Citalopram and group psychotherapy in breast cancer patients: A randomized clinical trial
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Abstract

Background: Depression is a common psychiatric disorder in breast cancer patients. This study was designed to evaluate the clinical efficacy of group psychotherapy on breast cancer patients with depressive disorder who took citalopram.

Methods: This clinical trial was conducted on 40 breast cancer patients with depressive disorder. The control group received citalopram 20-40 mg/day for 12 weeks and the intervention group participated in 8 sessions of group psychotherapy in addition to the same dose of citalopram. At the baseline and 3, 6, and 12 weeks after treatment, patients were followed-up. Treatment outcomes and quality of life were compared between the 2 groups.

Results: Overall, the depression score of Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) at baseline with the mean of 11.6±1.6 was signed in the range of clinical depression and after intervention it declined to 8.8±3.6 (in the 3rd week), 7.1±3.9 (6th week), and 5.9±4.5 (12th week). Furthermore, HADS anxiety score at baseline with the mean of 12.6±2.6 was signed in the range of clinical anxiety and after intervention it declined to 9.1±3.0, 7.3±4.1, and 6.0±4.0, respectively. This improvement was significantly more in the combined therapy intervention group (p<0.001). The mean score of quality of life based on WHO QOL-BREF questionnaire increased by 1.85 fold in the case group, improved from 44.09 to 81.70, while the slight change was observed in the control group (p<0.001). During the treatment, no significant adverse drug event was observed in the 2 groups (p>0.05).

Conclusion: Group psychotherapy has a significant effect on improving depression, anxiety, and quality of life in breast cancer patients.
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Introduction

Breast cancer is the most prevalent invasive cancer of women worldwide, and depression is a common psychiatric disorder in these patients (1). It has been reported that 10% to 25% of breast cancer patients experience depression at any phase of their life (2), which can have a negative impact on their quality of life (3) and physical condition as well as on disease outcomes (4, 5). Therefore, early recognition and management of depression are important in improving quality of life and reducing distress (1, 5, 6). There are different approaches for the management of depressive disorder in cancer patients such as psychosocial or pharmacological interventions or combined treatment (7-9). Some previous studies have represented citalopram, a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI), and group psychotherapy as safe and effective interventions for the treatment of depression in breast cancer patients (7-14). However, there are a few pieces of evidence which show the superiority of any one specific intervention (either pharmacological approach or psychotherapy), and further research is necessary for different communities to define the most appropriate approach to depression when it occurs in comorbidity with breast cancer (15).

What is “already known” in this topic:
Depression is a common psychiatric problem in breast cancer patients, which can have a negative impact on physical condition, quality of life, and disease outcomes of these patients.

What this article adds:
This study showed that combined treatment with citalopram and group psychotherapy had a significant clinical impact on depression, anxiety, and quality of life in breast cancer patients without serious physical adverse reactions.
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This study was performed to evaluate the efficacy of citalopram and group psychotherapy in breast cancer patients with depressive disorder.

Methods
Design and setting of the study
This randomized clinical trial was conducted in Babol, Northern Iran.

Participants
In the present study, the population of new cases of breast cancer patients in the stage III of the disease referred to governmental treatment centers affiliated to Babol University of Medical Sciences (which are presented as the biggest referral cancer treatment centers in northern Iran). The sample size in each group was calculated as 16 using the formula in interventional studies when the variables are quantitative, with 95% confidence interval, 80% study power, and the assumption that δ₁=δ₂=3 in HADS scores for finding 3 units of difference in HADS scores between the 2 groups after the intervention. Adding probable 20% loss to follow-up, the sample size was calculated as 20 in each group. The patients undergoing recent mastectomy surgery were invited to participate in the study. After initial counseling about the objectives of the study (performed by their surgeon), the patients were referred to a psychiatrist and examined using a clinical structured interview. A total of 40 patients diagnosed with depression according to DSM-IV TR were enrolled in the research when they met the inclusion and exclusion criteria and informed consent could be obtained.

These eligible patients were distributed in 2 groups with simple random allocation based on even or odd numbers: intervention (n=20) and control group (n=20).

Inclusion and exclusion criteria
Inclusion criteria:
1- New cases of breast cancer patients
2- Having undergone recent mastectomy surgery
3- Diagnosis of depressive disorder: mixed depression and anxiety disorder, adjustment disorder, with mixed depressive and anxiety mood, and/ or adjustment disorder with depressive mood according to DSM-IV TR

Exclusion criteria:
1- Other major psychiatric disorders, such as bipolar mood disorder, psychotic disorder, or substance use disorder
2- Mental retardation
3- Endocrine disorders

Procedures and variable assessment
At first, all participants were examined by a psychiatrist. Anxiety and depression were evaluated with a self-report rating scale of 14-items Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS). HADS is a useful, acceptable, valid, and reliable tool for screening anxiety and depression in clinical settings (16, 17). This questionnaire has 2 subscales for anxiety (7 items) and for depression (7 items). For each item, the participants were asked to indicate which of the 4 options (rated from 3 to 0) comes closest to describing how they have been feeling in the past week. The score of 0-7 indicates no clinical symptoms of anxiety or depression, 8-10 represents mild anxiety or depression, and 11-21 indicates symptomatic anxiety or depression (16, 17). HADS is a self-report questionnaire; however, if the patient cannot complete it, the researcher will fill out the questionnaire according to the patient’s answers. The internal consistency of the Iranian version of the HADS has been found to be 0.78 for the anxiety subscale and 0.86 for the depression subscale, and its validity has been found to be 0.92; indicating satisfactory psychometric properties in Iranian population (18).

The patients’ quality of life was assessed with the standardized scale of the Iranian version of WHO QOL-BREF, whose validity, reliability, internal consistency, and dimensional structure were evaluated, and the results showed acceptable properties (19). This scale has 26 items for assessment of QOL: 2 items related to general health and overall QOL status and 24 items to evaluate its subdomains (physical and mental health, patient’s dependence to the others, social relationship, environment and religious beliefs). It has been presented as a proper measure for assessing quality of life in adult Iranian populations (19, 20).

The control group received 20-40 mg/day citalopram (adjusted according to the therapeutic response and patient’s tolerance) for 12 weeks and the intervention group received supportive group psychotherapy plus citalopram. An expert psychologist held these group psychotherapy classes. Psychotherapy classes were conducted by an expert clinical psychologist; these sessions were free, offered weekly for 8 weeks, and for at least 45 minutes in each session. All patients were reminded via phone call and text message a day before the planned session to participate in a psychotherapy class or to be visited by a physician.

The contents of these group psychotherapy classes were scheduled to help the patient to better adapt to the environment. Considering that the participants were undergoing coincidental chemotherapy, the side-effects of chemotherapy and how to interfere with these complications were discussed in each session. These 8 sessions were conducted as follow: (1) introduction of the trainer and group members to each other; stating the regulations of the group such as privacy and confidentiality; introduction of the therapeutic approach; the overall objectives of the group; the required processes to attain the objectives; the biopsychosocial dimensions of depressive disorders and emphasis to do activities which should be performed at home; (2) training the skill of problem-solving; the association between daily activities and mood; and behavioral approaches that are helpful to elevate the mood; (3) an overview of the previous class content; combining cheerful and well-behaved activities to validate equilibrium and positive reinforcement and use of past samples to demonstrate these activities; (4) an overview of the previous class content; evaluation of the outcomes and consequencnes of behavioral improvements; training the skill of deep abdominal breathing and doing it at the beginning of each session along with imagination; (5) an overview of the
previous class assignment; describing situations, recognizing and expressing excitements, describing self-talk as a relationship between situation and excitement using patients’ examples; (6) an overview of the previous class assignment; setting up hot thoughts, introducing evidence techniques, and evaluating existing evidence about hot thoughts; (7) an overview of the previous class assignment; empty chair technique training and team members’ demonstrations; and training of the role playing technique; (8) application of the skills learned by participants.

All the participants were examined by a psychiatrist on the 3rd, 6th, and 12th weeks; their adverse drug reactions were followed-up, and HADS and WHO QOL-BREF questionnaires were reassessed at the end of the program (12th week). Therapeutic response was defined as 50% or more reduction in the symptoms compared to the baseline examination. Fortunately, all the patients completed the study and no one dropped out due to death or noncompliance.

The flow of the participants in the study is presented in Fig. 1.

**Ethical approval and consent to participate**

Ethical concerns related to clinical trials were considered in the study design, patient participation, informing, confidentiality, and autonomy. Also, the research was registered in the website of Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials (www.irct.ir), which is a part of the WHO Registry Network and is set up by the Ministry of Health and Medical Education (MOHME) of Iran with the IRCT2015063022991N1 identification number.

**Consent for publication**

Written informed consent for publication of the patients’ clinical details was obtained from the participants.

**Availability of data and material**

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this article and its supplementary information files.

**Statistical analysis**

Demographic characteristics and treatment results were compared between the 2 groups. The results are presented as means ± SD for continuous data and as n (%) for categorical data. The 2 groups had no differences in baseline characteristics; therefore, it was not necessary to adjust the confounding factors.

Data analysis was performed by t test and ANOVA repeated measure at alpha significance level of 0.05 using SPSS software package (Version 18).

**Results**

Participants’ age ranged from 29 to 75 years. The demographic and clinical characteristics, comorbid disorders, and psychiatric histories of the 2 groups are presented in Table 1. This table demonstrates that age, the area of residence, educational level, marital status, type of cancer
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Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics, comorbid disorders and medical histories of control and intervention groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Intervention group (citalopram + psychotherapy)</th>
<th>Control group (only citalopram)</th>
<th>Total number (percent)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age</td>
<td>49.7±8.7</td>
<td>49.4±12.4</td>
<td>49.6±10.6</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of residence: Urban</td>
<td>12 (60)</td>
<td>10 (50)</td>
<td>22 (55)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>8 (40)</td>
<td>10 (50)</td>
<td>18 (45)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level: Illiterate</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>7 (35)</td>
<td>8 (20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school training</td>
<td>14 (70)</td>
<td>9 (45)</td>
<td>23 (57.5)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>6 (15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor of Science (Bc)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master of Science (Msc)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status: Not married</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>16 (80)</td>
<td>19 (95)</td>
<td>35 (87.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widow or Divorced</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>3 (7.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbid diseases: No</td>
<td>11 (55)</td>
<td>13 (65)</td>
<td>24 (60)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9 (45)</td>
<td>7 (35)</td>
<td>16 (40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment protocol: Surgery</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery+ chemotherapy</td>
<td>11 (55)</td>
<td>11 (55)</td>
<td>22 (55)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery+ chemotherapy + radiotherapy</td>
<td>8 (40)</td>
<td>9 (45)</td>
<td>17 (42.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Depression and anxiety subscores of HADS in intervention and control groups: 3rd, 6th, 12th weeks after intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Intervention group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Total depression subscore</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Intervention group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Total anxiety subscore</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD of depression subscore of HADS</td>
<td>Mean±SD of anxiety subscore of HADS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>12.1±2.2</td>
<td>11.3±0.55</td>
<td>11.6±1.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>13.3±2.9</td>
<td>11.9±2.1</td>
<td>12.6±2.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd week</td>
<td>7.4±4.2</td>
<td>10.2±2.1</td>
<td>8.8±3.6</td>
<td>9±3.9</td>
<td>9.2±1.7</td>
<td>8.8±2.9</td>
<td>9.1±3.0</td>
<td>6.0±4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th week</td>
<td>4.6±3.5</td>
<td>9.6±2.6</td>
<td>7.1±3.9</td>
<td>5.8±4.5</td>
<td>8.8±2.9</td>
<td>7.3±4.1</td>
<td>5.0±6.9</td>
<td>3.5±2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th week</td>
<td>2.7±2.8</td>
<td>9.2±3.3</td>
<td>5.9±4.5</td>
<td>3.5±2.9</td>
<td>8.5±3.2</td>
<td>6.0±4.0</td>
<td>5.0-6.9</td>
<td>3.5±2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The occurrence of adverse drug reactions, such as nausea, vomiting, anorexia, sleep disturbance, headache, anxiety symptoms of the 2 groups had a significant improvement on the 3rd, 6th and 12th weeks of intervention (p<0.001) and the patients who were treated with citalopram plus group psychotherapy scored in the range without clinical symptoms of anxiety or depression on the 12th week. The scores of depression and anxiety of the 2 groups on the 3rd, 6th and 12th weeks of the study are presented in Table 2; and the trend of these scores on the 3rd, 6th and 12th weeks of the research are presented in Figs. 2 and 3.

The results showed that depression and anxiety of the 2 groups had a significant improvement on the 3rd, 6th and 12th weeks of intervention (p<0.001) and the patients who were treated with citalopram plus group psychotherapy scored in the range without clinical symptoms of anxiety or depression on the 12th week. The scores of depression and anxiety of the 2 groups on the 3rd, 6th and 12th weeks of the study are presented in Table 2; and the trend of these scores on the 3rd, 6th and 12th weeks of the research are presented in Figs. 2 and 3.

Fig. 2. The trend of depression (based on mean depression subscore of HADS) in the two groups

Fig. 3. The trend of anxiety (based on mean anxiety subscore of HADS) in the two groups

Table 2. Depression and anxiety subscores of HADS in intervention and control groups: 3rd, 6th, 12th weeks after intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Intervention group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Total depression subscore</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Intervention group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Total anxiety subscore</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD of depression subscore of HADS</td>
<td>Mean±SD of anxiety subscore of HADS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>12.1±2.2</td>
<td>11.3±0.55</td>
<td>11.6±1.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>13.3±2.9</td>
<td>11.9±2.1</td>
<td>12.6±2.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd week</td>
<td>7.4±4.2</td>
<td>10.2±2.1</td>
<td>8.8±3.6</td>
<td>9±3.9</td>
<td>9.2±1.7</td>
<td>8.8±2.9</td>
<td>9.1±3.0</td>
<td>6.0±4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th week</td>
<td>4.6±3.5</td>
<td>9.6±2.6</td>
<td>7.1±3.9</td>
<td>5.8±4.5</td>
<td>8.8±2.9</td>
<td>7.3±4.1</td>
<td>5.0±6.9</td>
<td>3.5±2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th week</td>
<td>2.7±2.8</td>
<td>9.2±3.3</td>
<td>5.9±4.5</td>
<td>3.5±2.9</td>
<td>8.5±3.2</td>
<td>6.0±4.0</td>
<td>5.0-6.9</td>
<td>3.5±2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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tremor, perspiration, and sexual function impairment were followed in the 2 groups. The findings showed that citalopram did not have any significant adverse effects, also, there was no significant statistical difference between the 2 groups on the incidence of drug side-effects (p=0.05), except for nausea (p= 0.004).

The mean standardized score of quality of life (total of 100 points) had no significant difference between the 2 groups before intervention: 44.09±8.48 in the case group and 43.64±13.73 in control group (p= 0.900). After the intervention, QOL measure changed to 81.70±10.04 and 47.39±12.93, respectively (p<0.001). This finding shows that the mean score of QOL in the intervention group increased by 1.85 fold, but this score had a slight increase in the control group.

Discussion
The findings revealed that both depression and anxiety significantly improved in the 2 groups, especially the patients who were treated with citalopram and group psychotherapy were cured completely on the 12th week and had no clinical symptoms. This finding is consistent with the result of Park in Korea (2012) in which a 12-week treatment with escitalopram (5-20 mg/day) in 79 breast cancer patients with depression improved QOL and reduced depression in the participants (1). Moreover, in the research of Vega in Spain, treatment with escitalopram (10-20 mg QD) plus brief narrative therapy in non-metastatic breast, lung, and colon cancers with depressive disorder made significant improvements in global health and global QOL (21). Fann, in his review article (2008), presented 14 studies in which antidepressants were prescribed for breast cancer patients; in some trials the efficacy of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors such as fluoxetine, paroxetine, and sertraline has been emphasized; in addition, the effectivesness of psychotherapy approaches on pain, fatigue, and distress reduction, and improvement in depression, anxiety, and QoL have been mentioned (2).

Previous studies suggest that in palliative care of cancer patients, depression is frequently undiagnosed or untreated and that interventions are often initiated so late that patients die before they have time to feel the effect (14). Four common causes of ineffective management of depression in cancer patients are under detection, inadequate initial treatment, failure to monitor their adherence and response to therapeutic approaches, and failure to adjust treatment protocols in non-responding patients (22). There is a bidirectional relationship between depression and chronic diseases such as cancer. The psychobiological changes and adverse health risk behaviors associated with depression can deteriorate cancer prognosis, and biological changes and complications associated with cancer and cancer treatment approaches may precipitate depressive episodes (23). Comorbid depression is associated with increased medical symptom, impairment in global functioning, medical expenditures, lower adherence to self-care approaches, and increased risk of morbidity and mortality in cancer patients. Depression may worsen the course of cancer because of its effect on hypothalamic-pituitary axis, autonomic nervous system, proinflammato-ry factors, and metabolic factors in addition to being associated with a higher risk of a sedentary lifestyle, obesity, smoking, and lower adherence to medical regimens (5). These options emphasize the proper and timely treatment of depression in cancer patients.

In this study, both groups were treated with citalopram, which is one of the most specific SSRIs and its plasma concentration rises in direct proportion to dose; thus, this drug may be a better choice for older adults and patients with significant liver or kidney dysfunction. Additionally, this drug does not substantially inhibit P450 enzymes, therefore, has the least drug interaction with other medications, such as chemotherapy drug regimens (24). In our research, treatment response was observed after the third week, which is compatible with this notion that SSRIs are often described as having a delayed onset of effect in the treatment of depression (25).

Combined therapy had a significant effect on QOL improvement of the patients. This finding is consistent with the result of Kissane’s research in which supportive-expressive group therapy improved quality of life, including treatment of and protection against depression (13). Considering the effects of cancer treatment approaches, such as chemotherapy on different domains of patients’ QOL (physical, emotional, mental, and social functioning) (26), psychotherapy was accompanied by training for some important skills, such as problem-solving and relaxation techniques, which can improve patients’ QOL.

In this research, sexual dysfunction was reported in 40% of the patients; this side-effect is one of the most common adverse reactions of citalopram; also, in previously published papers, the frequency of this reaction in the recipients of SSRI was reported to be 50%-80% (27). Other common reactions were nausea and vomiting, which were reported in 17 (42.5%) and 12 (30%) individuals, respectively. Since the patients were undergoing chemotherapy, it was difficult to differentiate nausea and vomiting (N/V) as citalopram side-effects from understandable N/V relating to chemotherapy.

The strength of the present research was that only the breast cancer patients who were at stage III of the disease were enrolled, while in the research of Vega (21), breast, lung, and colon cancer patients were compared with each other. Taking into consideration the different survival of these malignancies, the method of our research has higher priority.

After the treatment, we followed up the patients only for 12 weeks. Moreover, this particular study design was selected because of the difficulty in setting regular scheduled follow-ups for the recruited cancer patients, especially when they were anxious or depressed. Therefore, we could not evaluate the impact of the intervention on the long survival of the patients. For future studies, it is suggested to implement clinical trials with a much larger sample size and longer follow-up of the patients to assess patients’ survival rate.

The challenge of this study was the concurrency of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting, which caused interference in group psychotherapy programs; also, the patients whose homes were far from the interven-
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