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Abstract

Background: This study aimed at analyzing microbial contamination in medical equipment, environment, and staff of a gastroenterology unit.

Methods: Samples of gastrointestinal imaging devices, the environment, and staff were collected using standard swab-rinse technique and biochemical or molecular characteristics of the isolates, their susceptibility to antibiotics, and similarity of the resistance patterns were investigated.

Results: Out of 107 samples, bacterial contamination was detected in the hands of staff (54.1%), imaging devices (56.7%), and in the environment (54.5%). While Pseudomonas spp. were detected only in the imaging devices (13.5%), Bacillus spp. (32.4% and 31.5%), Enterococcus spp. (14.3% and 5.9%), Clostridium difficile (10.8% and 10.5%), and Staphylococcus epidermidis (5.4% and 15.9%) were orderly the most common isolates from samples of the imaging devices and the environment. Nearly, 40% of P. aeruginosa strains were resistant to ceftazidime, while resistance to cephalosporins and β-lactamase inhibitor was detected in 33% and 75% of S. aureus strains, respectively. Homology of resistance patterns was detected between the imaging devices and hands of the staff.

Conclusion: Our results proposed biofilm and spore forming bacteria as main contaminants of imaging devices in this hospital. Homology of the resistance patterns proposed involvement of staff in contamination of the equipment.
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Introduction

Contamination of the medical devices is one of the widespread causes of hospital acquired infections (HAIs). Endoscopes and colonoscopes are the most widely used devices, whose role in development of HAIs is not well known. Several outbreaks of gastroenteritis in association with contaminated fiber optic imaging devices or biopsy forceps have been reported in different countries (1, 2).

These infections usually occur after examination of patients with inadequately disinfected medical devices. Contamination of endoscopes and colonoscopes can occur through direct contact of patients with the medical tools that are infected with body secretion or through indirect contact of employees and personnel with patients and infected equipment. Ability of some bacteria to form bio-
films on the inner side of the devices and the related equipment can contribute to failure of the decontamination process. In the present study, bacterial contamination of imaging devices of a gastroenterology unit and the personnel in their contact was examined to investigate the rate of cross-contamination among them.

**Methods**

*Sampling and bacterial identification*

Random specimens were prepared from endoscope, colonoscope, ultrasonography endoscope, forceps, patient’s bed, and plate of cleansing imaging devices before examination and immediately after the sterilization process during February 2010 and September 2010. The specimens were also collected from the personnel of the endoscopy unit (including hands, nose, and cell phones) at the time of examination. Accordingly, the sterilized swabs saturated with physiological serum (pH=7) were cultured on Blood agar and MacConkey agar media and incubated in aerobe condition at 37°C for 24 hours. The biochemical characteristics of all isolates were verified using Bergey’s Manual of Systematic Bacteriology. Clostridium difficile was identified in selective culture medium supplemented with 7% horse blood and selective components and PCR (3).

**Antimicrobial susceptibility testing**

To analyze the susceptibility of our isolates to antibiotics, different commercially antibiotic discs (Padtan Teb, Iran) were used for each bacterial genus. In case of Bacillus cereus, interpretation of results was performed using the criteria described for *S. aureus*. Multiple drug resistance (MDR) was defined in those isolates that were resistant to at least 3 or more antibiotics from different categories (4). Methicillin resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) phenotype was determined based on resistance of *S. aureus* strains to cefoxitin (30µg/mL) in Mueller Hinton agar medium. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 and *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 strains were used as control strains.

**Determination of the phenetic similarity**

To analyze probable and basic similarities between the strains obtained from the personnel and medical equipment, drug resistance patterns were applied as biotyping numerical data. Accordingly, taxonomic similarity of the resistance patterns was assessed using NTSYSpc software.

**Results**

*Sample collection and bacterial contamination*

Out of 107 samples, bacterial contamination was observed in hands of the staff of gastroenterology unit with a frequency of 54.1% (26/48) and in the imaging devices with a frequency of 56.7%. Main bacterial isolates from the imaging gastroenterology devices and the environmental samples were orderly included *S. aureus*, *Enterococcus spp.*, *S. epidermidis*, Batillus spp., *Pseudomonas spp.* and *C. difficile*. *S. aureus*, *S. epidermidis*, *Enterococcus spp.* and *Bacillus spp.* were detected in hands of health care staff in the gastroenterology unit. Coexistence of different bacterial genera in a single sample was detected among 8.1% (3/37) and 13.6% (3/22) of the imaging devices.

**Antibiotic susceptibility testing**

According to the antibiotic susceptibility test results, 3
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**Table 1. Frequency of bacterial contamination in gastroenterology unit of a hospital in Tehran, Iran**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterial Contamination</th>
<th>Pseudomonas spp.</th>
<th>Enterococcus spp.</th>
<th>S. aureus</th>
<th>S. epidermidis</th>
<th>B. licheniformis</th>
<th>C. difficile</th>
<th>S. agropastat Ruth</th>
<th>Mixed infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colonscope (7/14, 50%)</td>
<td>1 (7.1%)</td>
<td>2 (14.3%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (7.1%)</td>
<td>4 (28.6%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2/14 (14.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endosonography device</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (20%)</td>
<td>1 (20%)</td>
<td>1 (20%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoscope (11/18, 61.1%)</td>
<td>4 (22.2%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (5.5%)</td>
<td>5 (27.7%)</td>
<td>1 (5.5%)</td>
<td>1 (5.5%)</td>
<td>1/18 (5.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hands of HCWs* (26/48, 54.1%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5 (50%)</td>
<td>8 (16.6%)</td>
<td>16 (33.3%)</td>
<td>16 (33.3%)</td>
<td>8 (16.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washing Equipment Forceps (1/2, 50%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/2 (50%), <em>S. epidermidis</em>+ B. coagulans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients'bed (10/17, 58.8%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (5.9%)</td>
<td>2 (11.7%)</td>
<td>2 (11.7%)</td>
<td>5 (29.4%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (5.9%)</td>
<td>2/17 (11.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HCWs: Health care workers.*
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out of 12 *S. aureus* strains were defined as MRSA (25%). MDR *S. aureus* was detected among 75% of the strains (9/12), which was included in all the 3 MRSA strains. The highest rate of resistance among the studied bacterial genera was observed against penicillin (*S. aureus*, 100%; *S. epidermidis*, 87.5%; *Enterococcus spp.*, 75%; and *B. cereus*, 100%). Resistance to ampicillin, vancomycin, gentamicin, and nitrofurantoin was detected among 75% (2/3) of *Enterococcus* strains. *P. aeruginosa* strains were sensitive to most of the antibiotics tested, but resistant to cefepime 40% (2/5). Resistance to third and fourth generation cephalosporins was detected in strains of *S. aureus* (4/12, 33%), *B. cereus* (1/1, 100%), and *S. epidermidis* (6/24, 25%). All the bacteria were sensitive to imipenem, and resistance to ciprofloxacin was detected just for *Enterococci* (2/3, 75%). Resistance to β-lactamase inhibitor was mainly observed among the strains of *S. aureus* (11/12, 91.6%) and *S. epidermidis* (10/24, 41.6%). The sole *B. cereus* strain was sensitive to ciprofloxacin, vancomycin, gentamicin, imipenem, and chloramphenicol. Moreover, triple, quadruple, and senary drug resistance phenotypes among *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* strains were orderly detected in a frequency of 44.4% (4/9) and 59.1% (13/22), 44.4% (4/9) and 13.63% (3/22), and 11.1% (1/9) and 4.54% (1/22). Quintuple drug resistance phenotype was detected just for *S. epidermidis* strains (18.18% (4/22).

**Analysis of the phenetic similarity**

Homology results revealed the highest similarity among *S. epidermidis* strains, while no homology was found for *S. aureus* strains among different samples. These similarities were observed between the personnel's hands, or between the personnel’s hand and patients’ table (Fig. 1). This dendrogram was drawn using NTSys software, Unweighted Paired Group Method, with Arithmetic mean (UPGMA) algorithm after conversion of the resistance data to 0 (sensitive) and 1 (resistance) numeric matrix. The abbreviation letters are related to sampling parts: H: hand, W: washing system, C: colonoscope, B: patient’s bed, M: mobile, T: patient’s table, and E: endoscope. The numbers below the diagram presented the percentage of homology.

![Fig 1. Phenotypic homology dendrogram of drug resistance patterns among *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* strains isolated from various sources in gastroenterology unit.](http://mjiri.iums.ac.ir)
Discussion

The presence of pathogenic bacteria, such as Enterococcus, Pseudomonas and C. difficile species, in the samples collected from the endoscope and colonoscope confirmed the weakness of the used sterilization methods for these medical imaging devices. We found an association between the cleaning conditions and types of the characterized bacteria in these devices, as most of these bacteria are generally resistant to the administered cleaning solution (Glutaraldehyde 2% for 10 minutes). Previous studies have revealed that bacterial spores and capsule forming bacteria can sustain their life due to their innate resistance to these compounds and can transfer easily between patients and hospital environment (5, 6). S. aureus, S. epidermidis, S. Saprophyticus, and Enterococcus strains among the Gram-positive bacteria and P. aeruginosa strains among the Gram-negative bacteria are known as the main bacteria capable of biofilm formation in the medical devices. Bacillus spp. and Clostridia are spore forming bacteria, whose elimination needs disinfection through glutaraldehyde (2%-3.4%) for 20 minutes (7).

Bacillus spp. and Pseudomonas spp. were among the commonest bacterial species detected in the studied equipment. In a study in the USA and Canada, Gram-negative bacteria including Salmonella, E. coli, Pseudomonas, Klebsiella, Enterobacter species, Serratia marcescens, and Helicobacter pylori were introduced as the most common transmitting bacteria by endoscopes and colonoscopies through imperfect sterilization of the equipment and use of infected water sources in rinse (1). High frequency of Bacillus species and C. difficile in medical imaging equipment in the present study could be attributed to the resistance of their spores to the used sterilization process. Colonization of P. aeruginosa in these devices, which is known as a biofilm forming bacteria, supports this hypothesis.

In a study by McFarland LV. et al., the existence of C. difficile was reported in 59% of HCWs’ hands. Although transmission of C. difficile by HCWs was not confirmed in our study, occurrence of cross-contamination between patients and hospital environment was suggested because of its isolation from the hospital environment and accessory equipment of the imaging devices.

Results of antimicrobial susceptibility testing revealed a high frequency of MDR S. aureus and S. epidermidis in the gastroenterology imaging unit. Most of these strains were isolated from HCWs’ hands and patients’ bed. Resistance of these strains to broad spectrum β-lactams and β-lactam inhibitors has made them hospital adapted strains. Homology of the resistance patterns between the Staphylococcus aureus and cytoxic Clotridium difficile are predisposing factors for septic shock in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Prz Gastroenterol. 2013;9(3):206-210.
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Conclusion

Our results proposed biofilm and spore forming bacteria as the main contaminant of imaging devices in this hospital. Homology of the resistance patterns proposed involvement of staff in contamination of the equipment. Designation of hygienic programs should be considered to prevent HAIs through contaminated imaging devices in hospital settings.
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