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Abstract

Background: Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is a cause of major liver complications, particularly in patients infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). This study aimed to evaluate the efficacy of pegylated interferon (Peg-IFN) and a fixed dose of ribavirin treatment among Iranian HCV mono-infected and HCV/HIV co-infected patients.

Methods: A total of 214 HCV mono-infected and HCV/HIV co-infected patients attending Liver Disease Center in Tehran were assigned to receive treatment with Peg-IFN-α2a or -α2b plus ribavirin for 24-48 weeks. Sustained virologic response (SVR) was used as the primary efficacy endpoint of Peg-IFN and ribavirin therapy.

Results: Treatment with Peg-IFN and ribavirin has been associated with a considerably higher rate of SVR (24 weeks for HCV genotype 3 and 48 weeks for HCV/HIV co-infected and HCV genotype 1 patients). Overall, the clearance of HCV-RNA at the end of therapy occurred in 48.6% of patients. Adverse events leading to treatment discontinuation were seen in 14% of patients.

Conclusion: This retrospective study revealed a relatively well-tolerated response in both HCV mono-infected and HCV/HIV co-infected patients during treatment with Peg-IFN and ribavirin. However, the recent revolutionized interferon-free therapies for chronic HCV infection should be taken into account for achieving a greater response and minimal adverse events.
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Introduction

Hepatitis C is still a common life-threatening infectious diseases in global communities. It is estimated that 71 million individuals are living with chronic HCV infection globally with 1.75 million new infections emerging each year (1, 2). It turns out that, hepatitis C contributes to the advanced liver disease. According to the recent data, ap-
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proximately 480 thousand HCV infected-individuals are living in Iran (3). Although it is much lower than the statistics from countries neighboring Iran and also the other Eastern Mediterranean (EMRO) countries, the treatment of these patients is very pivotal for achieving the elimination strategy (4, 5).

Co-infection with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) is a challenge in people living with HCV infection, given more rapid progression of HCV related liver fibrosis, increased mortality, lower rates of spontaneous HCV clearance in those with HIV-HCV co-infection (6, 7). All the evidence represent the group as a "special population". It is also estimated that nearly 2.3 million world people are living with HIV-HCV co-infection (8, 9).

Before the introduction of direct-acting antivirals (DAAs), standard regimen for the treatment of chronic HCV was Peg-IFN plus ribavirin in a 24-48 weeks course (10-12). Common adverse events of treatment, such as psychiatric disorders, anemia, and neutropenia were reported in patients receiving the regimens. Ribavirin may interfere with antiretroviral medications such as zidovudine and stavudine (13) and may reinforce the toxic effects of didanosine (14).

Combination of ribavirin and Peg-IFN provides different sustained virologic responses. It is affected by the baseline HCV RNA level, HCV genotypes and co-infection with HIV; 70 - 90% response for HCV genotype 2 and genotype 3, almost 50% for HCV genotype 1 and genotype 4 in HCV mono-infected patients (15) and 50% for HCV genotype 2 and 3 besides 21-32% for HCV genotype 1 and 4 in HCV/HIV co-infected patients (16).

The aim of our retrospective study is the initial treatment of chronic HCV in Iranian HCV mono-infected and HCV/HIV co-infected patients to investigate the efficacy of a 24-48 week course of standard Peg-IFN alfa 2a and 2b plus ribavirin.

Methods

Study population

Patients with chronic hepatitis C and HIV infection attended the Association of Liver Diseases in Tehran from September 2012 enrolled in this cross-sectional study. The exclusion criteria were: presence of HBV/HCV co-infection, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), liver-transplanted patients, malignant neoplasms, diabetic patients, psychiatric disorders, autoimmune disorders, β-thalassemia, creatinine clearance lower than 50 mL/minute, patients with severe decompensated chronic liver disease, chronic respiratory failure, severe cardiac disease, and active substance abuse. The study protocol was approved by the institutional ethics committee, and all procedures contributing to this work complied with the Declaration of Helsinki. Questionnaires were completed by participants, and the privacy for research participants was respected in this study.

Laboratory methods

Laboratory methods including serology for HCV antibody detection and confirmation by RIBA test, HCV and HIV viral loads and genotypes determination. HCV genotyping was performed by a commercially available assay (InnoLiPA, Innogenetics, Ghent, Belgium). For determination of HIV viral loads, a COBAS TaqMan 48 Analyzer (Roche Diagnostics, Germany) was used, according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Furthermore, the CD4 cells count was performed by means of flow cytometry technique in HIV-infected patients. Interleukin 28B genotyping was also performed using a TaqMan 5’ allelic discrimination assay (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

A combination of pegylated interferon a-2a or 2b and ribavirin was used for management of chronic HCV infection. The treatment response was evaluated based on HCV RNA levels. HCV RNA levels were analyzed using COBAS Taqmam HCV Test (Roche Diagnostics, Germany) with a detection limit of 10 IU/mL. SVR is defined as the absence of HCV RNA within 24 weeks after treatment completion. Relapse is also defined as the reappearance of HCV RNA within 24 weeks after treatment completion and before achieving the SVR. Withdrawal means the discontinuation of treatment before finishing the therapy, which may be owing to resistance, drugs adverse effects or even patient’s poor cooperation. A decrease of less than 2 logs 10 IU/mL from baseline HCV RNA level at week 12 or a detectable HCV RNA level both at week 24 and after that, considered as resistance. HCV spontaneous clearance is the clearance of HCV infection within 6 months of exposure without any treatments. Approximately 25% of adults infected with hepatitis C, spontaneously clear the virus without treatment, some studies have found various rates ranging from about 15% to 50% (17). Erythropoietin and granulocyte colony stimulating factor (GCSF) were applied if the patients’ hemoglobin level or neutrophil counts decreased under 10 g/dL or 500/mL, respectively. Treatment response was evaluated according to an intention-to-treat analysis. The primary endpoint SVR was defined as undetectable HCV RNA in serum 24-48 weeks after the end of treatment. Patients who did not exhibit significant decreases (≥ 2 logs) in HCV RNA levels were considered as non-responders.

Treatment of Chronic HCV Infection

In this study, weekly subcutaneous injection of 180 µg of Pegaferon® (PegIFN-alpha-2a by Pooyesh Darou, Tehran, Iran) or 1.5 µg per kg body weight of Pegintron® (PegIFN-alpha-2b by Merck, USA) plus daily oral administration of Ribabivirin® (Ribavirin by Bakhtar Bioshimi, Kermanshah, Iran), was prescribed for 24-48 weeks in all HCV patients (HCV genotype 1 and 3 mono-infected and HCV/HIV co-infected patients) regardless of baseline HCV RNA levels. The doses of RBV in all subjects were adjusted according to body weight (1000 - 1200 mg based on body weight below or over 75 kg in genotype 1 and 800 mg in genotype 3).

Statistical analysis

Data analysis was carried out using the SPSS 20.0. After descriptive analysis, comparison between groups was performed using univariate analysis. For comparing proportions, chi-square test or paired t-test was used. Finally, a multiple logistic regression analysis was used to exam-
ine the effect of factors including HCV genotypes, liver functional tests, liver fibrosis and demographic features on treatment responses (SVR). P-values of less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Baseline characteristics

Out of 214 patients, 134 were HCV mono-infected, and 80 were HCV/HIV co-infected patients. Furthermore, 94% were male, and 6% were female (mean age 42 years old), and the majority of them were intravenous drug users. The main demographics and biological features of the study population are depicted in Table 1. All of the HIV infected subjects were on highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART). The distribution of participants in the study is shown in Figure 1.

Of note, 37.4% of patients had acquired HIV and HCV as co-infection, the majority by intravenous drug use. Based on the types of infection (HCV mono or HCV/HIV co-infection), patients divided into two groups: group 1 and group 2 including HCV mono and HCV/HIV co-infected patients, respectively. About half of the patients were infected with HCV genotype 1 (1a and 1b), and 75 (38%) of patients were infected with genotype 3. No patients were infected with HCV genotype 2 and 4. Unfortunately, 34 patients were defined as non-type.

Results from a reliable liver biopsy were available for 126 (58.8 %) of 214 patients. Fibroscan data showed that 43% of patients were in advanced liver fibrosis (Metavir F3 and F4). The alleles of IL28 were also available for only 95 patients. Interleukin-28b (IL28) genotypes (TT, CC, and CT) were obtained for only 95 patients. Interleukin-28b (IL28) genotype CC was determined in 19 (22%) and CT in 14 (15%) patients with HCV genotype 1, respectively. While, 22% and 3% of patients had CT and TT genotypes, respectively.

Fibroscan (n (%) 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fibroscan</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F0-F2</td>
<td>72 (57.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>27 (21.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>27 (21.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Furthermore, the decreasing hemoglobin under 10 mg/dl was observed in 154 patients (55% in group 2 patients). Erythropoietin (EPREX 10,000 IU) was prescribed for 97 (63%) of the patients. In six patients (five patients with HCV/HIV co-infection), hemoglobin dropped between 7.5 and 8 mg/dl, which did not respond with the erythropoietin, therefore, forced blood transfusion was carried out for them. In three patients, there was a significant drop in hemoglobin (between 3-5 mg/dl), which the patients had to discontinue their treatment courses.

Treatment Response

In an intent-to-treat analysis, out of 214 patients, 150 (70%) completed their treatment. Overall, 104 patients (48.6%) reached SVR after 24 and 48 weeks of combined Peg-IFN plus RBV. The virological response in genotypes 1 and 3 was approximately equal. So, out of the 104 patients, 51 (49%) of all genotype 1 and 50 (48%) of all genotype 3 patients reached SVR (p<0.05). Of the three other patients, one had mix 1a and 3a genotype and the other two patients determined as non-type subjects. In total, 79 (76%) and 25 (53%) of HCV mono-infected and HCV/HIV co-infected patients achieved SVR, respectively.

Serious or subjective adverse events due to either peg-interferon or ribavirin that discontinued treatment were observed in 30 (14%) patients. In the first group, HCV mono-infected patients, 10 couldn’t tolerate the therapy and withdrew their treatments (7 genotype 1, 2 genotype 3 and 1 as non-type). While in the second group, HCV/HIV co-infected patients, the withdrawal reached in 20 patients (9 genotype 1, 7 genotype 3 and 4 as non-type). Another 14 patients (6.5%) either voluntarily abandoned treatment or were lost to follow-up. Furthermore, in 20 patients (9 in group 1 and 11 in group 2), HCV infection was spontaneously cleared before treatment beginning. Most of the patients who discontinued their treatments were due to depression, severe flu-like syndrome, anemia and weight loss, particularly in patients with HCV/HIV co-infection.

Depending on the stages of liver disease, patients were classified into two groups; F0-F2, absence of fibrosis to moderate fibrosis and F3-F4, with advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis. The detailed virological responses among non-cirrhotic (Metavir F0-F2) and cirrhotic (Metavir F3 and F4) patients are shown in Table 2. Out of 126 available data from liver fibrosis, 87 patients completed their treatment. Thirty-nine patients (31%) withdrew the treatment (15 patients in group 1 and 24 were in group 2). Only 16 (35%) of 46 patients who achieved SVR had markers of advanced liver fibrosis, while 21 (51%) of 41 patients with lack of response to treatment had the markers. As shown in Table 2, 46 (53%) of all 87 patients, achieved treatment response. In both groups, the achievement of SVR occurred in only 17% of patients with advanced liver fibrosis, while 34% of patients in group 1 and 17% patients in group 2 achieved no treatment response.

Furthermore, Interleukin-28b genotypes (CC, CT, and TT) were obtained for only 95 patients. Interleukin-28b CC genotype were determined in 19 (22%) and 14 (15%) patients with HCV genotype 1 and 3, respectively. Moreover, CT and TT genotypes were for 20% and 3% of patients with HCV genotype 1, respectively. While, 22% and 2% of patients with HCV genotype 3 had CT and TT in-

Table 1. Demographics and biological features of the study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Mean Age (±SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male (n = 201)</td>
<td>201 (93.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (n = 13)</td>
<td>13 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets &lt;100 x 10^9/L</td>
<td>22 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST, mean (±SD)</td>
<td>57 (±47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT, mean (±SD)</td>
<td>73 (±63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m^2)</td>
<td>26.1 (± 4.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV patients</td>
<td>80 (37.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HCV Genotype, n (%)</th>
<th>1a</th>
<th>1b</th>
<th>3a</th>
<th>Mix 1a, 3a</th>
<th>Non-type</th>
<th>IL28B alleles, %</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>CT</th>
<th>TT</th>
<th>Method of cirrhosis detection</th>
<th>Biopsy, n (%)</th>
<th>Fibroscan, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>94 (48)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>10 (5.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>75 (38.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix 1a, 3a</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-type</td>
<td>34 (16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL28B alleles</td>
<td>CC 50.5%</td>
<td>CT 43.2%</td>
<td>TT 6.3%</td>
<td>Biopsy, n (%)</td>
<td>102 (47.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibroscan</td>
<td>F0-F2 72 (57.2)</td>
<td>F3 27 (21.4)</td>
<td>F4 27 (21.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
terleukin-28b genotypes, as well. There were no significant differences between interleukin-28b alleles, HCV genotypes and virologic responses (p=0.15).

**Discussion**

Hepatitis C is still the second cause of advanced liver failures in Iran. HCV and HIV may lead to disease progression, including immune system dysfunction and various clinical complications in the setting of co-infection (18). Treatment of HCV-infected individuals with Peg-IFN and ribavirin can achieve viral clearance and improve histology and prognosis (19-21). In the era, the duration of treatment was tailored according to HCV genotypes, baseline viremia and special patient groups such as HIV co-infection. The study shows the effectiveness of Peg-IFN plus a fixed dose of ribavirin combination therapy among Iranian HCV mono-infected and HCV/HIV co-infected patients.

Therapeutic experience in Iranian HCV-infected patients revealed excellent virological response to the combination of Peg-IFNα and ribavirin either in patients with genotype 1 or 3. In 2015, Pounesmaecli et al. (22) showed that 82% of HCV infected-patients which were treated with Peg-IFN and ribavirin achieved SVR. Another study which was carried out in 2012 among 45 non-cirrhotic Iranian hemophilia patients with chronic HCV, showed that 95.6% of patients obtained SVR with Peg-IFNα and RBV therapy (23). This result represented that the combination therapy of Peg-IFN-α plus ribavirin among Iranian hemophilia’s patients infected with hepatitis C is excellent, regardless of genotypes and the patients’ conditions. Sharafi et al. (24) in 2015, showed that the combination therapy with Peg-IFNα and ribavirin among HCV patients with genotype 1 represent a great virological response. They reported that the SVR rate in a highly selected patients group was about 100%. They also verified that the efficacy of a 24-week regimen of Peg-IFNα-2a plus ribavirin was similar to the 48-week treatment in HCV genotype 1-infected Iranian patients. The results of the present study demonstrated pleasant clinical outcomes with the combination therapy. Approximately 20% of patients did not respond to the treatment; most of them were patients with advanced liver disease and elderly patients (about 60% of patients were between the ages of 40-65.
years). However, the study by McHutchison et al. (25) showed that the rate of SVR in patients with HCV genotype 1 who were treated with Peg-IFNa/Peg-IFNb and ribavirin for 24 weeks is lower than Iranian patients from previous documents and also from the current study. Furthermore, they showed that the rates of SVR were significantly different between the two Peginterferon (Peg-IFNa and Peg-IFNb) and ribavirin regimens.

In the setting of HCV/HIV co-infection, very few studies have been ever reported the efficacy of Peg-IFN and RBV combination therapy among Iranian patients. The reports from the study revealed that 53% of HCV/HIV co-infected patients achieved sustained virological response with 48 weeks’ treatment course of Peg-IFN plus RBV. This proportion shows a relatively higher rate of virological response to the treatment than the results of other different studies. Torrini et al. (26) showed that only 29% of HCV genotype 1 patients co-infected with HIV who were treated with Peg-IFN plus RBV for 48 weeks acquired SVR. Furthermore, the other previous studies represented about similar results. Vispo (27), Olmeda (28) and Chung et al. (14) presented that the clearance of HCV RNA at the end of therapy (24-48 weeks) occurred in only 38%, 30% and 27% of HCV genotype 1 patients co-infected with HIV, respectively.

It is obvious that HIV infection has negative impacts on the natural history of chronic HCV infection; including the decreased rate of HCV clearance, proliferating HCV replication, fibrogenesis acceleration, enhancing the risk of advanced liver failures and mortality rates (29). However, it is still unclear why SVR rates are lower in co-infected patients, but finding the involved factors could help to improve available therapeutic options. The crucial point which should be considered is the drug interactions between certain HCV and HIV antiviral drugs. In the setting of co-infection, it is therefore important for the avoidance of zidovudine and didanosine in combination with ribavirin, given the risks of severe anemia, pancreatitis, and lactic acidosis (30-33).

Overall, in the current study, treatment was discontinued in 30 patients because of distinctive adverse events such as psychiatric disorders (depression), weight loss, reduced appetite, and hematologic abnormalities (neutropenia and moderate to severe anemia; toward requiring hospitalization and blood transfusion). Interestingly, contrary to the notion that these problems are more common among co-infected patients rather than in persons with HCV mono-infection, these disorders were observed in almost both groups of patients. However, the most adverse events in the study were lower than those already published, the high rate of treatment discontinuation was considerable (28, 34).

Cirrhosis and bridging fibrosis is one of the most important factors that cause a lack of therapeutic response in HCV infected patients. In some studies, cirrhosis represents as the only baseline predictor of treatment failure. Similar to the results of a study performed by Silva et al. (35), the results of the current study also confirmed that the liver cirrhosis and fibrosis could be a reliable risk factor for non-responders. Moreover, in comparison with HCV mono-infected patients, HIV co-infected individuals accelerate the progression of advanced liver failures (33).

The present study has some limitations; relatively small sample size, which it’s proposed for conduction of multicentric studies to achieve the more appropriate treatment efficacies. Furthermore, the power of the performed analysis is somewhat limited, as liver fibroscan and biopsy were lacked in about half of the patients and also failure for checking HCV RNA at the end of the fourth week of treatment to investigate the rapid virological response.

**Conclusion**

In summary, the efficacy of 24-48 week regimens of Peg-IFN-alfa-2a or 2b plus ribavirin represents proper results in our HCV infected patients. In addition, the present study as the first reports of the efficacy of Peg-IFN-alfa plus RBV among Iranian HCV/HIV co-infected patients revealed that the regimen is relatively well tolerated in the patients’ group.

However, with the advent of interferon-free treatment regimens, it is hoped that proper responses will be obtained in HCV patients to prevent the adverse effects of interferon-based regimens and reduce the duration of treatment. Various direct-acting antivirals (DAAs) are currently available in the world which possesses magnificent virological responses to HCV infected patients, even in the specific patient groups such as HCV/HIV co-infection patients (36-38). Although, most drawback was the high cost of these drugs and unaffordability among developing countries. Recently, after the consensus of Iran Hepatitis Scientific Board (IHSB) on the management of Hepatitis C (15), the various new antivirals including Sofosbuvir, Ledipasvir, Daclatasvir and etc. were easily accessible to Iranian HCV infected patients. With the cost-effectiveness management of DAAs and easily accessible to Iranian patients, the regimens would be excellent choices for relapsed and naïve patients in the near future.

**Acknowledgments**

Authors wish to thank the staff of Liver Disease Center and Iran Hepatitis Network. This work was supported by the Middle East Liver Disease (MELD) Center, Iran Hepatitis Network, Tehran, Iran.

**Conflict of Interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**References**

4. Hesamizadeh K, Alavian SM, Shabankareh ANT, Sharafi H. Molecular Tracing of Hepatitis C Virus Genotype 1 Isolates in Iran: A
Pegylated IFN and ribavirin in chronic HCV and HCV/HIV patients

23. Moghaddam MA, Zali MR, Andabili SHA, Derakhshan F, Siri SM, Alavian SM. High rate of virological response to Peginterferon-α-2a-

Ribavirin among non-cirrhotic Iranian hemophilia patients with chronic Hepatitis C. Iran Red Crescent Med J. 2012;14(8):466.