The effectiveness of PMT program on parent-child relationship in parents with ADHD children: A randomized trial
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Abstract

Background: Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is the most common neurobehavioral disorder in children that creates problems in parents-child relationships. Improving familial and social interactions of these children needs mental and social interventions, and parents’ management training (PMT) program is one of these interventions. The present study aimed at determining the effectiveness of PMT program on parent-child relationship of parents with ADHD children.

Methods: Through an experimental research, 40 parents with ADHD children were selected based on purposeful sampling. A total of 20 parents were randomly placed in intervention group and received 8 sessions of intervention course (60 minutes per session) and the other 20 parents were grouped in the control group and received no training intervention. Research tools included a researcher-designed demographics questionnaire and Parker’s Bonding Instrument (PBI). Data were collected at pretest, posttest, and follow-up stages and analyzed using descriptive and covariance analysis.

Results: The results revealed that PMT program was significantly effective in reducing excessive support and increasing parent care index in parents with ADHD children (p<0.05).

Conclusion: The results of the present study supported the effectiveness of PMT program on parent-child relationship of the parents with ADHD children.
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Introduction

The health status of the next generation of the society depends on the health condition of children today. Studies on psychological health and children’s adaptation could be helpful in improving growth and fertility of children during their adulthood. Failure to deal with growth issues in children results in irrevocable damages to psychological health of the child and the society (1). Common disorders in children are usually categorized as internalized and externalized disorders. The latter is expressed in the form of behaviors such as aggression, opposition, hyperactivity, and impulsiveness, which are rather extraverter including ADHD, conduct disorder, and oppositional defiant disorders. Internalized disorders include childhood anxiety and mood disorders (2).

Discussions on ADHD as the most common behavioral disorder in children are ongoing (3) so that it has drawn the attention of psychologists and psychotherapists (4). The disorder is featured with deficit of attention, hyperactivity, and impulsiveness, and it is highly prevalent and stable (3). ADHD children encounter problems such as learning disabilities and social and emotional problems (5), which result in educational performance loss, family stress, and conflictual relationships with peers (6).

Epidemiologic studies have shown that prevalence of ADHD in Iran and the world is about 2% to 18%. During
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1998 and 2009, prevalence of ADHD among 5 to 7 year-old American children increased from 6.9% to 9% (7). Studies in Iran have shown that prevalence of ADHD in graders, juveniles, and adults is 7% to 8%, 5%, and 2.5%, respectively (8). Inconsistency of prevalence statistics of the disorder in different countries may be due to differences in diagnosing methods (1). In the 1890s, it was believed that brain trauma and damages in the frontal lobe are to blame for ADHD. Some believe that genetic factors affect the disorder at different age ranges. Recent studies have highlighted the role of hereditary talent so that risk of having ADHD children in parents with the same disorder is 57% (9). Birth damages, malnutrition, and using special medicine during pregnancy are of other factors effecting development of ADHD (9). The disorder influences the performance of the child in the family, society, and school and it results in negative reactions in family members, peers, and school staff; these feedbacks, in turn, result in loss of self-esteem, incompetence, communicational problems, anxiety, depression, and delinquency (10, 11). Treatment protocol for this disorder ranges from direct and single intervention treatment to complicate multiple interventions. Currently, the most effective interventions are drug therapy (stimulating drugs), parents’ behavioristic training programs, class-based interventions, and summer treatment courses. Biofeedback, neurofeedback, food diet, allergy therapy, and game therapy are also recommended, but these interventions have gained less support (12). Parent management training is a social non-drug intervention with proven effects and notable influence on attenuating behavioral and communicational disorders of ADHD children (13). Throughout the intervention, parents are trained to increase their positive interactions with children and decrease their conflicting and dictatorial interactions (14). PMT was introduced in 1997 by Barkly in which the therapist teaches the parents about strategies to manage children’s behaviors (15). Psychologists in the recent decades have put notable emphasis on child-caregiver relationships. The relationship between child and parent has a notable effect in the development of their personality and behavior and it helps them to differentiate desirable and undesirable behaviors in the family.

This is done by offering reward for desirable behaviors and imposing punishment for undesirable behaviors (16). Families with ADHD children are usually characterized by problematic child-parent relationships (8). Child-parent interaction treatment is a training program that is categorized as a behavioral approach and includes working with the parents and children. The therapist acts as a facilitator and guides the parents throughout their interactions with the child (17). Because ADHD is not completely curable, the best approach is to manage or intervene in the disorder. Because of their influence and close contact with children, parents play a prominent role in implementing behavioral interventions in natural environment of children. Thus, PMT has gained a key role in therapeutic treatments for ADHD children (18). Training parents improves child upbringing behavior of parents, cuts stress in parents, and decreases child’s destructive behaviors (19). Despite the results of several studies indicating the effectiveness of PMT on decreasing symptoms of the disorder in the child and decreasing parents’ stress, Enckbrink et al. (2012) and Wells et al. (2010) have reported that the intervention did not affect ADHD children. While studies in this field have reported inconsistent results on the effectiveness of PMT on ADHD, no study has been specifically conducted on the effects of PMT on child-parent relationship. Therefore, this study was an attempt to determine the effects of PMT on child-parent relationship in parents with ADHD children.

Methods

Data

An experimental study was conducted with control and intervention groups. This study aimed at determining the effects of PMT based on Barkley’s PMT model on child-parent relationship in parents with ADHD children.

Study population comprised of all parents with ADHD children referred to state consultation centers in Saveh, Iran (2016). The participants were selected at 2 stages; at first, the participants were selected purposefully, and then they were randomly grouped into 2 groups. Those parents that met the inclusion criteria were selected at first, and they were randomly grouped into intervention and control groups. Number of participants with \( \beta = 0.8 \) and \( \alpha = 0.05 \) was obtained to be 34, and by assuming 15% leave rate, 40 participants were selected. Data were collected using 2 questionnaires: (a) a researcher designed demographics questionnaire and (b) Berkley’s parental bonding instrument (PBI). The latter consisted of 4 questions about the child (age, gender, ADHD term, any specific physical disease) and 10 questions about the parent (age/education/job of parents, number of children with ADHD, parents’ neuropsychological disease record, neuropsychological medication record, and any experience and participation in similar classes). The former questionnaire was designed by Parker, Tupling, and Brown in 1979 and included 25 statements, and 2 subscales of care (12 questions), and excessive support (13 questions).

The statements were scored based on Likert’s four-point scale (very high = 0, relatively high = 1, relatively low = 2, very low = 3), and statements 1, 5, 6, 8-13, 17, 19, and 20 were inversely scored. Maximum score of care and excessive support subscales were 36 and 39, respectively. Higher score means that the parents are sympathetic, warm, understanding, and friendly; they are usually with their children, tend to talk to them, and understand them. On the other hand, lower score indicated that parents that are cold in their relationship and tend to desert their child are not active and fail to show enough attention to their child.

At one extreme of the control scale are parents that can be described as excessive supporter, cause of dependency, cause of child’s underdevelopment, intrusive, and controller. On the other extreme are parents who tend to give autonomy to their child. High score in control scale means excessive control, which is one of the main risk factors.

Some findings indicate that low score in this term indicates parents’ indifference attitudes. The initial investigation by Parker’s validity using Cronbach’s alpha for care subscale, High scores and low scores in the over sponsors of the aftercare refer to the link problem. PBI has a high
convergent validity (0.81) and is independent of mood states. According to the results of the factor analysis of the main elements of this inventory in Iran, results from a four-factor content protection status and validity and reliability (the range of 0/72 to vary from 850), and this questionnaire is reported to be satisfactory (32). Having a letter of recommendation issued by the Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery and Higher Education Dept. of Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences andpermissions from authorities of Saveh-based state consultation centers, the researchers referred to the centers. They attended the centers every day except Fridays from 8 A.M to 1 P.M. A total of 40 parents with ADHD children were selected and briefly introduced to the study and purpose. Afterwards, they were asked to sign a letter of consent for participation before being grouped randomly in intervention and control groups (n = 20). Contact information of the participants was collected to arrange the first session of the intervention. PBI questionnaire was filled out by all the participants before the intervention (immediately after the last session, and at the follow-up stage 1 month after the last session). Intervention program included 8 sessions (Two 60-minute sessions per week). The participants had a five 10-minute break in each session. The training program was implemented as follows:

**Session 1:** Reliable and clear information about signs of attention deficit, hyperactivity, and impulsiveness was provided.

**Session 2:** The 4 factors models of children-parent conflict and behavior management principles were discussed.

**Session 3:** The parents were trained to pay attention positively to acceptable social behaviors and neglect improper behaviors during “special game time.”

**Session 4:** The parents were trained about how to codify a reward system at home using scoring or coupon system.

**Session 5:** The parents were trained about how to use coupons to punish misbehaviors or disobediences and small breaches of regulations.

**Session 6:** The parents were trained about how to use deprivation and negative support in the case of negligence of the regulation including bad-mouthing, aggressiveness, and destruction.

**Session 7:** The parents were trained how to deal with children in public spaces (eg, malls, restaurants, and mosques). They codified plans to use social intensifier, coupon, cost of response, and deprivation of support in public situations.

**Session 8:** Future problems, cooperation with school authorities, dealing with side problems such as uncontrolled urinate and defecation were discussed.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were described using frequency distribution, mean, standard deviation, and percentage. Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test was used to check normality assumption and t test was used for data analysis. To control interrupting variables, covariance analysis in frequent measurement model was used. All the analyses were performed in SPSS (v.21) and p<0.05 was set as statistically significant.

**Results**

Table 1 lists demographics of the participations. In total, 40 parents with ADHD children participated in the study; average ages of males and females in the control group were 41 and 37.30 years, respectively; and these figures in the intervention group were 41.95 and 36.10 years. Table 2 lists descriptive information of the variables including mean and standard deviation scores. The table lists descriptive specifications of the variables at pretest, posttest, and follow-up stages based on the groups. Covariance analysis was used to examine the effect of training the parents on parent-children relationship. Covariance analysis enabled us to examine the effects of the intervention (pre- and posttest). Covariance analysis was used to provide a clearer picture of the effects of intervention on the participants. In other words, by observing the main effect on the group, the author determines whether the change in the dependent variable in the intervention group is significant or not. Table 3 demonstrates the main effects of the research variables. As listed and because F value at the follow-up stage for excessive support (9.58) and care (3.846) was significant (p<0.05), the intervention had a significant effect. Thus, parents’ care given to their children in the intervention group increased and excessive support decreased after the intervention. Table 4 demonstrates a summary of variance analysis (with frequent measurement plan). According
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The effects of PMT on child-parent relationship in parents with ADHD children referred to Saveh-based state consultation centers in 2016 were examined. The findings revealed that child-parent relationship score increased after the intervention so that the highest score was observed one month after the intervention. No significant difference was found between the control and intervention groups at pre-test stage; however, posttest and follow-up stages showed an increase in the mean scores of care and excessive support in the intervention group. This indicates a significant effect of the intervention. Therefore, it can be concluded that PMT had a positive effect in child-parent relationship of the parents with ADHD (after the intervention and 1 month after the intervention). The results here are consistent with Khanjani et al. (2014). The study revealed a relationship between good parent-child relationship and higher level of self-esteem and psychological health. On the other hand, being separated from parents and poor parent-child relationship were negatively and discretely related to low self-esteem, depression, and aggressive behavior. Moreover, Alizadeh (2014) found that PMT had a positive effect on child upbringing skills, better child management, and decrease of ADHD signs. The role of PMT, in this regard, is undeniable as it is the main requirement for improving child-parent relationship. Tiano and McNeil (2011) found that PMT program improved child-parent relationship in the parents with ADHD children. Thus, one may imply that PMT program creates changes in parents’ attitudes and is a key factor in improving social, cognitive, and emotional aspects of children with ADHD. To examine the effectiveness of behavior management education on stress

to the results, F value of excessive support (7.335) was significant (p<0.05). It is notable that F value was not significant for the other variable (care).

Discussion

Table 2. The statistical characteristics of the research variables in the pre-test, post-test and follow-up tests were segregated from the study group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>The standard deviation</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>The standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Care index</td>
<td>18.55</td>
<td>6.692</td>
<td>16.75</td>
<td>5.848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extreme support</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>5.037</td>
<td>23.40</td>
<td>4.728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>Care index</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>5.037</td>
<td>22.11</td>
<td>5.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extreme support</td>
<td>18.65</td>
<td>6.523</td>
<td>20.89</td>
<td>4.497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Care index</td>
<td>24.10</td>
<td>5.025</td>
<td>26.72</td>
<td>4.599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extreme support</td>
<td>18.78</td>
<td>6.404</td>
<td>20.67</td>
<td>2.223</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Care index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Before training</th>
<th>Immediately after training</th>
<th>One month after training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>16.05</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ*</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Extreme support

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Before training</th>
<th>Immediately after training</th>
<th>One month after training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ*</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Estimated marginal means of care index

Fig. 2. Estimated marginal means of extreme support
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of parents with ADHD children, Tahmasian et al. (2011) found that the intervention was effective in improving child-parent relationship, decreasing stress and anxiety, and increasing self-esteem in children. Chronis et al. (2012) studied the effects of PMT on the decrease of behavioral problems caused by ADHD and found that training the parents decreased behavioral problems in these children. Denforth et al. (2010) reported consistent results. Narimani et al. (2013) noted that PMT improved educational motivation in ADHD children. ADHD students suffer from learning problems and find it hard to concentrate on issues that result in developing a sense of failure and loss of educational motivation.

Using PMT and motivation and attention incentives, the parents convey the idea to their children and they can grab the attention of their parents and reward them by focusing on their homework.

Moreover, paying attention to positive characteristics of children improves self-confidence of the children, and this would be the start point for learning improvement and increasing the internal motivation of children. These findings are consistent with Shamaiezadeh and Ahmadi (2011), Ejei et al. (2012), Rossiter and Lavaque (2010), McCart and Priester (2011), Kurdistaniani et al. (2014), and Arjmand (2011). Their studies have shown that through improving caregiving capability of parents and preparing the ground for efficient education, PMT leads to improvement of quality of life of all family members and decrease of psychological health of parents and ADHD children. Additionally, all the noted studies have shown that PMT improved the welfare of parents with ADHD children. Some studies have reported inefficiency of PMT on decreasing signs of hyperactivity and improvement of child-parent relationship (eg. Enebrink et al., 2012; Wells et al., 2010). Therefore, our results are consistent with the most of the studies in this field.

Conclusion

Thus, continuous PMT intervention is essential. PMT creates a ground for more cooperation, improvement of mood condition, decrease of psychological symptoms, and better social and family interaction in adulthood lives of ADHD children. Thereby, PMT as a non-drug intervention could be highly effective when used along with drug intervention to achieve such skills as cognitive, behavioral, emotional, and social skills. Future works may survey the effects of PMT on child-parent relationship of parents of children with other psychological disorders or the effects of other interventions on improvement of child-parents relationship of parents with ADHD children. Moreover, elaboration on the perception and experience of parents with PMT can be a subject for future studies.
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