Forecasting health expenditures in Iran using the ARIMA model (2016-2020)
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Abstract

Background: Accurate economic forecast has important effects on governmental policy and economic planning, and it can help policymakers to make decisions for future and create new infrastructures for the development of new forecasting methods. This study calculated total health expenditure, public health expenditure and out of pocket (OOP) payment for 2016-2020.

Methods: Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average Process (ARIMA) is one of the most important forecasting models. In this study, five-year values were forecasted using EViews® software according to health expenditures in Iran from 1971 to 2015.

Results: Applying annual data for total health expenditure, resulted in the ARIMA (1,1,1) model being the most appropriate to predict these costs. The results of this study indicate that total health expenditures will reach from about 1228338 billion IRR in 2016 to 2698346 billion IRR in 2020 and the amount of out of pocket (OOP) will become more than 41% of total health expenditure in 2020.

Conclusion: Total health expenditures in 2020 will become more than two halves in 2016. These expenditures indicated there is a need for continued governmental support of this sector during the upcoming years.
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Introduction

In recent decades, increased health care expenditure has made some problems for financing health expenditures in the society, so that provision of household health expenditure by the government is considered as an important challenge in the health system (1, 2). Forecast of the trend of variables is one of the important issues in economic studies. A correct forecast has an important effect on governmental policy and planning and it can help policymakers in making future planning and provide infrastructure for the development of new ways of forecasting (3). According to definitions, prediction of future situations and events is called forecast. Forecaster prepares a generalized model for the future, according to obtained information from past and analysis of this data.

Corresponding author: Dr Ali Akbar Fazaeli, afazaeli83@gmail.com

What is “already known” in this topic:
Health financing is an important subject in recent decades. Forecasting healthcare expenditure is a robust way to know what happens in the next years.

What this article adds:
This study forecasted three health expenditure trend (public, out of pocket and total) for 2016-2020 and was the first study in this field in Iran. The results of this study indicate that total health expenditure in 2020 will become more than two halves in 2016.
Forecasting health expenditures

This basic method is used in most forecasting methods assuming the continuation of obtaining a model in the future. Econometric models are tools which are used by economists to forecast future economic changes. In the simplest word, econometricians measure past relationships between variables such as consumption expenditure, household income, tax rates, interest rates, and employment, and then try to forecast how changes in some variables might affect the future path of others. Econometricians generally begin their work with an economic model to be able to do such calculations. This model is a theory about the interaction between various factors in the economy (4). This study uses Box–Jenkins forecast method to forecast five-year health expenditures from 2016 to 2020 through health information of four recent decades. Fair finance of health system is one of the most important health issues, as the World Health Organization emphasized the importance of this issue in 2000 and the way of achieving fair financing methods in the report of 2010 (5). Most countries aim to ensure public fair participation in health expenditures (6). Total health expenditures (THE) include public expenditure of health sector (THE) and individual expenditure in this sector (OOP & private health expenditures). It is necessary to reduce public share in health expenditure by increasing share of government and insurance companies (public sector) in this field. High share of households’ direct payment from total health expenditure increases the risk of household exposure to catastrophic health expenditures (7, 8). One of the main tasks of governments is to protect households’ financial against health payments and expenditures (9). Therefore, reduction of out of pocket (OOP) payment is one of the policies of the health sector in Iran as mentioned in the fourth, fifth and sixth development plans (10). This is a descriptive study that simulates the trend of the past and suggests that if this trend continues, what happens in the next years.

**Methods**

**ARIMA model (p, l, q)**

The development and construction of ARIMA models as forecasting tools of economic variable values are known as the Box–Jenkins method (11). This model is one of the most popular linear models for forecasting time series, which has useful applications in the economic forecast. ARIMA model consists of autoregressive (AR) and moving average (MA) models obtained by differentiating dependent variable due to its durability. Components of this model are then explained (12). The autoregressive vector model is a statistical model which expresses linear dependence between several time series. Autoregressive model estimates future of time series using its past and other series at several time lags (13). AR model with p order is as follows:

\[
Y_t = c + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha Y_{t-i} + \varepsilon
\]

(1)

Where, q represents parameter of model, C is a model constant, and \(\varepsilon\) is an error term. Moving average model with q order is defined as follows (14).

\[
y_t = \mu + \varepsilon_t + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \theta_i \varepsilon_{t-i} \]

(II)

The Autoregressive Moving Average Process (ARMA), which is sometimes called the Box–Jenkins forecast method, is a model that is commonly used to measure time-series data. ARMA model is a tool for examining and forecasting future values in time-series data. This model consists of two sections, AR and MA. Therefore, the ARMA model is represented as ARMA (p, q) in the scientific literature, where p is the order of the AR model, and q is the order of the MA model (15).

\[
y_t = \sum_{i=1}^{p} ay_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \theta_i \varepsilon_{t-i} \]

(III)

It will be changed to the ARIMA model considering differentiation of variable as a dependent variable due to non-durability of variables:

\[
\Delta y = \sum_{i=1}^{p} ay_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \theta_i \varepsilon_{t-i} \]

(III)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test (ADF) can be used to test the durability of variables. Numbers of autoregressive and moving average terms is usually calculated using self-correlation functions (16), but since there may be other optimal models, which are preferred to the above-mentioned model, these models are reviewed by Akaike or Schwarz criterion, so that a model is suitable if it has the lowest Akaike or Schwarz value (17).

**Data analysis**

Applied data of this study consisted of total health expenditure, public health expenditures, and the Iranian households’ out of pocket (OOP) payment from 1971 to 2015. This data was based on data of national health accounts from 2002 to 2015 as well as data of Budget and Performance Monitoring Center of Iran Ministry of Health and Medical Education from 1971 to 2001. Figure 1 shows amounts of these expenditures since 1996. As shown, the total health expenditure was more than 45000 billion IRR in 2002, and it reached more than 1035000 billion IRR with an accelerated trend in 2015. (18)

Given the fact that this study is a retrospective study, in the cost’s forecasts, factors such as inflation and the conditions of recession and economic prosperity in the
coming years can affect the results of this study. For (PHE), public health costs include government fees and insurance.

**Model estimation**

We first build natural logarithm of LTHE, LPHE, and LOOP series by converting (THE), (PHE) and (OOP) time series, and then approve unit root and instability of time series by examining the process and the ACF function as well as ADF test(2). According to Table 1, given that statistic of the first and second legs of the series are less than the critical values, this series has a unit root with an error probability of 5%, and it is also determined that the series becomes static by a differentiation. Using the Akaike information criterion (AIC), we provide a criterion for determining the optimal lags of the model.

**Results**

Using autoregressive econometric models of ARIMA (1,1,1) for (OOP), ARIMA (1,1,4) for public health expenditures, and ARIMA (1,1,1) for total health expenditures from a 45-year-old sample, we forecasted in five years out of period. For more accurate estimates, we used logarithmic values of variables and again converted forecasted values into natural numbers. Selection of these p and q degrees for the model was done by frequent estimates and consideration of significance level and Akaic and Schwarz Criteria. Table 1 shows a stability test of variables. Values of Augmented Dickey–Fuller test (ADF) indicate that tree time series are non-stationary and have a unit root over time, and their estimation will result in false regression. Therefore, we have to differentiate and stabilize them, and then estimate the model. Table 2 also shows, model estimation based on various lags. Forecasts of total health expenditures, out of pocket (OOP) payment, and public governmental expenditures are also presented in Table 3.

The sum of (OOP) and (PHE), (THE), is NOT due to the fact that complementary insurance also exists as private insurance in the country that receives payment from people and their value is less than 10% of (THE).

**Discussion**

This study forecasted three health expenditures (public, out of pocket payment and total) for 2016-2020. As shown in Figure 1, it is noteworthy that the out of pocket (OOP) payment was more than public health expenditures by 2014 (implementation of the health system development plan) but these two variables were replaced in the same year. Since 2014, The distance between (OOP) and (THE) has grown with less growth. In the years prior to 2014, the government's share and insurers in financing the health system was less than 50%, and the share of people more than 50%. However, since then, the health sector's budget has seen significant growth. Of course, the total amount of (PHE) and (OOP) is not equal to the (THE), as there are private health costs, including complementary insurance, and this study is based on the assumption that this insurance has a steady share of this market.

This research was the first study in this field in Iran despite similar studies by Gutzan (2000) for forecasting health expenditures (19). Zhaw also forecasted China's health expenditures in 2010 (20). Results of this study indicate that total health expenditures will reach from about 1228338 billion IRR in 2016 to 2698346 billion IRR in 2020. Considering this growth of 193 percent during the sixth development plan years, out of pocket (OOP) payment will rise from 462104 billion IRR to 1121190 billion IRR, and public sector payment will rise from 657766 billion IRR in 2016 to 1456827 billion IRR in 2020. Out of pocket payment percentage will also be about 41% in 2020. One of the challenges faced by governments is the reduction of out-of-pocket payments through providing subsidies (21). Despite the reduction of this number in this year, it is still far from the goals of Iran's development plans which have considered a share of 30% for out of pocket payment (22). Private health expenditures such as complementary insurance are different between (THE) & total (PHE & OOP). However, comparison of this figure with the 2000s (share of out of pocket payment was 59% in 2010 (5)) indicates a decrease of more than 20%.

**Table 1. Non-Stationary tests**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADF (LOOP)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADF (LPHE)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADF (LTHE)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Model estimation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AR(1) (OOP)</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA(1) (OOP)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AR(1) (THE)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.0202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA(4) (THE)</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>0.0184</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AR(1) (PHE)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA(4) (PHE)</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>0.0448</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. Model estimation for forecast, million (IRR)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Health Expenditures*</th>
<th>Out of pocket</th>
<th>Government Health Expenditures (government &amp; insurance)</th>
<th>OOP%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1228338992</td>
<td>462104668</td>
<td>657766828</td>
<td>37.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1435813410</td>
<td>551788679</td>
<td>752122064</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1703728707</td>
<td>679549898</td>
<td>888604977</td>
<td>39.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2108178425</td>
<td>859926206</td>
<td>1122600812</td>
<td>40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>2696346031</td>
<td>1121190404</td>
<td>1456827621</td>
<td>41.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Complementary insurance as private insurance are not mention in table (3)
Forecasting health expenditures

Conclusion
This study shows that the amount of out of pocket (OOP) payment will become more than 41% of total health expenditure in 2020, and it indicates a gap between goals of development plans and perspective document which indicate less than 30% of share. Given the characteristics of the health sector, such as market failure and generality of this commodity as well as upstream laws, there is a need for governmental and public intervention and continued governmental support of this sector during the upcoming years. It should be noted that this estimate is according to the past trend of health expenditure time series, so that recent years had a greater impact on forecasting; hence, the governmental policies have affected higher payment for health sector in 2014 and 2015 such as implementation of health system development plan, allocation of one percent of Value Added Tax (VAT) to the health sector, and the allocation of 10% of subsidy reform plan resources to the health sector in order to achieve the above-mentioned values; and any change in this policy will surely change this forecast. If this trend continues, increasing health costs will be problematic. Especially if the government and insurance are to provide more of these costs, there is a need for new sources to sustain these costs.
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