Determinants of adherence to self-care behavior among women with type 2 diabetes: an explanation based on health belief model
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Abstract

Background: Self-care is an essential element in treating a person with diabetes; and managing diabetes is of prime importance. The aim of this study was to investigate the predictors of adherence to self-care behavior among women with Type 2 diabetes.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted on 210 female patients aged 30 to 60. Data collection tool was an anonymous valid and reliable questionnaire designed based on the Health Belief Model (HBM), which acquired information about the followings: Perceived susceptibility, severity, benefits, barriers, self-efficacy and diabetes self-care behavior. Data were analyzed by t-test, chi-square and regression analysis.

Results: The multiple regression models revealed 59.9% of the variance of self-care behavior with self-efficacy, perceived barrier, benefit and susceptibility. Additionally, the highest weight for β (β=0.87) was found for self-efficacy. Self-care behavior was positively correlated with all HBM variables except for perceived barriers showing a negative correlation.

Conclusion: The Health Belief Model may be used as a framework to design intervention programs in an attempt to improve adherence to self-care behaviors of women with diabetes. In addition, the results indicated that self-efficacy might play a more crucial role in developing self-care behaviors than other HBM components. Therefore, if the focus is placed on self-efficacy when developing educational programs, it may increase the likelihood of adherence to self-care behavior.
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Introduction

Diabetes is a common chronic condition which requires several complex self-care chores(1). It has been reported that the incidence of Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is increasing in both industrialized and developing countries due to behavioral causes and changes in the life style (2). It has been expected that the number of patients with diabetes be doubled by the year 2025, with most of these people living in low income countries (3). Based on a newly published data, 7.7% of adults aged 25-64 (2 million) are affected by diabetes. This survey added that 16.8% (4.4 million) of Iranian adults had impaired fasting glucose (3). The World Health Organization expect that the number of patients with diabetes increase to more than 6 million till the year 2030 (4).

Several vascular problems including both micro vascular and macro vascular compli-
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...cations, which imposed much more costs on health care systems, are caused by diabetes. In fact, the consumption of health resources in patients with T2DM is two to six times more than what is used by people with other chronic diseases (5). Fatness, inactive lifestyle and poor diet are behavioral risk factors related to T2DM (6).

Self-care is a critical part of treating diabetes which is mostly highlighted in the handling of a person with diabetes, and its importance is approved in studies on people with different cultural and socioeconomic status (7). Diabetes’ self-care is a dynamic, cognitive practice, and those who are affected by diabetes adhere to treatment regimens to delay adverse complications and improve their overall health (8). The American Association of Diabetes Educators proposes healthy eating, physical activity, monitoring blood glucose, compliance with medication and health coping skills as elements of self-care behaviors for people with diabetes (1).

Ruggiero et al. performed a study on the impact of training on self-care behaviors. After the training program, a significant overall improvement was observed in the mean of self-care scores across time (9). Heisler et al. found that self-care behavior (drug use, self-monitoring of blood sugar, diet, exercise and foot care) is associated with lower HbA1c and concluded that the mean of HbA1c level changed from 8.3% to 7.3% (10). In another study by Ahmed Khan, he concluded that only 56% of the patients had sufficient knowledge about self-care in diabetes (11). Also, the study by Hernandez et al. showed that the significant correlates found may help identify and involve patients who may benefit from the strategies that increase self-care adherence (12). Similarly, Ortiz et al. examined self-care behaviors and their relationship with glycemic control. They concluded that self-care behaviors were associated with fasting blood glucose (13).

Health beliefs could determine the degree to which patients comply to recommendations and adhere to self-care behaviors (14). Additionally, gaining knowledge about the health beliefs of patients is a cost-effective way to raise positive health outcomes (3-4,15). The Health Belief Model (HBM) was used as a conceptual model to understand and predict adherence to self-care behaviors.

Previous studies showed successful application of HBM in explaining and predicting preventive health behavior. Based on HBM, the individual should believe that he/she is susceptible to a disease (perceived susceptibility), understand the risks and its perceived severity, and follow self-care behaviors (16-17). Dehghani-Tafti et al. approved the efficiency of the Health Belief Model in predicting self-care behaviors among diabetic patients (18). In another study, Ekhtiari et al. found that HBM can be used as an appropriate tool to assess the status of pregnant women in the field of self-care behaviors (19).

Despite the clinical importance of evaluating adherence to self-care in patients with diabetes, little is known about self-care behavior and its related factors in women with diabetes in Zahedan, Iran. Such a study is needed given the importance and relevance of this topic in Zahedan, which is a critically under-developed and under-privileged city, and the serious adverse health effects of this problem on the population, particularly on the more susceptible groups such as middle-aged and older women. Hence, the aim of this study was to investigate the predictors of self-care behaviors among women with Type 2 diabetes in Zahedan, Iran.

**Methods**

**Study Population and Setting**

This was a cross-sectional study carried out from June 2013 to September 2013 at Hazrat Ali Asghar (AS) Hospital, the only referral diabetes clinic in Zahedan, affiliated to Zahedan University of Medical Sciences.

To detect the best predictor of self-care, considering the accuracy of 3% with a two-sided 5% significance level and a power of
80%, a sample size of 210 participants was necessary, given an anticipated dropout rate of about 10%. The study sample was selected through random sampling method. Women referring to the hospital were approached by the corresponding author and after declaring their interest to participate in the study, they received detailed information about the aim of the study and were asked to provide informed consent. Two hundred twenty-five women were contacted, but 10 (2.25%) refused to participate in the study.

Out of the 215 interested potential participants, 210 met the eligibility criteria, which were as follows: Age range of 30-60 years, at least one year duration of diagnosed Type 2 diabetes, not suffering from any complications (e.g., nephropathy, retinopathy, or any other vascular problems) during the course of diabetes and having completed the medical profile at the Clinic. Also, initial assessment to rule out the diabetes cardiovascular complications including nephropathy, retinopathy, or any other vascular problems was done by a physician.

Women were excluded from the study if they were experiencing lack of language proficiency.

Measures

A self-designed questionnaire derived from the literature was developed to collect data. It consisted of three parts:

1. Demographic and Medical Information: The first part of the questionnaire was related to demographic variables such as age, education, occupation, marital status, disease history, type of treatment, and family history of diabetes. Participants’ height, weight and waistline were measured as part of the physical examination. Weight and height of the patients were measured and body mass index (BMI) was calculated. Participants were asked to respond to all questions included in the demographic questionnaire form.

2. Health Belief Model Constructs: This part consisted of 33 items derived from the available literature (3-4, 20-23). Considering several different measures on HBM constructs, we produced a set of specific items for this study as recommended by experts. After careful examination and recombination of similar items or items very close in meanings, a final set of 33 items was provided. The questions consisted of: (a) Perceived susceptibility to diabetes complications (5 items), which was measured with six items using five-interval Likert differentials scales, ranging from 1 (strongly agree) to 5 (strongly disagree), and with respect to the interpretation of scoring, higher scores indicated a high level of perceived susceptibility; (b) Perceived severity of diabetes and its complications as a serious illness (6 items), rated on a 5-point scale ranging from 1 (strongly agree) to 5 (strongly disagree); (c) Perceived benefits of self care (i.e., perception of individuals about the benefits of selfcare behaviors and its helpfulness) (6 items), and the scores for benefits item ranged from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree), with greater scores indicating a better condition; (d) Perceived barrier to selfcare (i.e., individuals own evaluation of obstacles for self-care behavior) (5 items) rated on a 5-point scale ranging from 1 (strongly agree) to 5 (strongly disagree). However, scores for perceived barriers were recoded to show a better condition; and (e) Self-efficacy to self-care (i.e., Perception about individuals ability to perform self-care behaviors) (10 items), and the answers were rated on a 5-point scale ranging from 1 (Never) to 5 (often). In total, HBM items were scored using a five-point Likert differential scale. A panel of 10 experts (health education & promotion specialist and internist) helped to assess the content validity by means of a quantitative method in which two coefficients of Content Validity Ratio (CVR) and Content Validity Index (CVI) were used in accordance with the Law she table that confirms a CVR if it is over 0.62 and a CVI if over 0.79. (CVI=0.85 and CVR=0.79). Next, a confirmatory factor
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analysis was used to assess the construct validity of the instruments. The model’s fit was confirmed for all scales (goodness-of-fit index 0.92–0.98). Internal consistency as measured by the Cronbach’s alpha coefficient range was found to be 0.81-0.92.

3. Self-care behaviors: We measured diabetes self-care using revised Summary of Diabetes Self-Care Activities (SDSCA) scale (4,24). The RSDSCA measures the frequency of self-care activity in the last seven days for six aspects of the diabetes regimen: General diet (following healthy diet), specific diet (eating fruits/low fat diet), foot care, blood–glucose testing, taking medication and exercise. Both content validity and test re- test reliability showed satisfying results; (CVR, CVI=0.84) and correlation rate of 0.83.

All data analysis was conducted using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Differences in socio-demographics among different subgroups were assessed using a t-test or ANOVA for continuous variables, and a chi-squared test for binary/categorical variables and proportions. To predict the variation in self-care behavior scores on the basis of the Health Belief Model variables, linear regression analysis was performed. In this study, Hierarchical multiple regression analysis assessed HBM factors to predict self-care behavior using centered variables. The normality of data was tested using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, the histogram and normality of the residuals. An alpha error of<0.05 indicated statistical significance.

Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee of Zahedan University of Medical Sciences. Permission was also received from ZUMS to apply the data collection tools.

Results

The mean age of the participants was 48.7 years (SD=6.8). The majority of the patients were house wives (92%); and most
of them had primary education (69%) followed by secondary (27%, n=57) and higher (4%, n=9) education. In terms of education, most participants (69%) were illiterate and 27%, and 4% had secondary (6-11 years of education) education and high school diploma, respectively. The mean ± SD duration of T2DM diagnosis was 8.4 ± 5 years; 36.1% (n=76) of the patients had a family history of diabetes. Almost half (n=102) of the patients reported they did not have any clinical symptoms. Based on the calculation of BMI, 42% (n=88) of patients were found to be in the overweight category. This finding showed that BMI has no statistically significant effect on self-care behavior. Based on the chi-square test, no significant differences could be detected in socio-demographic variables between women refusing to participate and women interested in participating (p>0.05). Table 1 demonstrates differences in adherence to self-care and HBM constructs by demographic status. Only the level of education had a significant effect on self-care behavior. Based on the chi-square test, no significant differences could be detected in socio-demographic variables between women refusing to participate and women interested in participating (p>0.05). Table 1 demonstrates differences in adherence to self-care and HBM constructs by demographic status. Only the level of education had a significant effect on self-care behavior. Based on the chi-square test, no significant differences could be detected in socio-demographic variables between women refusing to participate and women interested in participating (p<0.001).

As demonstrated in Table 2, stepwise multiple linear regression analyses were used to predict patients’ self-care behavior. The final regression equation explained 59.9% (adjusted $R^2$) of the variance of self-care behavior. Also, the highest weight for $\beta$ ($\beta=0.68$) was found for self-efficacy, showing that self-efficacy was the strongest determinant of self-care. The addition of the perceived susceptibility failed to produce a significant increase for the variance ($\beta=0.017$, $p=0.459$).

Applying Pearson's correlation coefficient, it was found that self-care behaviors had a statistically significant positive association between self-care and perceived susceptibility ($r=0.33$), perceived severity ($r=0.35$), perceived benefits ($r=0.41$) and self-efficacy ($r = 0.4$) were found while perceived barriers had a negative association ($r=-0.38$).

### Table 2. Results Obtained from Multiple Linear Regression Analyses (n=210)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized β</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 1</td>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model R^2</td>
<td>45.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2</td>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrier</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model R^2</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3</td>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrier</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model R^2</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 4</td>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrier</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model R^2</td>
<td>59.9%</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discussion

Patient adherence to self-care remains to be a key challenge in the long-term controlling of T2DM. Yet, there is a lack of research concerning the predictors of adherence to self-care. This study revealed predictors of self-care behavior based on HBM among women with T2DM. HBM constructs were able to explain 59.9% of the variance in self-care behavior, with self-efficacy, perceived barrier, benefit and susceptibility emerging as significant predictors of adherence to it. The present results are broadly in agreement with previous studies.
In this study, self-efficacy was the strongest predictor of self-care behavior. Several studies have documented associations between self-efficacy and diabetes self-care (20,26-27). For instance, in a review of the existing literature, Sigurardo’ttir (28) clarified that the greater the self-efficacy for diabetes, the better the self-care behaviors and subsequently better metabolic control. Likewise, Berg et al. (29) reported that self-efficacy was the most prominent factor in managing diabetes. A case-control study conducted in Georgia highlighted the role of self-efficacy in attaining self-care behaviors as well (30).

A study in Ethiopia also had similar findings which perceived self-efficacy as a strong predictor of physical activity and self-care adherence among patients with Type 2 diabetes (31). This may have resulted from the fact that those patients with higher self-confidence are more likely to keep on in their attempts to perform the suggested behavior in different situations. It seems that focusing on self-efficacy is the most powerful element in the success of health promotion programs. These findings could be helpful in designing health promotion innervations in diabetes management programs.

In the present study, negative associations were found between self-care behaviors and perceived barriers. Based on HBM, barriers are the potential negative aspects of a particular health action; perceived barriers may act as impediments in undertaking recommended behaviors. In line with our study, results from the study conducted by Janz and Becker (32) found similar effects for perceived barriers. Similarly, Jalilian et al. reported a significant relationship between perceived barriers and diabetes self-care behaviors (33). On the contrary, Rickheim (34) reported that a barrier was the strongest predictor of regimen adherence and metabolic control. One possible explanation for such a difference is the fact that the study population and the type of behavior assessed were not the same.

Bernal (35) reported a significant relationship between perceived benefits and diabetes self-care behaviors. Our findings revealed that patients who had better perceived benefit of self-care were more adherent to self-care, and these outcomes are consistent with similar studies (18-19). In contrast, study of Ayele et al. (36) and Tamirat et al. (31) revealed no significant association between perceived benefits and self-care behaviors of diabetic patients. One possible explanation for such a difference in the results may be due to the fact that the majority of the patients in Ayele’s study were older than 50 years of age, while 62% of the population in our study were younger than 50. In line with the assumption of the HBM, those who exhibit optimal beliefs in susceptibility and severity are not expected to adopt any recommended suggestions unless they perceive the action as potentially beneficial by reducing the threat (37).

The usefulness of perceived severity as another construct of HBM has been shown previously (23,25,32,38). In this study, it was indicated that self-care behaviors had positive correlations with perceived severity; and patients who had more perceived severity of the disease were more adherent to self-care. Therefore, perceived severity of the disease is helpful for the likelihood of adherence to self-care. The previous study indicated that perceptions of severity contributed to sick-role behavior (i.e., after diagnosis) (14). For instance, a study by Ayele (36) in patients with diabetes in Harari, Eastern Ethiopia, showed that individuals with high perceived severity of the disease and its complications were 12.3 times more likely to perform self-care. In
contrast, in the study of Brownlee (25), there was no significant relation between perceived severity and self-care. A study in Iran suggested the low perceived susceptibility as the reason for patients not caring about their health (39).

Based on HBM, severity is the perception of diabetes as a serious illness, ranging from perceiving few complications in diabetes as a life-threatening disease.

A few important limitations should be taken into account while interpreting the findings of this study. First, the analysis was based on cross-sectional data; thus, causal relationships could not be inferred. Secondly, recording the behavior of patients was based on their own report.

**Conclusion**

This study provides support for the use of the Health Belief Model (HBM) to examine adherence of diabetic patients to self-care behaviors among women with T2DM. Furthermore, conducting future research is recommended to examine how interventions can be designed to utilize HBM as a part of patient education. Since long-term complications of diabetes can be prevented through providing education and encouraging proper preventative care, results of this study may provide an essential framework to educate T2DM patients to increase their adherence to self-care behavior.
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