlled clinical trial or randomized or clinical trial or random- ly or trial or systematic review or placebo	<u>3011066</u>							
	Total Pulmonary disease or chronic obstructive lung disease or chronic obstructive respiratory disease: ti, ab, kw or chronic airway limitation or chronic airway disorder or chronic obstructive pulmo- nary disease or pulmonary disease, chronic obstructive: ti, ab, kw or Copd or coad: ti, ab, kw (Word variations have been searched)	<u>81</u> <u>13725</u>							
Cochrane	Phosphodisterase4 or phosphodisterase 4 or pde4 or pde 4 or pde four or "phosphodisterase 4*" or "pde4*":ti, ab, kw or roflumilast or daxas or daliresp: ti, ab, kw (Word variations have been searched)	<u>266</u>							
	Tiotropium or spiriva or fluticasone or flixotide or fluticasone salmeterol or advair or seretide or salmeterol or serevent: ti,ab,kw or ICS or inhaled corticosteroid* or LAMA or long acting muscarinic receptor antagonist or long acting muscarinic antagonist or LABA or long acting* agonist or long acting beta-adernoceptor agonist or long acting beta agonist or long acting*:ti, ab,								
	kw (Word variations have been searched) Randomized controlled trial or controlled clinical trial or randomized or clinical trial or random- ly or trial or systematic review or placebo	<u>500687</u>							
	Total Copd or coad or pulmonary disease, chronic obstructive or chronic obstructive pulmonary dis- ease or chronic airway disorder or chronic airway limitation or chronic obstructive respiratory	$\frac{\underline{20}}{\underline{E}.\underline{E}=1}$							
	disease or chronic obstructive lung disease or pulmonary disease								
CRD	Phosphodisterase4 or phosphodisterase 4 or pde4 or pde 4 or pde four or "phosphodisterase 4*" or "pde4*":ti,ab,kw or roflumilast or daxas or daliresp:ti,ab,kw (Word variations have been searched)	<u>14</u>							
	Tiotropium or spiriva or fluticasone or flixotide or fluticasone salmeterol or advair or seretide or salmeterol or serevent:ti,ab,kw or ICS or inhaled corticosteroid* or LAMA or long acting mus- carinic receptor antagonist or long acting muscarinic antagonist or LABA or long acting* ago- nist or long acting beta-adernoceptor agonist or long acting beta agonist or long acting*:ti,ab,kw								
	(Word variations have been searched) Randomized controlled trial or controlled clinical trial or randomized or clinical trial or random- ly or trial or systematic review or placebo	<u>44998</u>							
	Total	$\frac{3}{33}$							
ClinicalTrials.gov	"Copd" AND "roflumilast"								
atsjournals.org Controlled trials. com	(Roflumilast or daxas) and (salmeterol or serevent or tiotropium or spriva or Formoterol or Foradil or Oxis or Fluticasone or Flixotide or (Fluticasone and salmeterol) or seretide or Advair or LABA or long acting* or LAMA or ICS or inhaled*)and (chronic*)	<u>21</u> <u>11</u>							
pulsus.com	(roflumilast or daxas or daliresp)	<u>6</u>							
	(((roflumilast OR daxas OR daliresp OR phosphodisterase4 OR phosphodisterase 4 OR pde4	<u> </u>							
Inform ahealthcare.com	OR pde 4 OR pde four OR "phosphodisterase 4*" OR "pde4*") AND (Copd OR coad OR pul- monary disease, chronic obstructive OR chronic obstructive pulmonary disease OR chronic airway disorder OR chronic airway limitation OR chronic obstructive respiratory disease OR chronic obstructive lung disease OR pulmonary disease)) AND (tiotropium OR spiriva OR fluticasone OR flixotide OR fluticasone salmeterol OR advair OR seretide OR salmeterol OR serevent OR ICS OR inhaled corticosteroid* OR LAMA OR long acting muscarinic receptor antagonist OR long acting muscarinic antagonist OR LABA OR long acting* agonist OR long acting beta-adernoceptor agonist OR long acting beta agonist OR long acting*))	-							
chestnet.org		<u>3</u>							
Scholar google		<u>3030</u>							

S. Jafari Andarian, et al.

		Table 5. Prin	ncipal desig	gn features	and quality survey for studies		
Study	Туре	Design	No.	Dura-	Eligibility	Author,	Quality
			Of Pats.	tion		year	
M2- 111	Earlier Phase3	Double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, parallel group (roflumilast 500 mcg once daily); four week single-blind placebo run-in followed by a treatment period of 52 weeks. ICS allowed.	1,173	52 Weeks	 COPD Age ≥ 40 years. Post-bronchodilator FEV1 % predicted ≤ 50% and FEV1/FVC ≤ 70%. Current or ex-smoker 	Clinial Study Report for trial M2-111	Low risk
M2- 112	Earlier Phase3	Double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, parallel group (roflumilast 500 mcg once daily); four-week single-blind placebo run-in followed by a treatment period of 52 weeks. ICS allowed.	1,513	52 Weeks	 COPD Age ≥ 40 years. Post-bronchodilator FEV1 % predicted ≤ 50% and FEV1/FVC ≤ 70%.Available for Public Disclosure Without Redaction Current or ex-smoker Fixed airway obstruction (FEV1 increase ≤15% and/or 200 mL after inhalation of salbutamol. 	Calverley 2007	Low risk
M2- 111 + M2- 112 (26)		As described in separate studies above The datasets combined in a post- hoc, pooled analysis	2,686	52 Weeks	As described in separate studies above	Rennard 2011	Low risk
M2- 124	Pivotal	Double-blind, randomized, pla- cebocontrolled, parallel group (roflumilast 500 µg once daily); four-week single-blind placebo run-in followed by a treatment period of 52 weeks. LABA allowed; Use of ICS ter- minated at randomization	1523	52 Weeks	 COPD for at least 12 months. Age ≥ 40 years. Post-bronchodilator FEV1 % predicted ≤ 50% and FEV1/FVC ≤ 70%. Chronic bronchitis (chronic productive cough for three months in each of last 2 yrs prior to the study). History of COPD exacerbations. Current or ex-smoker Symptomatic patients: total cough/sputum score ≥ 14 during last week prior to randomization. 	Calverley 2009	Low risk

Table 5	Principal	design	features	and quality	v survey for studies
Table 5.	FINCIDAL	ucsign	ICALUICS	anu uuanu	v suivev ioi siuuies

M2- 125	Pivotal	Double-blind, randomized, pla- cebocontrolled, parallel group (roflumilast 500 µg once daily); four-week single-blind placebo run-in followed by a treatment period of 52 weeks. LABA allowed; Use of ICS ter- minated at randomization	1568	52 Weeks	 COPD for at least 12 months. Age ≥ 40 years. Post-bronchodilator FEV1 % predicted ≤ 50% and FEV1/FVC ≤ 70%. Chronic bronchitis (chronic productive Cough for three months in each of last 2 yrs prior to the study). History of COPD exacerbations. Current or ex-smoker Symptomatic patients: total cough/sputum score ≥ 14 during last week prior to randomization. 	Calverley 2009	Low risk
M2- 124 +		As described in separate studies above Concomitant medication • Short-acting anticholingeric: 31%, 38% of those in the roflu- milast group and 32%, 41% on placebo (M2-124, M2-125 respectively) • Short-acting ß2 agonist: "Pa-	3091	52 Weeks	As described in separate studies above	E.D. Bateman	Low risk
M2- 125		tients could use short acting β2 agonists as needed" • Corticosteroid: none • Long-acting β2 bronchodilator: "Eligible patients were stratified according to their use of long acting β2 agonists and smoking status." Roflumilast 49%, 48% (M2-124, M2-125 respectively) placebo 51% in bothe them.				2011	
M2- 127	Supportive	Double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, parallel group (roflumilast 500 mcg once daily); four-week single blind placebo run-in followed by a treatment period	933	24 Weeks	 COPD for at least 12 months. Age ≥ 40 years. Post-bronchodilator FEV1 % predicted between 40% and 70%. FEV1/FVC ≤ 70%. Current or ex-smoker Fixed airway obstruction (defined as an 	Fabbri 2009	Low risk

The safety and effectiveness of the current treatment regimen with...

6

S. Jafari Andarian, et al.

	of 24 weeks. All patients received salmeterol 50 μg BID as underlying treatment.			FEV1 increase $\leq 12\%$ and/or 200 mL after receiving 400 µg salbutamol).		
M2- 128 Supportive	Double-blind, randomized, pla- cebo-controlled, parallel group (roflumilast 500 µg once daily); four-week single-blind placebo run-in followed by a treatment period of 24 weeks. All patients received tiotropium 18 µg once daily as underlying treat- ment.	743	24 Weeks	 COPD for at least 12 months. Age ≥ 40 years. Post-bronchodilator FEV1 % predicted between 40% and 70%. And FEV1/FVC ≤70%. Chronic bronchitis at enrollment (chronic productive cough for 3 months in each of the last 2 years prior to the study. Current or former smoker Fixed airway obstruction (defined as an FEV1 increase≤12% and/or 200 mL after receiving 400 µg salbutamol. Pretreated with tiotropium for at least 3 months before baseline visit. Use ≥ 28 puffs of rescue medication during week before randomization. 	Fabbri 2009 ri.iums.ac.ir	Low risk

Table 6. D	Documents	list (out s	separat	ely	excl	usion
------------	-----------	--------	-------	---------	-----	------	-------

Row	Exit reason	published	Author
1	Title: Cardiovascular safety in patients receiving roflumilast for the treatment of COPD Exit reason: lack of access to the full text, the study were only examined the cardiovascular safety	2013	White, W. B
2	Title: Roflumilast: a phosphodiesterase-4 inhibitor for the treatment of severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease Exit reason: lack of access to the full text (systematic review)	2012	Pinner
3	Title: Efficacy and safety of roflumilast in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis Exit reason: lack of access to the full text (systematic review)	2013	Oba
4	Title: Efficacy and safety of roflumilast in patients with stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A meta-analysis Exit reason: lack of access to the full text (meta-analysis)	2013	Yan
5	Title: Pharmacotherapies for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a multiple treatment comparison meta-analysis Exit reason: meta-analysis, out of our PICO	2011	Mills, E. J
6	Title: Roflumilast Treatment In COPD Patients Taking A Fixed-Dose Combination Of Long-Acting {superscript 2} 2 Agonist (LABA) And Inhaled Corticosteroid (ICS): Rationale And Design Of A Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial [Abstract] Exit reason: lack of access to the full text	2012	Ferguson, G. T
7	Title: Global Strategy for the Diagnosis, Management, and Prevention of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Exit reason: it is a strategy	2013	Vestbo

8	Title: No relevant cardiac, pharmacokinetic or safety interactions between roflumilast and inhaled formoterol in healthy subjects: an open-label, randomized, actively controlled study Exit reason: Pharmacokinetic Study	2011	de Mey, C
9	Title: Effect of 1-Year Treatment with Roflumilast in Severe Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Exit reason: FEV ₁ 41%	2007	Calverley
10	Title: Effects of Roflumilast in Patients With COPD (Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease) (BY217/M2-121) Exit reason: FEV1 ≤65%	2013	The HERO-study M2-121
11	Title: Roflumilast in Asian patients with COPD: A randomized placebo-controlled trial [Abstract] Exit reason: FEV1 30-80%	2011	Hui D M2-119
12	Title: Efficacy and Safety of Roflumilast in Japanese Patients Older Than 40 Years With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (APTA-2217- 06)	2005	APTA-06
13	Exit reason: FEV1 30-80% Title: Long-term Study of Safety and Efficacy of Roflumilast in Japanese Patients Older Than 40 Years With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (APTA-2217-08) Exit reason: FEV1 30-80%	2005	APTA-08
14	Title: Roflumilast—an oral anti-inflammatory treatment for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized controlled trial Exit reason: FEV1 30-80%	2005	Klaus F Rabe
15	Title: A Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Trial Investigating Roflumilast on Safety and Effectiveness in China, Hong Kong and Singapore	2012	ACROSS
16	Exit reason: The comparison group differed Title: Effect of Roflumilast on Pulmonary Function and Respiratory Symptoms in Patients With Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) (BY217/M2-110) Exit reason: : lack of access to the full text	2003	M2-110

Two reviewers independently screened the search results to select citations to retrieve the full texts, and they also screened the full-texts of the articles to identify the appropriate studies for inclusion. One reviewer extracted data from the eligible studies, and the second one checked the data. Data were entered into RevMan 5.2, and the following data were extracted:

• Methods: Trial design, and duration of follow-up

• Participants: Age, gender, smoking status, study setting, inclusion and exclusion criteria

• Intervention: Drug name, dose, duration of treatment, control and/or standard therapy

• Outcome measures

References were classified according to the trial name (by drug name and number or by author and year). We obtained data on additional outcomes from other references. Also, to conduct the metaanalysis, we considered the changes in the pre-bronchodilator FEV1 for all trials. Lung function is reported in milliliters (mL). The "total adverse events" outcome involved the participants in each group experiencing one or more adverse events, including an acute exacerbation of COPD. Serious adverse events included conditions requiring hospital- level treatment and more serious COPD exacerbations. To assess the risk of bias in the included studies, trials were evaluated as low, unclear or high, using the "risk of bias" methods outlined in Chapter 8 of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions.

Measures of Treatment Effect

We used the results of RCT studies to analyze the pooled effect estimates of the outcomes. We pooled continuous variables using a fixed-effect mean difference or standardized mean difference (SMD), with 95% confidence intervals (CI) as well as outcomes with dichotomous variables using a fixed-effect odds ratio (OR) with 95% CI. We considered a p-value of less than 0.05 as statistically significant. Rate ratios were combined on a natural logarithm scale and weighted by the inverse of the variance of the log rate ratio. Because the number of the included studies was not sufficient (n=10), we could not assess the publication bias of the studies according to the Cochrane recommendation (21).

When more than ten studies were included in the reviews, we used the I² statistic to measure heterogeneity among the trials in each analysis. Cochrane systematic review was conducted according to statistics I²>50%, indicating considerable heterogeneity. Sensitivity analysis of the results was performed to explore heterogeneity. In addition, subgroup differences in pooled estimates were analyzed according to the following variables:

• Severity of airflow obstruction at base-line

- Drug (e.g., roflumilast)
- Duration of therapy (6- 12 months)

• Concomitant therapy (inhaled or oral corticosteroids, inhaled long-acting ß2 agonists, anticholinergics or both)

Results

Primary Findings

Two reviewers assessed the full-text versions of the trials to determine whether they met the inclusion criteria. We resolved any differences by discussion. Then trials that met the inclusion criteria were assessed for methodological quality (Fig. 1). After evaluating and assessing the quality of the articles, we found 7 RCTs studies that met our inclusion criteria. Two one-year-long studies (M2-111, M2-112) assessed the therapeutic effect of roflumilast 500 mcg oncedaily in patients with severe and very severe COPD; in these two studies the patients were not required to have a history of chronic bronchitis or previous exacerbations, and concomitant corticosteroids were allowed during the study period (22).

Two one-year-long studies (M2-124, M2-125) that investigated the therapeutic effect of roflumilast in a specific subgroup, severe to very severe COPD, were associated with chronic bronchitis in patients at risk of exacerbations (23).

one study considered the findings of two studies (M2-124 + M2-125) in which the add-on use of roflumilast with long acting bronchodilator agents was examined (Roflumilast + LABA) (24).

Two six-month studies (M2-127, M2-128) evaluated the add-on use of roflumilast with long acting bronchodilator agents, the first with salmeterol and the second with tiotropium (25).

Three systematic reviews were also included, but their full texts were not available. One study (Chong J. 2013) that evaluated the efficacy and safety of oral PDE4 inhibitors (Roflumilast and Cilomilast) in the management of stable COPD, in a review of 29 studies which met the inclusion criteria, 15 trials were associated with roflumilast (26). We took an advantage of our results and those related to roflumilast. which were consistent with our study criteria. In an Evidence Review Group (ERG) report by Rob Riemsma (2011) on the medication review of the manufacturers' published studies that presented the results for the two sets of data, the data for adults with severe COPD (FEV1 post bronchodilator less than 50% predicted)" and the data for adults with moderate to severe COPD (FEV1 post bronchodilator, were less than 65%) were obtained (27). Characteristics

and quality assessment of the studies are presented in Table 5.

We excluded 16 studies due to inconsistency and lack of access to their full text (Table 6).

Overall, the methodological quality of all the published trials was acceptable. There were adequate descriptions of allocation concealment and method of blinding in all the trials.

All studies included in this review were double-blind randomized controlled trials, with inclusion and exclusion criteria and withdrawal of the participants. Withdrawals occurred mostly due to adverse events, particularly in PDE4 inhibitor-treated participants. Information on the use of β -agonists and anticholinergics (M2-124, M2-125, M2-127, M2-128), and corticosteroids at baseline trials (M2-127, M2-128) was not available.

Secondary Findings

Change in the Lung Function from Baseline

Based on the seven trials that reported this outcome, there was a statistically significant improvement in FEV1 from baseline in the roflumilast treated participants compared to controls (MD 51.18 mL; 95%CI 41.45 to 60.90) over the study period (Analysis 1). With respect to roflumilast use with concomitant therapies (Analysis 4), the largest increases in FEV1 were observed in the two trials in which participants were taking regular long-acting bronchodilators: In one trial, the participants took salmeterol (roflumilast M2-127) and in the other, they took tiotropium (Roflumilast M2-128) (overall MD 60.52 mL; 95% CI 40.57 to 80.46). The next largest improvements were found in trials in which all other medications apart from the short-acting beta- 2 agonists were stopped (MD 49.31 mL; 95%CI 34.71 to 63.90). Moreover, similar improvements were observed in three trials (Roflumilast M2-111+M2-112) in which both treatment and control groups continued on an inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) (MD 46.80 mL; 95%



CI 29.55 to 64.05). Treatment with roflumilast was associated with a statistically greater change in FVC from baseline than placebo (MD 86.60 mL; 95%CI 66.08 to 107.13) with minimal heterogeneity among the 5 trials (Analysis 5). Change in PEF was measured in only two of the seven trials, but it was significantly higher in the treatment group than in controls (MD 4.65 L/min; 95%CI 1.74 to 7.55) (Analysis 6).

Exacerbations

Use of roflumilast was associated with a statistically significant reduction in the numbers of participants experiencing one or more COPD exacerbations. This chong j (2013) (26) meta-analysis of six studies with RCT revealed that in terms of exacerbation rate and the number of exacerbations experienced on average per patient per year (Analysis 9), a small but significant benefit of treatment was observed, representing a 13% reduction in the rate ratio.

Quality of Life

In the subgroup analysis of M2-112 and M2-111 studies, significant improvement in

SGRQ total score was observed for patients with chronic bronchitis (p=0.0265). The difference in patients with chronic bronchitis was treated concurrently with ICS. (p=0.0397). In patients with chronic bronchitis, the difference of -1.073 units, compared to placebo, did not achieve the conventional minimum important difference of 4 units (Jones 2005), but it was statistically significant and similar to differences observed between therapies in other one-year trials. In studies of M2-124 and M2-125 for EO-5D, the difference between the treatments was -0.0047 (p= 0.5331) and -0.0106 (p=0.1715), respectively and in the combined analysis, the difference was statistically significant 0.0034 (p= 0.06712), indicating a little change in quality of life.

Breath **Shortness** of **Ouestionnaire** (SOBQ)

In a study conducted by Chong J. (2013), in the meta-analysis of M2-127 and M2-128 studies, it was revealed that in the M2-127 study no significant difference was found between the placebo and roflumilast group, but a significant difference versus placebo was seen in the study of M2-128 in favor of roflumilast (Analysis 7) (26).

Use of Rescue Medication

For this outcome, the meta-analysis of five studies was examined. The metaanalysis results revealed that the concurrent treatment of roflumilast with corticosteroids or long-acting β-agonists did not seem to have such beneficial effects on more people who experienced exacerbation during the study period (Analysis 8).

Adverse Events

The likelihood of a participant experiencing an adverse event was higher with roflumilast than with placebo (OR 1.21; 95% CI 1.09 to 1.34; Analysis 10). A range of adverse effects occurred more frequently in participants treated with roflumilast. The most frequently reported side effects were as follows: Diarrhea (OR 3.71; 95% CI 2.97 to 4.63; Analysis 11); nausea (OR

Med J Islam Repub Iran 2016 (20 February). Vol. 30:332.

3.37; 95%CI 2.48 to 4.58; Analysis 12); headache (OR 2.42; 95%CI 1.82 to 3.21; Analysis 13); and weight loss (OR 3.85; 95% CI 3.03 to 4.90; Analysis 14). There were no significant differences in the incidence of either influenza-like symptoms (Analysis 15) or upper respiratory tract infections (Analysis 16) between treatment and control groups. Most adverse events generally occurred within the first four weeks of therapy, particularly in the drug group and were resolved with continued treatment. More patients withdrew from the study due to adverse effects, and withdrawal was higher in the roflumilast 500 mcg group than the placebo group (OR 1.68; 95%CI 1.46 to 1.93; Analysis 17). However, the treatment did not significantly affect the non-fatal serious adverse events (OR 0.95; 95% CI 0.83 to 1.07; Analysis 18) or mortality (OR 0.92; 95% CI 0.66 to 1.27; Analysis 19), although mortality was relatively rare in the trials. Weight loss caused the most concern. Those patients in the roflumilast group who reported diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, or headache had a greater weight loss compared to those not reporting these symptoms. The largest absolute weight loss with roflumilast occurred in obese patients (BMI> 30), but a significant reduction in body weight was observed between patients with low weight. Physical examinations, routine laboratory tests, Creactive protein concentrations, and ECGs did not show any clinically significant changes after administration of roflumilast in patients concomitantly treated with salmeterol or tiotropium. Moreover, patients with chronic bronchi who were more likely to benefit from roflumilast did not experience an increased incidence of adverse events. Furthermore, these individuals had fewer side effects (nausea, diarrhea, and weight loss) associated with PDE4 inhibitors.

Discussion

In accordance with ICH Guideline for Industry: "Extent of Population Exposure to Assess Clinical Safety" (March 1995) (28)

11

and in accordance with the FDA Draft Guidance for Industry: "Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: Development of Drugs for Treatment" (November 2007) (29), most patients were treated with roflumilast for six months to one year. Diarrhea, nausea, decreased appetite, headaches, dizziness, insomnia and weight decrease were observed more in the roflumilast arm compared to the placebo arm. It was notable that treatment with roflumilast was associated with a significant chance of weight loss. It is not yet clear whether or not this was due to anorexia caused by gastrointestinal adverse effects. Weight loss may be a beneficial effect in patients who are obese. In contrast, low body mass in the later stages of COPD is associated with a worse prognosis and is notoriously difficult to reverse (GOLD 2013) (30). This adverse effect warrants further investigation. There was no increase in serious adverse effects or mortality, although trials were of relatively short duration and analyses were underpowered to report on the latter outcome. The magnitude of the treatment effect on exacerbation is comparable for all currently available COPD treatments when using similar definitions of exacerbation. The reported reduction in the rate of exacerbations in three of the largest COPD trials conducted to date, ranged from 14% (tiotropium in UPLIFT) (31) to 20% (salmeterol in TRISTAN) (32) and from 5% to 18% (fluticasone in TRIS-TAN and TORCH) (32, 33) for single agents and up to 25% for combination products (fluticasone/salmeterol in TRIS-TAN and TORCH) (32, 33). The effect size of roflumilast, as a single agent, was 15% to 19% in the pivotal studies. To best characterize the improvements observed with roflumilast, the effect size should not be compared to the -25%, a fixed combination of LABA-ICS achieved compared to placebo, but rather to what is achieved when adding an ICS to a LABA. For example, salmeterol alone improved the exacerbation rate in the TRISTAN study by 20% compared to placebo (32). Adding ICS increased the effect size by just 5%, resulting in a total of 25% reduction in exacerbation for the fixed combination of fluticasone and salmeterol compared to placebo. Another large trial, TORCH, showed that salmeterol versus placebo reduced exacerbation by 15% when compared to placebo (32); adding fluticasone to salmeterol in a fixed combination demonstrated an additional reduction in exacerbation by 12% versus salmeterol alone. The roflumilast studies showed that the effect of adding roflumilast to a LABA background treatment improved the exacerbation rate by 21% (p= 0.001), an effect that compares favorably to that of an ICS added to LABA treatment. Although not powered to test for exacerbations, M2-127 and M2-128 studies indicated that roflumilast may substantially reduce exacerbations in patients taking salmeterol or tiotropium in a moderate to severe COPD population by 37% (p= 0.0315, post-hoc analysis) or 23% (p= 0.1957). In the M2-124 + M2-125 study, the relative reduction in moderate or severe exacerbation rates in roflumilast + LABA group was 20.7%. Moreover, the absolute rate reduction exacerbation per patient per year was 0.322. In this study, roflumilast significantly reduced the mean rate of moderate or severe exacerbations in both frequent (i.e., more than two exacerbations per year), and infrequent (i.e., fewer than two exacerbations per year), exacerbators (respectively, RR=0.78, p= 0.0017 and RR= 0.84, p= 0.0062). The time to onset the first, second and third moderate or severe COPD exacerbation was significantly delayed across all patients and in the subgroups using LABAs. In the subgroup not receiving LABAs, only the time to onset the second exacerbation was significantly delayed. In frequent exacerbatime to onset for the second tors. (p=0.0017) and third exacerbation (p=0.0074) was delayed, and in infrequent exacerbators to onset, the second exacerbation (p=0.0245) was delayed. In conclusion, roflumilast consistently demonstrated a clinically meaningful reduction in exacerbations in the acute COPD patient population. The pivotal roflumilast studies enrolled a severe to very severe patient population with a mean pre-bronchodilator FEV1 of about 1 liter and a low mean reversibility of 10% to 12%. The Cochrane review (Appleton, 2006) (34) showed that even bronchodilators like formoterol and salmeterol increased FEV1 by an average of only 51 mL in patients with poorly reversible COPD. The pivotal roflumilast study pool demonstrated a mean FEV1 improvement of 48 mL in a similar population. In all the studies discussed above, roflumilast improved FEV1 values from whereas placebo baseline, treatment showed no change or decrease in FEV1 values from baseline. The importance of the reversibility on treatment related FEV1 improvement was demonstrated in a corresponding subgroup analysis of the pooled data of M2-124 and M2-125 studies in which larger treatment effects (72 mL) were found in severe to very severe COPD patients with less fixed airway obstruction (higher reversibility) compared to those with fixed airway obstruction. Roflumilast exerts its effects in addition to the treatment effects of long-acting bronchodilators. In particular, improvements prein bronchodilator FEV1 with roflumilast on top of concomitant LABA or SAMA treatment in patients with severe to very severe COPD were 46 mL and 58 mL in the pivotal studies of M2-124 and M2-125, respectively.

In a study, M2-124 + M2-125, those patients receiving LABAs had similar prebronchodilator FEV1 values to those not receiving LABAs, but had a smaller increase after administration of a SABA (data not available). Both pre- and postbronchodilator FEV1 significantly improved with roflumilast compared to placebo, irrespective of concomitant treatment with LABAs, SAMAs or previous ICS use or previous exacerbation frequency.

The effect of roflumilast on the lung function, on top of salmeterol or tiotropium treatment in patients with moderate to severe COPD, was 49 mL and 80 mL (M2-

127 and M2-128, respectively). The effect size of roflumilast on the lung function is similar to what is achieved by inhaled corticosteroids alone or when added to a LABA treatment. In a large three-year study [TORCH] (33), fluticasone alone improved lung function by 47 mL over placebo, salmeterol alone by 42 mL, and a fixed combination of salmeterol/fluticasone improved the lung function by 92 mL. In conclusion, the effect size measured with roflumilast on the lung function was in a severe, poorly reversible COPD population similar to what is achieved with LABAs in similar populations and it is also comparable to the effect size of inhaled corticosteroids, which are currently the only available anti-inflammatory treatments for COPD. Roflumilast represents a significant addition to the armamentarium of prescribing physicians for the following reasons: Demonstrating clinically relevant efficacy in reducing the rate of moderate and severe exacerbations and in improving the lung function; having an additive effect on top of the background bronchodilator therapy; having a novel mechanism of action that reduces inflammation with a mechanism different from corticosteroids; an easy oral administration once-a-day with no clinically significant interactions with drugs commonly used by COPD patients; acceptable tolerability and safety profile; and rapid absorption after oral administration

Conclusion

13

Phosphodiesterase 4 inhibitors are oral medicines that can be used in combination with other standard COPD treatments. Clinical studies have demonstrated higher pharmacologic activity and better tolerability of roflumilast as compared to earlier PDE4-inhibitor. Roflumilast has been developed as an innovative once-daily oral treatment for COPD, targeting the inflammatory processes that are relevant to the disease. Most evidence exists for roflumilast at a dose of 500 µg. Phosphodiesterase 4 inhibitors join an increasing list of treatments for COPD that improve short-term lung function and reduce exacerbations, but have not been shown to increase life expectancy.

To date, the trials which have been done on this topic have taken one year or less to conduct. In contrast to long-acting bronchodilators. PDE4 inhibitors have minimal benefits on symptoms on a day-to-day basis, or quality of life, and are often associated with adverse effects, particularly gastrointestinal system and headaches. Roflumilast is associated with significant weight loss compared to placebo treatment. Thus, their use may best be limited to addon therapy in a subgroup of patients with persistent symptoms or exacerbations despite optimal COPD management. If they are not well- tolerated, they may be discontinued.

COPD is a major, growing health care problem causing significant morbidity and mortality. Treatment of COPD is mostly based on inhaled bronchodilators (long and short acting beta agonists and muscarinic agents), and inhaled corticosteroids with the objective of improving the lung function and decreasing exacerbations.

Roflumilast represents a significant addition to the armamentarium of prescribing physicians for the following reasons:

• A demonstrated clinically relevant efficacy in reducing the rate of moderate and severe exacerbations and in improving the lung function

• An Additive effect in addition to the background bronchodilator therapy

• A novel mechanism of action that reduces inflammation with a mechanism different from corticosteroids

• An easy oral administration once a day with no clinically significant interactions with drugs commonly used by COPD patients

• Acceptable tolerability and safety profile

• Rapid absorption after oral administration (35)

Longer-term trials are necessary to obtain a more accurate estimate of the benefits and ensure the safety of these medicines over time including whether they slow the progression of COPD.

Limitations of the Study

- Lack of access to some databases including EMBASE due to the closure of the base in Iran

- Lack of a systematic review of the studies that have been done on this topic

The Message of the Research

What is the message of this research? Roflumilast has been approved as an effective and safe drug and is known as an antiinflammatory medicine for patients with moderate to severe COPD and chronic bronchitis symptoms. This medicine decreases the attacks, improves the lung function and significantly reduces weight. Moreover, this drug can be used safely in conjunction with other COPD drugs.

To whom is the message sent? The results of this study may be used by health policy makers, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), insurance agencies, researchers, clinicians, immunologists, professional groups, the Committee on asthma, allergies and chronic lung diseases, the nongovernmental organizations and factories, as well as companies importing and manufacturing drugs.

Who sends the message? The messenger's credit, scientific and social prestige is important. Therefore, the Office of HTA, FDA, the Committee on asthma, allergies and chronic lung diseases, and insurance organizations are proposed as the messengers.

What is the process of transition? (How)

To transfer of HTA is reported to the FDA to publish articles in national and international journals.

What is the impact of the transition? (Evaluation)

It is expected that the transition of research-based knowledge brings about some changes in the knowledge, attitude and behavior of the related stakeholders, which can be subject of further assessments, and they are as follows: 1. The importation and/ or production of the drug in the country

2. Drug coverage by insurance

3. Arranging and planning to use the drug information in the preparation of national guidelines

Adding this medication to the country's drug list

Role of the Funding Source: The sponsor did not place any restrictions on authors about the statements made in the final report.

Source of Funding

This study was commissioned by the National Institute of Health Research Programme.

References

1. Snider GL. Pathogenesis and terminology of emphysema. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1994; 149(5):1382-3.

2. Thurlbeck WM, Muller NL. Emphysema: definition, imaging, and quantification. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1994;163(5):1017-25.

3. Barker AF, Bardana EJ, Jr Bronchiectasis: update of an orphan disease. Am Rev Respir Dis 1988;137(4):969-78.

4. Pauwels RA, Rabe KF. Burden and clinical features of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). The Lancet 2004;364(9434):613-20.

5. Rabe KF, Hurd S, Anzueto A, Barnes PJ, Buist SA, Calverley P, et al. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: GOLD executive summary. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine 2007;176(6):532-55.

6. Mirbagher AN, Memarian R. The effects of rehabilitation techniques on the pulmonary function tests in moderate severity COPD patients. 2009.

7. Salık Y, Ozalevli S, Cımrın A. Cognitive function and its effects on the quality of life status in the patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Archives of gerontology and geriatrics 2007;45(3):273-80.

8. Gysels M, Higginson IJ. Access to services for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: the invisibility of breathlessness. Journal of pain and symptom management 2008;36(5):451-60.

9. Setayesh A, Farsi D, Zare M, Hosseinnezhad A. Evaluation of High Dose (7.5 mg) Nebulized Albuterol versus Low Dose (2.5 mg) Nebulized Albuterol Effects on Respiratory Indices in Exacerbated COPD Patients .Razi Journal of Medical Sciences 2005;12(47):75-82. 10. Tajvidi M, Mandana A, Parsainiya A, Babai Gh. Effect of Teaching Guide on Nutrition Spirometric Parameters in Patients with COPD Research Nursing 2009;15(4):59-23.

11. Grootendorst DC, Gauw SA, Verhoosel RM, Sterk PJ, Hospers JJ, Bredenbröker D, et al. Reduction in sputum neutrophil and eosinophil numbers by the PDE4 inhibitor roflumilast in patients with COPD. Thorax 2007;62(12):1081-7.

12. Miravitles M, Soler-Cataluña JJ, Calle M, Soriano JB Treatment of COPD by clinical phenotypes: putting old evidence into clinical practice. European Respiratory Journal 2013; 41(6):1252-6.

13. Willemse B, Ten Hacken N, Rutgers B, Lesman-Leegte I, Postma D, Timens W. Effect of 1year smoking cessation on airway inflammation in COPD and asymptomatic smokers. European Respiratory Journal 2005;26(5):835-45.

14. Casaburi R, Kukafka D, Cooper CB, Witek TJ, Kesten S. Improvement in exercise tolerance with the combination of tiotropium and pulmonary rehabilitation in patients with COPD. Chest Journal 2005;127(3):809-17.

15. Godtfredsen N, Lam T, Hansel T, Leon M, Gray N, Dresler C, et al. COPD-related morbidity and mortality after smoking cessation: status of the evidence. European Respiratory Journal 2008; 32(4):844-53.

16. O'donnell D, Flüge T, Gerken F, Hamilton A, Webb K, Aguilaniu B, et al. Effects of tiotropium on lung hyperinflation, dyspnoea and exercise tolerance in COPD. European Respiratory Journal 2004; 23(6):832-40.

17. Boswell-Smith V, Spina D. PDE [£]inhibitors as potential therapeutic agents in the treatment of COPD-focus on roflumilast. International journal of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease 2007; 2(2): 121.

18. Mills EJ, Druyts E, Ghement I, Puhan MA. Pharmacotherapies for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a multiple treatment comparison meta-analysis. Clin Epidemiol 2011;3:107-29.

19. Barnes PJ, Shapiro SD, Pauwels RA. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: molecular and cellular mechanisms. The European respiratory journal. 2003;22(4):672-88.

20. Celli B, MacNee W, Agusti A, Anzueto A, Berg B, Buist A, et al. Standards for the diagnosis and treatment of patients with COPD: a summary of the ATS/ERS position paper. European Respiratory Journal 2004;23(6):932-46.

21. Higgins JP, Green S. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions: Wiley Online Library; 2008.

22. Rennard SI, Calverley P, Goehring UM, Bredenbröker D, Martinez FJ. Reduction of exacerbations by the PDE4 inhibitor roflumilast--the importance of defining different subsets of patients with COPD. Respir Res 2011;12(18):18.

Med J Islam Repub Iran 2016 (20 February). Vol. 30:332. 15

Downloaded from mjiri.iums.ac.ir on 2025-07-15

23. Calverley P, Rabe KF, Goehring U-M, Kristiansen S, Fabbri LM, Martinez FJ. Roflumilast in symptomatic chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: two randomised clinical trials. The Lancet 2009;2(9691)685-94.

24. Bateman E, Rabe K, Calverley P, Goehring U, Brose M, Bredenbröker D, et al. Roflumilast with long-acting β 2-agonists for COPD: influence of exacerbation history. European Respiratory Journal 2011;38(3):553-60.

25. Fabbri LM, Calverley P, Izquierdo-Alonso JL, Bundschuh DS, Brose M, Martinez FJ, et al. Roflumilast in moderate-to-severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease treated with longacting bronchodilators: two randomised clinical trials. The Lancet 2009;374(9691):695-703.

26. Chong J, Poole P, Leung B, Black PN. Phosphodiesterase 4 inhibitors for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2011;5.

27. Riemsma R, Lhachimi SK, Armstrong N, van Asselt T, Allen A, Manning N, et al. Roflumilast for the management of severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. 2011.

28. Guideline IHT. The extent of population exposure to assess clinical safety for drugs intended for long-term treatment of non-life-threatening conditions E1. Status: Step. 1994;4.

29. of Health UD, for Drug HSFC. Guidance for industry: patient-reported outcome measures: use in medical product development to support labeling claims: draft guidance. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes 2006;4:79.

30. Vestbo J, Hurd SS, Agusti AG, Jones PW,

Vogelmeier C, Anzueto A, et al. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: GOLD executive summary. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine 2013;187(4):347-65.

31. Decramer M ,Celli B, Kesten S, Lystig T, Mehra S, Tashkin DP. Effect of tiotropium on outcomes in patients with moderate chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (UPLIFT): a prespecified subgroup analysis of a randomised controlled trial. The Lancet 2009;374(9696):1171-8.

32. Calverley P, Pauwels R, Vestbo J, Jones P, Pride N, Gulsvik A, et al. Combined salmeterol and fluticasone in the treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomised controlled trial. The Lancet 2003;361(9356):449-56.

33. Calverley PM ,Anderson JA, Celli B, Ferguson GT, Jenkins C, Jones PW, et al. Salmeterol and fluticasone propionate and survival in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. New England Journal of Medicine 2007;356(8):775-89.

34. Appleton S, Poole P, Smith BJ, Veale A, Lasserson TJ, Chan MMK, et al. Long-acting beta2agonists for poorly reversible chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. The Cochrane Library. 2006.

35. Lahu G, Hünnemeyer A, Diletti E, Elmlinger M, Ruth P, Zech K, et al. Population pharmacokinetic modelling of roflumilast and roflumilast N-oxide by total phosphodiesterase-4 inhibitory activity and development of a population pharmacodynamic-adverse event model. Clinical pharmacokinetics 2010;49(9):589.

Appendix

The results of a meta-analysis of effectiveness:

Analysis 1. Comparison 1 Roflumilast versus placebo, Outcome 1 FEV1 (by drug)

	rofl	umila	st	pla	acebo)		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% C	I IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Roflumilast M2-111	30	182	545	-12	178	596	21.6%	42.00 [21.08, 62.92]	
Roflumilast M2-112	49	303	760	-8	302	753	10.2%	57.00 [26.52, 87.48]	
Roflumilast M2-124	46	218	745	8	218	745	19.3%	38.00 [15.86, 60.14]	
Roflumilast M2-125	33	189	730	-25	194	766	25.1%	58.00 [38.59, 77.41]	
Roflumilast M2-127	39	192	456	-10	193	460	15.2%	49.00 [24.07, 73.93]	
Roflumilast M2-128	65	229	365	-16	229	364	8.6%	81.00 [47.75, 114.25]	
Total (95% CI)			3601			3684	100.0%	51.18 [41.45, 60.90]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	5.84, df :	= 5 (P	= 0.32); I ² = 14	1%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 10.3	1 (P <	< 0.000	01)					-200 -100 0 100 200 Favours [roflumilast] Favours [placebo]

Analysis 2. Comparison 1 Roflumilast versus placebo, Outcome 2 FEV1 (by mean COPD severity)

	rofl	umila	st	pl	acebo)		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% C	I IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1.2.1 GOLD grade III ·	+ IV (FE	V1 < {	50% pr	edicted)				
Roflumilast M2-111	30	182	545	-12	178	596	21.6%	42.00 [21.08, 62.92]	
Roflumilast M2-112	49	303	760	-8	302	753	10.2%	57.00 [26.52, 87.48]	
Roflumilast M2-124	46	218	745	8	218	745	19.3%	38.00 [15.86, 60.14]	
Roflumilast M2-125	33	189	730	-25	194	766	25.1%	58.00 [38.59, 77.41]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			2780			2860	76.2%	48.26 [37.12, 59.40]	♦
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2	2.45, df =	= 3 (P	= 0.48)); I ² = 0 ⁰	%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 8.49	(P <	0.0000	1)					
1.2.2 GOLD grade I +	ll (FEV1	≥ 50	% pred	icted)					
Roflumilast M2-127	39	192	456	-10	193	460	15.2%	49.00 [24.07, 73.93]	
Roflumilast M2-128	65	229	365	-16	229	364	8.6%	81.00 [47.75, 114.25]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			821			824	23.8%	60.52 [40.57, 80.46]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2	2.28, df =	= 1 (P	= 0.13)); I ² = 56	5%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.95	(P <	0.0000	1)					
Total (95% CI)			3601			3684	100.0%	51.18 [41.45, 60.90]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = {	5.84, df =	= 5 (P	= 0.32); l² = 14	4%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 10.3	1 (P <	; 0.000	01)					-200 -100 0 100 200 Favours [roflumilast] Favours [placebo]
Test for subgroup diffe	rences:	Chi² =	: 1.11, c	df = 1 (F	P = 0.2	29), I² =	9.5%		Favours [ronumiast] Favours [placebo]



	rofl	umila	st	pl	acebo			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean						Weight		
1.4.1 Duration 52 we	eks								
Roflumilast M2-111	30	182	545	-12	178	596	21.6%	42.00 [21.08, 62.92]	
Roflumilast M2-112	49	303	760	-8	302	753	10.2%	57.00 [26.52, 87.48]	
Roflumilast M2-124	46	218	745	8	218	745	19.3%	38.00 [15.86, 60.14]	
Roflumilast M2-125	33	189	730	-25	194	766	25.1%	58.00 [38.59, 77.41]	
Subtotal (95% Cl)			2780			2860	76.2%	48.26 [37.12, 59.40]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =					%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 8.49	(P <	0.0000	1)					
1.4.2 Duration 24 to 2	26 weeks	5							
Roflumilast M2-127	39	192	456	-10	193	460	15.2%	49.00 [24.07, 73.93]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Roflumilast M2-128	65	229	365	-16	229	364	8.6%	81.00 [47.75, 114.25]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			821			824	23.8%	60.52 [40.57, 80.46]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	2.28, df :	= 1 (P	= 0.13); I ² = 56	5%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.95	(P <	0.0000	1)					
Total (95% CI)			3601			3684	100.0%	51.18 [41.45, 60.90]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	5.84, df	= 5 (P	= 0.32); $I^2 = 14$	1%				-200 -100 0 100 200
Test for overall effect:	Z = 10.3	1 (P <	0.000	D1)					Favours [roflumilast] Favours [placebo]
Test for subgroup diffe	erences:	Chi² =	1.11. 0	df = 1 (F	P = 0.2	29), I ² =	9.5%		r avoaro [ronannaot] - r avoaro [piacebo]

		umila			acebo			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1.5.1 Corticosteroids									
Roflumilast M2-111	30	182	545	-12	178	596	21.6%	42.00 [21.08, 62.92]	
Roflumilast M2-112	49	303	760	-8	302	753	10.2%	57.00 [26.52, 87.48]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			1305			1349	31.8%	46.80 [29.55, 64.05]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0).63, df =	= 1 (P	= 0.43); $I^2 = 0$	6				
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 5.32	(P <	0.0000	1)					
1.5.2 PDE4i treatment									
Roflumilast M2-124	46	218	745		218	745	19.3%	38.00 [15.86, 60.14]	
Roflumilast M2-125	33	189	730	-25	194	766	25.1%	58.00 [38.59, 77.41]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			1475			1511	44.4%	49.31 [34.71, 63.90]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1	.77, df =	= 1 (P	= 0.18); I ² = 44	1%				
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 6.62	(P <	0.0000	1)					
1.5.3 Long-acting bro	nchodil	ator							
Roflumilast M2-127	39	192	456	-10	193	460	15.2%	49.00 [24.07, 73.93]	
Roflumilast M2-128	65	229	365	-16	229	364	8.6%	81.00 [47.75, 114.25]	
Subtotal (95% Cl)			821			824	23.8%	60.52 [40.57, 80.46]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2	2.28, df =	= 1 (P	= 0.13); I ² = 56	6%				
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 5.95	(P <	0.0000	1)					
Total (95% CI)			3601			3684	100.0%	51.18 [41.45, 60.90]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 5	5.84, df =	= 5 (P	= 0.32); I ² = 14	1%				-200 -100 0 100 20
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 10.3	1 (P <	0.000	01)					Favours [roflumilast] Favours [placebo]
Test for subgroup differ	rences:	Chi² =	: 1.15, (df = 2 (F	P = 0.5	56), I² =	0%		

Analysis 4. Comparison 1 Roflumilast versus placebo, Outcome 4 FEV1 (Concomitant medications)

Analysis 5. Comparison 1 Roflumilast versus placebo, Outcome 5 FVC

	rofl	umila	st	pla	acebo)		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% C	I IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Roflumilast M2-112	-33	716	760	-80	713	736	8.0%	47.00 [-25.42, 119.42]	+
Roflumilast M2-124	76	405	729	-25	407	736	24.4%	101.00 [59.42, 142.58]	
Roflumilast M2-125	58	350	724	-45	359	764	32.4%	103.00 [66.97, 139.03]	
Roflumilast M2-127	67	319	452	10	322	460	24.3%	57.00 [15.40, 98.60]	
Roflumilast M2-128	27	439	364	-74	419	363	10.8%	101.00 [38.62, 163.38]	
Total (95% CI)			3029			3059	100.0%	86.60 [66.08, 107.13]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 4	4.55, df :	-200 -100 0 100 200							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 8.27	(P <	0.0000	1)					Favours [roflumilast] Favours [placebo]

Analysis 6. Comparison 1 Roflumilast versus placebo, Outcome 6 PEF

	rofl	umila	st	pl	acebo			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% C	I IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Roflumilast M2-124	8.08	40.5	729	3.87	40.2	736	49.3%	4.21 [0.08, 8.34]	-
Roflumilast M2-125	1.93	40.1	724	-3.14	40.1	764	50.7%	5.07 [0.99, 9.15]	•
Total (95% CI)			1453			1500	100.0%	4.65 [1.74, 7.55]	♦
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	,	,	; ² = 0%	0				-50 -25 0 25 50	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.14	(P = ().002)						Favours [roflumilast] Favours [placebo



Analysis 7. Comparison 1 Roflumilast versus placebo, Outcome 7 SOBQ

Analysis 1.23. Comparison I PDE4 inhibitor versus placebo, Outcome 23 Shortness of breath questionnaire.

Analysis 8. Comparison 1 Roflumilast versus placebo, Outcome 8 rescue medications

	roflumi	last	place	bo		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
5.3.1 Corticosteroids							
Roflumilast M2-111+M2-112 Subtotal (95% Cl)	569	1327 1327	652	1359 1359	52.5% 52.5%	0.81 [0.70, 0.95] 0.81 [0.70, 0.95]	₹
Total events	569		652				and the second
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.65	(P = 0.00)	8)					
5.3.2 Treatment only							
Roflumilast M2-124	70	769	82	755	10.7%	0.82 [0.59, 1.15]	
Roflumilast M2-125	87	778	122	790	15.3%	0.69 [0.51, 0.93]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		1547		1545	26.1%	0.74 [0.60, 0.93]	◆
Total events	157		204				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.59, df =			= 0%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.62	(P = 0.00)	9)					
5.3.3 Long-acting bronchodil	lators						
Roflumilast M2-127	74	466	111	467	13.3%	0.61 [0.44, 0.84]	
Roflumilast M2-128	58	374	67	369	8.1%	0.83 [0.56, 1.22]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		840		836	21.4%	0.69 [0.54, 0.88]	•
Total events	132		178				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.47, df =			= 32%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.93	(P = 0.00)	3)					
Total (95% CI)		3714		3740	100.0%	0.77 [0.69, 0.86]	•
Total events	858		1034				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 3.40, df =	= 4 (P = 0.	49); I² =	= 0%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 4.60	(P < 0.00	001)					Favours [roflumilast] Favours [placebo]
Test for subgroup differences:	Chi ² = 1.3	6. df = 2	2 (P = 0.5)	1), I ² =	0%		rateas [renamination]

The results of a meta-analysis of safeaty:

Analysis 9. Comparison 1 Roflumilast versus placebo, Outcome 9 Exacerbation rate

Analysis 1.16. Comparison I PDE4 inhibitor versus placebo, Outcome 16 Exacerbation rate (inverse variance).

Outcome: 16 Exacerbation ra	ate (inverse variance)			
Study or subgroup	log [Rate Ratio] (SE)	Rate Ratio IV,Fixed,95% CI	Weight	Rate Rati IV,Fixed,95% C
I Roflumilast				
Roflumilast M2-111	-0.1508 (0.1001)		12.5 %	0.86 [0.71, 1.05
Roflumilast M2-112	-0.0683 (0.0809)		19.2 %	0.93 [0.80, 1.09
Roflumilast M2-124	-0.1625 (0.0717)		24.4 %	0.85 [0.74, 0.98
Roflumilast M2-125	-0.1985 (0.0716)		24.5 %	0.82 [0.71, 0.94
Roflumilast M2-127	-0.2357 (0.1586)		5.0 %	0.79 [0.58, 1.08
Roflumilast M2-128	-0.1744 (0.1962)		3.3 %	0.84 [0.57, 1.23
Subtotal (95% CI)		•	88.8 %	0.86 [0.80, 0.92
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 1.80$, df =	= 5 (P = 0.88); $I^2 = 0.0\%$			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 4.12$	(P = 0.000037)			

Med J Islam Repub Iran 2016 (20 February). Vol. 30:332. 19

The results of a meta-analysis:

Analysis 10. Comparison 1 Roflumilast versus placebo, Outcome 10 No of patients experiencing an adverse event

	roflumi	last	placel	bo		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Roflumilast M2-111+M2-112	1081	1327	1089	1359	28.8%	1.09 [0.90, 1.32]	
Roflumilast M2-124+M2-125	1040	1537	963	1554	44.7%	1.28 [1.11, 1.49]	
Roflumilast M2-127	294	466	276	467	14.7%	1.18 [0.91, 1.54]	+
Roflumilast M2-128	172	374	150	369	11.8%	1.24 [0.93, 1.66]	
Total (95% CI)		3704		3749	100.0%	1.21 [1.09, 1.34]	•
Total events	2587		2478				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.83, df	= 3 (P = 0.	61); I² =	0%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.69	(P = 0.00	02)					0.2 0.5 1 2 5 Favours [roflumilast] Favours [placebo]

Analysis 11. Comparison 1 Roflumilast versus placebo, Outcome 11 Diarrhoea

	roflumilast	place	bo		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events To	tal Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Roflumilast M2-111+M2-112	161 13	27 39	1359	35.5%	4.67 [3.27, 6.69]	
Roflumilast M2-124	63 7	69 26	755	25.3%	2.50 [1.57, 4.00]	
Roflumilast M2-125	67 7	78 23	790	21.9%	3.14 [1.94, 5.10]	
Roflumilast M2-127	38 4	66 16	467	15.4%	2.50 [1.37, 4.56]	
Roflumilast M2-128	33 3	74 2	369	1.9%	17.76 [4.23, 74.57]	
Total (95% CI)	37	14	3740	100.0%	3.71 [2.97, 4.63]	•
Total events	362	106				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 10.99, df	= 4 (P = 0.03); l² = 64%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 11.6	0 (P < 0.0000	1)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours [roflumilast] Favours [placebo]

Analysis 12. Comparison 1 Roflumilast versus placebo, Outcome 12 Nausea

	roflumi	last	placel	oo		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	I M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Roflumilast M2-111+M2-112	80	1327	20	1359	35.5%	4.30 [2.62, 7.05]	-
Roflumilast M2-124	41	769	15	755	27.4%	2.78 [1.52, 5.06]	
Roflumilast M2-125	21	778	15	790	27.7%	1.43 [0.73, 2.80]	
Roflumilast M2-127	25	466	1	467	1.8%	26.42 [3.56, 195.79]	
Roflumilast M2-128	11	374	4	369	7.5%	2.77 [0.87, 8.76]	
Total (95% CI)		3714		3740	100.0%	3.37 [2.48, 4.58]	•
Total events	178		55				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 11.74, df	= 4 (P = 0	0.02); l²	= 66%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 7.79	(P < 0.00	001)					0.002 0.1 1 10 500 Favours [roflumilast] Favours [placebo]

Analysis 13. Comparison 1 Roflumilast versus placebo, Outcome 13 Headache

	roflumi	last	placel	oo		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	I M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Roflumilast M2-111+M2-112	92	1327	41	1359	56.0%	2.39 [1.64, 3.49]	
Roflumilast M2-124	26	769	17	755	24.6%	1.52 [0.82, 2.82]	+=-
Roflumilast M2-125	25	778	8	790	11.4%	3.25 [1.45, 7.24]	- -
Roflumilast M2-127	14	466	5	467	7.2%	2.86 [1.02, 8.01]	
Roflumilast M2-128	8	374	0	369	0.7%	17.14 [0.99, 298.03]	· · · · ·
Total (95% CI)		3714		3740	100.0%	2.42 [1.82, 3.21]	•
Total events	165		71				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 4.59, df =	= 4 (P = 0.	33); l² =	= 13%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 6.13	(P < 0.00	001)					0.002 0.1 1 10 500 Favours [roflumilast] Favours [placebo]

	roflumi	last	placel	00		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	I M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Roflumilast M2-111+M2-112	100	1327	38	1359	43.0%	2.83 [1.93, 4.15]	
Roflumilast M2-124	92	769	24	755	26.4%	4.14 [2.61, 6.56]	-
Roflumilast M2-125	65	778	20	790	22.5%	3.51 [2.10, 5.85]	
Roflumilast M2-127	40	466	5	467	5.7%	8.68 [3.39, 22.19]	
Roflumilast M2-128	21	374	2	369	2.4%	10.92 [2.54, 46.90]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Total (95% CI)		3714		3740	100.0%	3.85 [3.03, 4.90]	•
Total events	318		89				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 7.54, df =	= 4 (P = 0.	11); l ² =	47%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 11.0	1 (P < 0.0	0001)					0.005 0.1 1 10 200 Favours [roflumilast] Favours [placebo]

Analysis 15. Comparison 1 Roflumilast versus placebo, Outcome 15 Influenza-like symptoms

	roflumilast	place	bo		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events To	al Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Roflumilast M2-111+M2-112	58 13	27 54	1359	51.3%	1.10 [0.76, 1.61]	+
Roflumilast M2-124	27 7	69 18	755	17.6%	1.49 [0.81, 2.73]	+
Roflumilast M2-125	12 7	78 20	790	19.7%	0.60 [0.29, 1.24]	
Roflumilast M2-127	94	66 11	467	10.8%	0.82 [0.34, 1.99]	
Roflumilast M2-128	3 3	74 0	369	0.5%	6.96 [0.36, 135.26]	
Total (95% CI)	37	4	3740	100.0%	1.07 [0.82, 1.41]	•
Total events	109	103				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 5.48, df	= 4 (P = 0.24);	l² = 27%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.50	(P = 0.62)					0.002 0.1 1 10 500 Favours [roflumilast] Favours [placebo]

Analysis 16. Comparison 1 Roflumilast versus placebo, Outcome 16 Upper respiratory tract infection

	roflumi	last	place	bo		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	I M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Roflumilast M2-111+M2-112	72	1327	86	1359	50.9%	0.85 [0.62, 1.17]	-
Roflumilast M2-124	16	769	21	755	13.1%	0.74 [0.38, 1.43]	
Roflumilast M2-125	33	778	38	790	22.9%	0.88 [0.54, 1.41]	
Roflumilast M2-127	9	466	19	467	11.8%	0.46 [0.21, 1.04]	
Roflumilast M2-128	4	374	2	369	1.3%	1.98 [0.36, 10.90]	
Total (95% CI)		3714		3740	100.0%	0.81 [0.64, 1.02]	•
Total events	134		166				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 3.16, df = 4 (P = 0.53); $l^2 = 0\%$ 0.01 0.1 1 10							
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.77$ (P = 0.08)							0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours [roflumilast] Favours [placebo]

	roflumi	last	placel	00		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	I M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Roflumilast M2-111+M2-112	235	1327	136	1359	36.3%	1.94 [1.54, 2.43]	+
Roflumilast M2-124	119	769	78	755	21.8%	1.59 [1.17, 2.16]	-
Roflumilast M2-125	101	778	83	790	23.5%	1.27 [0.93, 1.73]	-
Roflumilast M2-127	77	466	45	467	12.3%	1.86 [1.25, 2.75]	
Roflumilast M2-128	33	374	20	369	6.0%	1.69 [0.95, 3.00]	
Total (95% CI)		3714		3740	100.0%	1.68 [1.46, 1.93]	•
Total events	565		362				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 5.01, df							
Test for overall effect: Z = 7.21		0.02 0.1 1 10 50 Favours [roflumilast] Favours [placebo]					

Analysis 17. Comparison 1 Roflumilast versus placebo, Outcome 17 Withdrawals due to adverse events

Analysis 18. Comparison 1 Roflumilast versus placebo, Outcome 18 Non-fatal serious adverse events

	roflumi	last	placel	bo		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Roflumilast M2-111+M2-112	263	1327	264	1359	43.8%	1.03 [0.85, 1.24]	+
Roflumilast M2-124+M2-125	301	1537	336	1554	56.2%	0.88 [0.74, 1.05]	=
Total (95% CI)		2864		2913	100.0%	0.95 [0.83, 1.07]	•
Total events	564		600				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.29, df =	= 1 (P = 0.	26); I² =	22%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.86)					Favours [roflumilast] Favours [placebo]	

Analysis 19. Comparison 1 Roflumilast versus placebo, Outcome 19 Mortality

	roflumilast	place	bo		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events To	tal Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	CI M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Roflumilast M2-111+M2-112	22 13	27 32	1359	40.7%	0.70 [0.40, 1.21]	
Roflumilast M2-124	17 7	69 17	755	22.0%	0.98 [0.50, 1.94]	-+-
Roflumilast M2-125	25 7	78 25	790	31.5%	1.02 [0.58, 1.78]	+
Roflumilast M2-127	54	66 4	467	5.2%	1.26 [0.34, 4.70]	
Roflumilast M2-128	2 3	74 0	369	0.7%	4.96 [0.24, 103.66]	
Total (95% CI)	37	14	3740	100.0%	0.92 [0.66, 1.27]	•
Total events	71	78				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2.51, df	0.002 0.1 1 10 500					
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.52		0.002 0.1 1 10 500 Favours [roflumilast] Favours [placebo]				