The spatial analysis of annual measles incidence and transition threat assessment in Iran in 2016
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Abstract

Background: During the past years, due to the increase in immunization coverage and promotion of surveillance data, the incidence of measles decreased. This study aimed to determine the measles incidence risk, to conduct spatial mapping of annual measles incidence, and to assess the transition threat in different districts of Iran.

Methods: A historical cohort study with retrospective data was conducted. The measles surveillance data containing 14 294 cases suspected of having measles in Iran were analyzed during 2014-2016. WHO Measles Programmatic Risk Assessment Tool was applied to calculate and map the incidence of measles in each district, to determine the annual incidence rate, and to conduct spatial threat assessment risk. Threat assessment was measured based on factors influencing the exposure and transmission of measles virus in the population. The annual measles incidence rate and spatial mapping of incidence in each Iranian district was conducted by Measles Programmatic Risk Assessment Tool. Data were analyzed by descriptive statistics in Excel 2013 and Arc GIS 10.3.

Results: Of 14 294 suspected cases, 0.6% (CI 95%: 0.599-0.619) were identified as clinically compatible measles, 0.280 (CI 95%: 0.275-0.284) as confirmed rubella, 0.52% (CI 95%: 0.516-0.533) as epi-linked measles, 4.6% (CI 95%: 0.450-0.464) as lab-confirmed measles, and 94% (CI 95%: 93.93-94.11) were discarded. The annual incidence rate in cases per 100,000 populations increased from 0.0726, (CI 95%; 0.0714-0.0738) in 2014 to 0.1154 (CI 95%; 0.1135-0.1173) in 2016, and the 3-year incidence rate during the study period was 1.032 (CI 95%; 1.017-1.047) and the annual average was 0.3442 (CI 95%; 0.3387-0.3496).

Conclusion: The average annual incidence rate of measles in Iran was low, but after a 11-fold increase in the number of measles cases in 2015, the number of measles cases decreased to more than 7-times in 2016. However, maintaining a high immunization coverage of measles and timely vaccination can be effective in reaching the goal of measles elimination.
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Introduction

According to the World Health Organization (WHO) reports, the measles cases showed a high speed decreasing trend in morbidity and mortality in the recent years (1). Iran is one of the countries in the Eastern Mediterranean Region (2). During the past years, the routine immunization coverage was increased and the surveillance system data were improved and, consequently, the disease incidence decreased (3-7). Thus, the endemic virus transmission have
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already been interrupted in Iran (2).

In the early 1980s, regular outbreaks of measles occurred in
Iran and 30,000 to 50,000 cases of measles were reported
annually (2). After starting the expanded immunization
program in Iran, the number of measles cases decreased and
by the nationwide campaign of measles-rubella in 2003, the
measles cases decreased to zero (2-4). During the 5 years
after the catch-up campaign during 2004-2008, the incidence
of measles was 0.2 cases per 1,000,000 persons and
103 laboratory-confirmed measles cases were registered
(8). According to our recent study, the overall immuniza-
tion coverage for all routine vaccines in Iran was 96.8% (5).
Moreover, the coverage of MMR1 and MMR2 vaccine in
the outskirts area of large Iranian cities was estimated as
97.1% and 94.9%, respectively (5, 9). However, the high-
est delay in vaccination occurred in MMR2 with 74.9% (9).
However, from 2000, effective efforts to eradicate measles
have slowed, as the disease and mortality rates decreased in
the world (2, 7). Nevertheless, based on our recent analysis,
there was not any inequity in immunization coverage
among Iranian children according to demographic charac-
teristics; however, the prevalence of immunization delay
was higher in migrant population (10). Moreover, inade-
quacy in MMR immunization is related to place of living,
mother’s education, and immigration, which can be associ-
ated with occurrence of measles (11). This study aimed to
determine measles incidence risk, to conduct spatial map-
ing of annual measles incidence, and to assess the transi-
tion threat in different districts of Iran.

Methods

The measles surveillance data of Iran during 2014-2016
were analyzed in a historical cohort study with retrospec-
tive data. The measles surveillance system in Iran, as a ro-
bust communicable diseases surveillance system, is respon-
sible for collecting and reporting surveillance data (12) and,
based on WHO standard recommendations, should detect
and report all measles cases (2). Moreover, measles survi-
lance data, the mapping of all districts of Iran in the Geo-
graphic Information System (GIS) shape file, population
size in 2016 census for each district, and the EPI manager
assessment at the national or district level were used in data
analysis. Based on the population size in 2016 census in
Iran and the area of each district, population density was
estimated and the annual incidence rate for measles was
calculated. Finally, according to the incidence rate in 2016,
the spatial mapping of measles incidence was depicted for
each district. Moreover, the population size for Iran in 2014
and 2015 was estimated by growth rate (1.29%) and the
population size in 2011 census using direct method estima-
tion (13). The total population of each year was considered
as the denominator for the estimation of the incidences.

The measles surveillance data included the year, prov-
ince, reporting district, case ID, and final classification of
measles based on data file including lab-confirmed mea-
sles, epi-linked measles, clinically compatible measles,
confirmed rubella, discarded and pending), and other data
in the surveillance data sheet as described by WHO guide-
line (14). During the 3-year study period, 14,294 suspected
measles cases were assessed in Iran. The classification of
disease and the vaccination status of suspected cases were
assessed. Four measles classification in surveillance data
were used in data analysis. The clinical case of measles is
defined as a person with fever and maculopapular rash that
have cough, coryza, or conjunctivitis and have the measles-
specific IgM antibodies. However, the laboratory classifi-
cation outline should be applied in countries with low inci-
dence or elimination phase. The laboratory classification
scheme to define each case classification, including labor-
atory-confirmed, epidemiologically confirmed, clinically
confirmed, and discarded, was based on WHO protocol of
measles surveillance (15). During 2014-2016, the number
of lab-confirmed measles cases was 653, epi-linked mea-
sles 75, and clinically compatible measles 87, respectively.
Therefore, the total number of measles cases during 2014
to 2016 was 815.

Threat assessment of measles is evaluated based on fac-
tors that may influence the risk of exposure and transmis-
sion of measles virus among people. The threat assessment
indicators are based on 4 indexes: (1) reported measles
cases among specific age groups, (2) recent measles cases
reported in a bordering district, (3) population density, and
(4) presence of vulnerable population groups (VPGs). The
presence of VPG was assessed by local knowledge of the
EPI manager at the national or district level. These groups
are defined by WHO and based on the guideline of measles
programmatic risk assessment tool (14). Moreover, these
definitions for VPG were used in other studies (1, 16, 17).
VPGs included 8 different factors in people that increased
the risk of measles outbreaks, which have been mentioned
in our recent study (7).

This study was approved by the ethical committee of
Qom University of Medical Sciences (IR.MUQ.REC.
197.029 code). The authors reported the overall results of
the study, and thus it was impossible to identify the pa-
tients.

Data analysis

The WHO measles programmatic risk assessment tool
was used for data analysis. This tool was developed to esti-
mate the risk point score of measles outbreak in each region
based on surveillance data, population size, and vaccination
coverage, surveillance quality indicators of measles, and
presence of VPGs. Moreover, the shape files of all Iranian
districts were used to map the spatial incidence of measles.
Furthermore, the annual measles incidence rate and spatial
mapping of incidence in each Iranian district was con-
ducted by this online software (14, 18). More detail about
the measles programmatic risk assessment tool and calcula-
tion of risk score is provided in our recent studies (7, 19).

Results

During the study years, 14,294 suspected cases were as-
essed, and the final classification of the suspected case
showed that 0.6% of the cases (CI 95%: 0.599-0.619)
(n=87) were clinically compatible measles, 0.3 (CI 95%:
0.275-0.284) (n=40) confirmed rubella, 0.52% (CI 95%:
0.516-0.533) (n=75) epi-linked measles, 4.6% (CI 95%:
0.450-0.464, (n=653) lab-confirmed measles, and 94% (CI
95%: 93.93-94.11) (n=13,439) were discarded.
The mean age of the suspected cases was 5±9.3 years and varied between 0 to 86 years. However, the mean age in confirmed measles cases was 9.07±13.95 years old. In addition, the mean age of confirmed measles cases decreased from 9.45 years to 6.90 years from 2014 to 2016 and the median age of cases was higher in 2015 (2.95 years old) than in 2014 (2.4) and 2016 (1.57). During the 3-year study period, of all cases, 47.2% (383 cases) were female and the sex ratio was 1.12. During the study period, between 2014 to 2016, the incidence of measles in all age groups was decreased, but this decrease was higher in 2016 (1.57) than in 2014 (2.4) and 2016 (1.57). During the 3-year study period, of all cases, 47.2% (383 cases) were female and the sex ratio was 1.12.

Table 1. The characteristics of Measles cases based on Measles classification, vaccine status, age group, gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of Measles cases</th>
<th>Subgroups</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lab-Confirmed</td>
<td></td>
<td>40(69)</td>
<td>552(82.8)</td>
<td>61(78.8)</td>
<td>653(80.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically Compatible</td>
<td></td>
<td>17(29.3)</td>
<td>54(8.1)</td>
<td>16(21.2)</td>
<td>87(10.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPI-Linked</td>
<td></td>
<td>1(1.7)</td>
<td>6(0.9)</td>
<td>13(14.4)</td>
<td>13(1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccine</td>
<td></td>
<td>15(25.9)</td>
<td>19(29.2)</td>
<td>46(51.1)</td>
<td>256(31.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not vaccine</td>
<td></td>
<td>17(29.3)</td>
<td>164(24.6)</td>
<td>6(7)</td>
<td>187(22.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td>26(44.8)</td>
<td>308(46.2)</td>
<td>38(42.2)</td>
<td>372(45.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest 1 year old</td>
<td></td>
<td>18(31)</td>
<td>250(37.5)</td>
<td>34(37.8)</td>
<td>303(37.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to 5 years old</td>
<td></td>
<td>18(31)</td>
<td>124(18.6)</td>
<td>17(19.9)</td>
<td>159(19.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 10 years old</td>
<td></td>
<td>7(12.1)</td>
<td>95(14.2)</td>
<td>13(14.4)</td>
<td>115(14.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 to 20 years old</td>
<td></td>
<td>3(5.2)</td>
<td>95(14.2)</td>
<td>20(22.2)</td>
<td>118(14.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest 20 years old</td>
<td></td>
<td>12(20.7)</td>
<td>103(15.4)</td>
<td>6(7)</td>
<td>121(14.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td>34(58.6)</td>
<td>313(47.1)</td>
<td>36(40)</td>
<td>383(47.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td>20(41.4)</td>
<td>351(52.9)</td>
<td>54(60)</td>
<td>429(52.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Measles cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>38(100)</td>
<td>667(100)</td>
<td>90(100)</td>
<td>815(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td></td>
<td>79926270**</td>
<td>78971070**</td>
<td>78015780*</td>
<td>78971070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence rate (100,000)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0726</td>
<td>0.8446</td>
<td>0.1154</td>
<td>0.3442</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on 2016 census

**Based on estimation
Annual measles incidence in Iran

in Khuzestan, Behshahr, Chalus, Neka and Tonekabon in Mazandaran, Qazvin in Qazvin, Qom, Garmsar in Semnan, ChaBahar and Saravan in Sistan, Robat Karim, Shahriyar and Tehran in Tehran and ShahinDej in West Azarbayjan) had more than 1 confirmed measles case in 2016.

Table 2 demonstrates the annual measles incidence rate per 1,000,000 in Iranian districts with confirmed measles in 2016. Based on these results, Bandar Mahshahr (92.9), Sepidan (33) and Hedijan (25.8) had the highest annual confirmed measles incidence per 1 million population in Iran. The spatial mapping of annual confirmed measles incidence is depicted in Figure 2.

According to Figure 2, the annual confirmed measles incidence in Bandar Mahshahr was 50-499 per 1 000 000 population in 2016. The annual confirmed measles incidence in Bile Savar, Hashtrud, Abadeh, Larestan, Sepidan, Bandar Abas, Jask, Minab, Tiran o Karvan, Kangavar, Torbat e Jam, Hendijan, Omidiyeh, Chalus, Neka, Tonekabon, Garmsar, ChaBahar, Saravan and ShahinDej was between 5-49 per 1 000 000 population. The annual confirmed measles incidence in SavojBolaq, Shiraz, Rasht, Sirjan, Dezful, Behshahr, Qazvin, Qom, Robat Karim, Shahriyar and Tehran was between 1-4 per 1 000 000 population.

The results of threat assessment for measles outbreak showed that all Iranian districts were depicted as low risk

### Table 2. The annual confirmed measles incidence per 1,000,000 in Iran at 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>Annual Incidence</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>Annual Incidence</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>Annual Incidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alborz</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Kerman</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>Qom</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SavojBolaq</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>Bile Savar</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>Larestan</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ardabil</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>Esat.Azarbajyan</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>Sepidan</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bile Savar</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>Hashtrud</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>Shiraz</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esat.Azarbajyan</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>Khorasan.Razavi</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>Shahr</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hashtrud</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>Torbat e Jam</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>Gilan</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fars</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Khuzestan</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>Rasht</td>
<td>Tiran o Karvan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abadeh</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>Chalus</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>Hormozgan</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larestan</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>Qom</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>Bandar Abas</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepidan</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>Ghazvin</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>Jask</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiraz</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>Garmsar</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Minab</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilan</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>Sistan</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Isfahan</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rasht</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Shahriyar</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormozgan</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>Tehran</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandar Abas</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>ShahinDej</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jask</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minab</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isfahan</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 2.** The annual confirmed measles incidence per 1,000,000 in all Iranian districts area
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except Bandar mahshahr, which was categorized as having a medium risk. Based on the opinion of the expert team in each district, in 36.6% of the districts, there was no vulnerable population. However, 30.8% of districts had 1 VPG, 22.5% had 2 VPGs, 8.6% had 3 VPGs, 0.9% had 4 VPGs, and 0.6% had 5 VPGs. Moreover, the districts with more than 3 risk points due to VPGs constituted 10% of all districts of Iran. The spatial mapping of the districts based on threat assessment for measles outbreak is depicted in Figure 3.

**Discussion**

Results of this study showed that the annual incidence rate of measles in Iran was low during 2014-2016 and the average annual incidence rate was 3.442 per 1 million population. The annual incidence rate was 0.726 per 1 million population in 2014 and suddenly increased to more than 11-fold in 2015. Based on the evidence, the confirmed measles cases increased from 58 cases in 2014 to 668 cases in 2015. During 2015, a number of measles outbreaks occurred in different districts of Iran due to decreasing the immunity level and immigration, but by supplementary immunization activities (SIAs), the immunization coverage increased and the measles cases decreased to 90 cases in 2016 and the annual incidence rate decreased to 1.154 per 1 million population.

The measles elimination activities in Iran started after the catch-up campaign immunization of more than 50% of Iranians during 2003 and during a 5-year period after that campaign, from 2004 to 2008, the measles incidence decreased to 0.2 cases per 1 million and only 103 laboratory-confirmed measles cases were registered during that period (8). However, the confirmed measles cases increased each year after 2004 and reached to 668 cases in 2015 with some outbreaks. The outbreak of measles occurred when there were more than 4 clinically suspected measles cases, or 2 laboratory confirmed cases were positive from 3 or more confirmed cases during 7 days (2, 20). Nevertheless, in Iran, each confirmed case of measles is defined as outbreak. However, implementation of complementary immunization activities in more than 45 districts in 8 medical universities, especially in deprived areas, reduced measles outbreak and annual incidence rate in 2016 (6, 21).

The mean age of measles cases decreased during the study period from 9.48 years in 2014 to 9.32 years in 2015 and 6.9 years in 2016. Of the cases reported in 2014, only 25.9% were vaccinated and this rate increased to 51.1% in 2016. Also, the results of this study showed that many of confirmed cases were unvaccinated or had unknown vaccine status in 2014 and the outbreaks occurred in 2015. According to recent studies in Iran, the immunization coverage of MMR1 and MMR2 is more than 95% (5, 6); however, delay in MMR vaccinations in children may be due to some demographic characteristics (9, 11). However, routine immunization must be strengthened and the immunity gaps, especially in deprived and migrated areas should be identified and fully overed by SIAs (6, 22).
addition, according to the measles occurrence from 2004 to 2016, more attention should be paid to the WHO measles elimination goal (1).

According to the results of this study, the confirmed measles cases were reported in 33 districts of Iran in 2016 and based on the WHO measles risk assessment tool, all Iranian districts were depicted as low risk after threat assessment except Bandar-e-Mahshahr in Khuzestan province with highest annual confirmed measles incidence (92.9 per 1 million population). However, Sepidan in Fars province with 33 per 1 million population and Hedijan in Khuzestan with 25.8 per 1 million population were the second and third districts with highest annual confirmed measles incidence. Some studies have recently been conducted in developing countries using the WHO measles risk assessment tool (1, 16, 17, 23). Kriss et al revealed that 32% of health districts in Namibia are classified as high risk or very high risk (1). Ducusin et al study in Philippines showed that 48% of 122 districts and highly urbanized cities in the Philippines were classified as high risk or very high risk. Risk assessment results are helpful in monitoring the nationwide SIA, supervising the vaccination status (23), and guiding measles elimination strategies in areas that require improvement (1). Regular risk assessments of measles is helpful in planing the risk mitigation activities and measuring the effectiveness of measles elimination program (23) as well as monitoring the surveillance quality indicators (7). Annual assessments can provide valuable information for vaccination programs at the national level. Also, they can enhance measles elimination efforts in each district, including improving immunization services and vaccination campaigns and increasing surveillance (7, 16).

Conclusion

The average annual incidence rate of measles in Iran was low during 2014-2016; however, the measles incidence increased in 2015 due to some outbreaks that were controlled after increasing the supplementary vaccination coverage in high risk areas. Therefore, after a 11-fold increase in the number of measles cases in 2014, the number of measles cases decreased to more than 7-folds in 2016. However, maintaining a high immunization coverage for measles and increasing the percentage of people who received timely vaccination can be effective in reaching measles elimination goals.
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