Stillbirth in Iran and associated factors (2014-2016): A population-based study
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Abstract

Background: Under Every Newborn Action Plan (ENAP), stillbirth rate in every country should be reduced by 12 or fewer per 1000 total births by 2030. The aims of this study were to determine stillbirth rate at national and subnational levels and to investigate its associated risk factors in Iran.

Methods: Using all data from Iranian Maternal and Neonatal Network (IMaN), we calculated stillbirth rate of Iran from 2014-2016. This network registers information of almost all births across the country. The logistic regression was used to estimate the adjusted odds ratio (aOR) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for stillbirth.

Results: In 2014, still birth rate was 7.40 per 1000 births. In 2015 and 2016, stillbirth rates were 7.22 per 1000 births and 7.63 per 1000 births, respectively. The most important related factors of stillbirth were preterm birth (aOR= 62.53, 95% CI; 60.77-64.34), sexual ambiguity (aOR= 14.51, 95% CI; 12.76-16.50), and post term birth (aOR= 3.31, 95% CI; 2.66-4.13).

Conclusion: Under Every Newborn Action Plan (ENAP), stillbirth rate in every country should be reduced by 12 or fewer per 1000 total births by 2030. Iran has achieved stillbirth target of ENAP at national level. It is important for the health care system to establish and improve specific and focused policies, interventions, and programs for achieving this target even in the most deprived areas.
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Introduction

Stillbirth imposes a heavy burden on families and societies, with significant physiological and financial impacts. Stillbirth is an important indicator for quality of prenatal and intrapartum care, socioeconomic conditions and equity in the society (1-3).

The incidence of stillbirth in different countries varies significantly and depends on the definition used. A total of 2.6 million (uncertainty range of 2.08 million to 3.79 mil-

What is “already known” in this topic:
Before the implementation of Iranian Maternal and Neonatal Network (IMaN), our estimation of national and provincial stillbirth rate was limited to rural areas and a nonrandom urban subpopulation, without any details on maternal or neonatal conditions.

What this article adds:
We estimated the average stillbirth rate of 7.42 per 1000 total births during 2014-2016 in Iran. The highest stillbirth rates occurred in the southeast and northwest of the country. Iran has achieved stillbirth target of Every Newborn Action Plan (12 per 1000 total births) at national level.
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(1) women experienced stillbirth in 2015 worldwide, of which 1.3 million occurred during labor and delivery. Also, 98% of stillbirths occurred in low-income and middle-income countries (4). Stillbirth rate in the Middle East has ranged from 10 to 20 per 1000 births (5). In high-income countries, one in every 200 pregnancies leads to stillbirth (6). Although stillbirth rate in high-income countries has decreased, its rate remained stable or declined slightly in these countries during the past 2 decades (7).

Several conditions have been associated with stillbirth, but finding the exact etiology is often complicated (8). A large number of stillbirths could be prevented by simple interventions such as improvement of prenatal care, development, and implementation of appropriate health care policies for managing high-risk pregnancies (9, 10).

The prevalence of stillbirth due to unknown causes varies from 25% to 60% (10). Identifiable causes can be attributed to maternal, fetal, and placental conditions. The causes of stillbirth are different among developed and developing countries (8). Risk factors in developed countries include nulliparity, advanced maternal age, obesity, overweight, and fetal growth restriction (6), whereas prolonged and obstructed labor leading to trauma and asphyxia and various infections are the main risk factors in developing countries (11).

Stillbirth is a neglected issue and has not been prioritized in Millennium Development Goals, Sustainable Development Goals, and even in most health policies and programs (4). The global Every Newborn Action Plan (ENAP), launched in 2014, provides evidenced-based approaches for ending preventable newborn mortality and stillbirth. One of ENAP goals is to decrease the stillbirth rate to 12 per 1000 births or less by 2030 worldwide (12). Therefore, the primary objective of this study was to determine stillbirth rate at the national and provincial levels in Iran. The second goal was to investigate and predict the risk factors of stillbirth to achieve the objectives of ENAP to help with programs and policies to address this burden.

In the past years, in Iran, birth information has been recorded using hand-written forms. Furthermore, the data were used only for the issuance of birth certificates and fewer hospitals utilized this data to promote maternal and neonatal health.

Since 2011, the Neonatal Health Office and Bureau of Population, Family and School Health, with the cooperation of other related offices and the vast majority of maternity and neonatal health experts in the universities, designed questionnaires and software for recording the birth events in hospitals. They launched this new system to access useful and essential information for extracting maternal and neonatal health indicators and their application for policy and health planning. The new registration system was implemented as a pilot project in some medical universities, and the deficiencies were resolved and completed gradually. Since April 2012, all the hospitals and birth centers covered by medical universities began to register the information in the Iranian Maternal and Neonatal Network (IMaN) online.

Iranian Maternal and Neonatal Network (IMaN) is one of the greatest sources of information for monitoring maternal and neonatal health. This network registers almost all births (live & dead), demographic, maternal, and neonatal health information electronically in and out of the hospital across the country. Finally, in 2014, IMaN started to register out of hospital births that happened at maternity and childbirth facilities, homes, or other places. Since then, the network has evolved and developed.

Information such as the demographic characteristics of the newborn’s mother, consanguinity of parents, number of parity and gravidity, mode of delivery, abortion history, gestational weeks, mother’s medical history, risk factors for pregnancy and childbirth, childbirth damage, childbirth intervention, date of birth and the baby’s birth characteristics, neonatal abnormalities and stillbirth are recorded in the network. We defined stillbirth as the birth of a baby with 22 or more completed weeks of gestation who died before or during labor.

Methods

In this population-based study, data from 2014-2016 were received from the Ministry of Health and Medical Education. We identified duplicates primarily by national registration codes; for those with missing codes, we used a combination of 10 variables to detect duplicated records. About 0.4% of all recorded cases were duplicates. Outliers were verified and corrected by consulting experts at the Ministry of Health. If the data were incorrect, and there was no possibility of correction, they were considered as missing data.

Independent variables were as follow: child sex, mother’s age at the time of birth, mother’s history of stillbirth and abortion, mother’s educational level, location of residency (urban/rural), delivery mode (vaginal/caesarian), consanguinity of parents, birth attendants, gestational age, fetus weight, hospital type (public/private), health insurance, gravidity, and some maternal diseases.

In IMaN, there was a variable called district of birth, which included all cities and towns which were birthplaces of infants. Regarding country divisions, the cities and towns of each province were manually identified and recoded into a variable called province, which included all 31 provinces in Iran. Stillbirth was considered as the dependent variable.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed via IBM SPSS Statistics v 24.0. Chi-squared analysis was used to compare categorical variables when appropriate. In our analysis, stillbirth was measured as a binary variable taking the value of one or zero. We used forward stepwise logistic regression to detect factors related to stillbirth outcome. Multicollinearity was checked and factors with high multicollinearity, such as body weight at the time of delivery (fetus or neonate), were excluded from this analysis. We performed bivariate analysis, and variables with a p value < 0.2 were entered into logistic regression. Adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence interval were obtained. A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. ArcGIS v 10.2.2 was used to create maps. We excluded such variables as history of mother's stillbirth, insurance type, congenital
malformation, and some maternal diseases, due to missing data.

IMaN contains almost all pregnancies which have been resulted to either stillbirth or live birth. By comparing live births registered by IMaN and National Organization for Civil Registration (OCR), it was obtained that IMaN coverage was 93% of the total births in 2014 and it increased by 96% in 2015 and about 97% in 2016. According to the national laws, death certificate should be issued for every stillbirth case that occurs in hospitals.

In other words, it is a cohort of all pregnancies after the gestational age of 20 weeks; considering this fact and scarcity of stillbirth as an outcome, we believe that our OR estimates are good indicators for risk ratio.

Ethical consideration
Anonymous data for all stillborn and live births in Iran from 2014 to 2016 were obtained with permission from the Neonatal Health Office of Ministry of Health and Medical Education. The ethical issues of this study were approved in the Vice-Chancellor for Research Affairs of Faculty of Medicine, Iran University of Medical Sciences. The ethics committee code is IR.IUMS.REC 1395.95-03-221-29716.

Results
Iranian Maternal and Neonatal Network (IMaN) registered 1,437,017 births (live & dead) in 2014 and 1,512,225 births in 2015. Total births in 2016 were 1,487,683. Tehran, Khorasan Razavi, and Khuzestan had the highest births among all other provinces in Iran. In our study, stillbirth was defined as the birth of a baby with 22 or more completed weeks of gestation who died before or during labor. The number of total stillbirths in 2014, 2015, and 2016 was 10,631, 10,918, and 11,352, respectively.

Figure 1 shows the average rate of stillbirth during 3 years (2014-2016) in all the provinces of Iran. Most stillbirths occurred in the southeast and northwest of the country, with Sistan & Baluchestan having the highest stillbirth rate.

In 2014, still birth rate was 7.06, 7.48, and 7.40 in female, in male, and in total per 1000 births. In 2015, still-born rate was 6.77, 7.38, and 7.22 in female, male, and in total per 1000 births. In 2016, stillbirth rate was 7.73, 7.17, and 7.63 in male, female, and in total per 1000 births, respectively.

Supplement Table 1 illustrates the stillbirth rate of all Iran provinces from 2014 to 2016. Stillbirth occurred in approximately one out of every 142 pregnancies in 2014, one out of every 120 pregnancies in 2015, and one out of 131 pregnancies in 2016. Supplement Figures 1 and 2 demonstrate the average stillbirth rate in male and female births in Iran (2014-2016).

Table 1 shows demographics and medical characteristics of stillbirths and live births in Iran (2014-2016).
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Table 1. History of maternal diseases of stillbirths and live births in Iran (2014-2016)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Stillbirth</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>10631</td>
<td>10918</td>
<td>11352</td>
<td>1426386</td>
<td>1501307</td>
<td>1476331</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth attendants</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled or Trained birth attendants</td>
<td>10434(99.1)</td>
<td>10829(99.2)</td>
<td>11289(99.4)</td>
<td>1404756(99.7)</td>
<td>1494450(99.5)</td>
<td>1473470(99.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional birth attendants</td>
<td>95(0.9)</td>
<td>89(0.8)</td>
<td>83(0.6)</td>
<td>3761(0.3)</td>
<td>6853(0.5)</td>
<td>2828(0.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm (22-36 weeks)</td>
<td>8590(80.8)</td>
<td>8724(79.9)</td>
<td>9331(82.2)</td>
<td>10050(70.0)</td>
<td>10604(71.1)</td>
<td>107546(73.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term (37-41weeks)</td>
<td>205(18.9)</td>
<td>215(19.8)</td>
<td>197(17.6)</td>
<td>1320891(92.6)</td>
<td>1388982(92.6)</td>
<td>1361458(92.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post term (≥2weeks)</td>
<td>34(0.3)</td>
<td>32(0.3)</td>
<td>21(0.2)</td>
<td>4935(0.3)</td>
<td>4614(0.3)</td>
<td>3962(0.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetus weight</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELBW (Less than 999gr)</td>
<td>5109(48.1)</td>
<td>5153(47.2)</td>
<td>5812(51.2)</td>
<td>6288(0.4)</td>
<td>6740(0.4)</td>
<td>6542(0.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLBW (1000-1499gr)</td>
<td>1206(11.3)</td>
<td>1268(11.6)</td>
<td>1261(11.1)</td>
<td>7528(0.5)</td>
<td>7511(0.5)</td>
<td>7577(0.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBW (1500-2499gr)</td>
<td>1937(18.2)</td>
<td>1963(18.0)</td>
<td>1975(17.4)</td>
<td>83369(5.8)</td>
<td>84562(5.6)</td>
<td>84010(5.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (2500-4000gr)</td>
<td>2237(21.0)</td>
<td>2394(21.9)</td>
<td>2165(19.1)</td>
<td>1287241(90.2)</td>
<td>135522490(90.2)</td>
<td>1331657(90.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrosomia (More than 4000gr)</td>
<td>142(1.3)</td>
<td>140(1.3)</td>
<td>139(1.2)</td>
<td>42000(2.9)</td>
<td>47262(3.1)</td>
<td>46820(2.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital type</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>9695(92.1)</td>
<td>9571(91.5)</td>
<td>10295(91.6)</td>
<td>1185872(84.2)</td>
<td>124576984.3)</td>
<td>1218228(83.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>8347(7.9)</td>
<td>915(8.5)</td>
<td>904(8.4)</td>
<td>222645(15.8)</td>
<td>232713(15.7)</td>
<td>249497(16.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 gravid</td>
<td>401(37.7)</td>
<td>380(35.4)</td>
<td>3956(34.8)</td>
<td>52435838.0)</td>
<td>59909835.3)</td>
<td>50431634.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4 gravid</td>
<td>5646(53.1)</td>
<td>5992(54.9)</td>
<td>6210(55.6)</td>
<td>815320572)</td>
<td>894003(59.3)</td>
<td>89166560.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 4 gravid</td>
<td>973(9.2)</td>
<td>1063(9.7)</td>
<td>908(8.6)</td>
<td>6843148.4)</td>
<td>77495(5.2)</td>
<td>80326(5.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Insurance</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>774(18.9)</td>
<td>780(18.2)</td>
<td>786(18.2)</td>
<td>12186528(79.1)</td>
<td>1265328(77.6)</td>
<td>113417176.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>229(23.2)</td>
<td>229(23.2)</td>
<td>229(23.2)</td>
<td>83369(5.8)</td>
<td>84562(5.6)</td>
<td>84010(5.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥5</td>
<td>308(2.9)</td>
<td>314(2.9)</td>
<td>274(2.4)</td>
<td>168191.2)</td>
<td>185511.2)</td>
<td>18813(1.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In hospital delivery</td>
<td>1496(14.2)</td>
<td>1496(14.2)</td>
<td>1598(14.3)</td>
<td>210022(1.3)</td>
<td>23973(1.6)</td>
<td>18525(1.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. History of maternal diseases of stillbirths and live births in Iran (2014-2016)

| Maternal disease | Stillbirth | N (%) | N (%) | N (%) | N (%) | N (%) | N (%) |
| Year | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Excessive diabetes | 29(0.3) | | |
| Gestational diabetes | 348(3.3) | 412(3.8) | 431(3.8) | 33021(2.3) | 386242(2.6) | 45586(3.1) |
| Thyroid disorders | 186(1.7) | 310(2.8) | 507(4.5) | 30019(2.1) | 49908(3.3) | 74341(5.0) |
| Anemia | 550(5.5) | 600(6.6) | 410(4.4) | 61000(4.4) | 625304(4.0) | 47990(3.0) |
| Pre-existing hypertension | 247(2.3) | 241(2.2) | 235(2.1) | 161871.1) | 158611.1) | 15304(1.0) |
| Preeclampsia/Eclampsia | 470(4.4) | 401(3.7) | 486(4.3) | 21974(1.6) | 21880(1.5) | 22817(1.6) |
| Genitorium infection | * | * | 140(1.1) | * | * | 156(1.0) |
| Chorioamninitis | * | * | 160(1.1) | * | * | 155(0.0) |
| Smoking | * | * | 30(0.0) | * | * | 233(0.0) |
| Addicton | * | * | 600(5.5) | * | * | 231(3.0) |
| Cardiac disease | 480(4.0) | 75(0.7) | 57(0.5) | 7232(0.5) | 8016(0.5) | 7499(0.5) |
| HIV+ | 3(0.0) | 1(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 257(0.0) | 235(0.0) | 217(0.0) |
| VDRL+ | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 100(0.0) | 129(0.0) | 79(0.0) |
| Hepatitis B | * | * | 150(1.0) | * | * | 230(0.2) |
| Hepatitis C | * | * | 10(0.0) | * | * | 93(0.0) |
| Other diseases | 527(5.0) | 479(4.4) | 353(3.2) | 33520(2.3) | 28365(2.0) |

*Data were not available (These variables have been recorded since 2016.).
Table 3. Associated factors for stillbirths among total births in Iran (2014-2016)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Adjusted OR</th>
<th>95% C.I. for OR</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child sex</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (Reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>0.967</td>
<td>1.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambiguous</td>
<td>14.510</td>
<td>12.760</td>
<td>16.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother’s age</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-35 years (Reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 18 years</td>
<td>0.805</td>
<td>0.751</td>
<td>0.863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 35 years</td>
<td>1.393</td>
<td>1.349</td>
<td>1.438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of mother’s abortion</td>
<td>1.239</td>
<td>1.205</td>
<td>1.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother’s educational level</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate (Reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td>0.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guidance / high school</td>
<td>0.761</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>0.792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>0.726</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>0.762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of residence (Rural compared to Urban)</td>
<td>0.918</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td>0.943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery type (Caesarian section compared to Normal Vaginal Delivery)</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>0.184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consanginity of parents (Compared to non-related parents)</td>
<td>1.054</td>
<td>1.025</td>
<td>1.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term (37-41 weeks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm ≥ 42 weeks</td>
<td>0.6236</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>0.6437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post term ≤ 42 weeks</td>
<td>3.316</td>
<td>2.661</td>
<td>4.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital type (Private compared to Public hospital)</td>
<td>1.091</td>
<td>1.043</td>
<td>1.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preexisting hypertension (compared to people with no history of hypertension)</td>
<td>1.287</td>
<td>1.189</td>
<td>1.393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preeclampsia/Eclampsia (compared to pregnancies without PE/E)</td>
<td>1.211</td>
<td>1.142</td>
<td>1.283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out of hospital delivery (compared to in hospital delivery)</td>
<td>1.642</td>
<td>1.277</td>
<td>2.111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The average stillbirth rate (≥22 weeks’ gestation) was 7.42 per 1000 total births during 2014-2016 in Iran; however, at subnational level, there is still disparity to some extent. Almost all provinces (96.77%) met ENAP target, but some provinces, such as Sistan & Baluchestan, Alborz, Zanjan, Ilam, Hamedan, and Kermanshah, had the highest average of stillbirth rate across the country. According to the national report of health profile of Iranian rural population, the rates of stillbirth in the rural population in 1993 and 2003 were 16.4 and 13.1 per 1000 total births, respectively.

This report showed that the stillbirth rate had a decreasing trend from 1993 to 2003 in rural areas (13). Based on the global burden of disease study, the SBR was 6.82 (CI; 5.71 – 8.10) per 1000 live births in Iran in 2015 (14).

The stillbirth rate (SBR) is estimated to be 18.4 per 1000 births worldwide, based on the definition of a baby born with no signs of life at or after 28 weeks’ gestation (15). SBR (≥28 weeks’ gestation) was 14.5 (uncertainty range 12.9–17.5) per 1000 births in North Africa and Middle East region in 2015. The annual rate of reduction in stillbirth rate was 2.1% from 2000 to 2015 in these regions (16). Although the rate of stillbirth in Iran is lower than the global and regional rates, variation is evident in SBR at the subnational level. This difference may be due to socioeconomic inequalities and lack of access to proper health care (17, 18).

Stillbirth rate varied from 13.05 per 1000 total births in Sistan & Baluchestan to 5.38 in Mazandaran in 2016. This diversity may be explained by variation in income and women’s education level in different provinces. The provinces with higher income and higher percentage of women with middle to high school education had a lower stillbirth rate (19, 20).

This finding was similar to the Global Burden of Disease (GBD 2015) study which demonstrates that stillbirth rates range from 6.73 (5.90-7.70) in high-middle SDI countries to 20.56 (15.95-27.02) in low SDI countries (14).

There was a significant association between stillbirth and location of residence (rural & urban area); based on our study, living in rural areas is a protective factor. This may be due to the expansion of Primary Health Care (PHC) network in our country which provides free prenatal care in both rural and urban areas (21). Access to prenatal services is available for almost all women across the
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country. Some prenatal tests, vaccinations, supplements, and educational classes in pregnancy are free and covered by basic insurance. Vaginal delivery in public hospitals is free since 2014 (22).

Sexual ambiguity was more prevalent in stillbirth cases, which may be due to low gestational age and lack of sexual differentiation. Male fetuses comprised about 52% of total stillbirths in Iran. In this study, the female sex was a protective factor, but it was not significant. A meta-analysis of 30 million births illustrated that male sex is an important risk factor for stillbirth. The risk of stillbirth is 10% higher in the male fetuses (23). In animal models, in the very early stages of life, male embryos have faster development and higher metabolism than females. These factors make the male embryos more susceptible to stressors such as endocrine alteration, oxidative stress, and nutritional deficiencies (24-26).

In multiple logistic regression analysis preterm birth enhanced fetal demise by 62.53 times (95% CI; 60.77-64.34). This observation was in line with other studies (30, 31).

In developed countries, 80% of all stillbirths are preterm, equivalent to 5% to 10% of all preterm births. This pattern may be higher in developing countries (32).

We found that post term pregnancy increased the risk of stillbirth by 3.31 times (95% CI; 2.66-4.13). This finding was in accordance with previous reports that emphasized increasing the gestational age is associated with increased risk of stillbirth, especially after 39 weeks. Therefore, theoretically in pregnancies that are at risk of fetal demise, pregnancy termination at 39 weeks may prevent some cases of stillbirth (27, 33, 34).

More than 95% of deliveries in our country occur in the hospital, and the public sector covers about 91% of them. Based on this study, delivery in the private sector increased the risk of stillbirth. Adams et al found that perinatal mortality rates were higher in public than in the private sector. Delivery in public hospitals increased the risk of stillbirth by 1.24 times (95%CI; 0.95-1.61) compared to private hospitals, but it was not significant (35). There was a greater than 1.2-fold (aOR; 1.28, CI; 1.18-1.39) increase in odds for stillbirth among mothers with preexisting hypertension. Similar to our study, a population-based study illustrated that fetal death rates increased by 3.2 times (CI; 1.9-5.4) in women with preexisting hypertension (36).

The Iranian comprehensive maternal health care program provides care before pregnancy and continues during the pregnancy and postpartum periods. This program provides access to primary health care providers, midwives, general practitioners, and obstetrics and gynecologist in the health care centers. This program comprises various guidelines and protocols, including guidelines about screening and diagnosis of GDM, chronic hypertension, and guideline of preeclampsia/eclampsia in pregnancy (37). Prenatal care is part of primary health care in Iran and is available in urban and rural areas. Stratification of prenatal care services is designed to improve access and quality assurance across the country (38).

According to the Sixth National Development Plan and General population policies in Iran, the government has been obliged to reduce the maternal mortality ratio by 15 per 100 000 live births by 2021, and the neonatal mortality rate by 7 per 1000 live births by this year. Such policies improve availability and accessibility to high qualified prenatal care in deprived and remote areas. The Ministry of Health and Medical Education also implements the ENAP in the country (39).

Due to the implementation of these programs, maternal mortality ratio (34.8 per 100 000 live births in 1990 to 23.3 per 100 000 live births in 2017) and neonatal mortality rate (29.4 per 1000 live births in 1990 to 8.3 per 1000 live births in 2017) have decreased significantly (40).

There was a 1.21-fold (95% CI; 1.14-1.28) increase in odds for stillbirth among women who suffered from preeclampsia/eclampsia. Other studies presented that preeclampsia increased fetal demise by the odds of 1.2- to 4-fold (41-43).

In a population-based cohort study, relative risk of stillbirth at different gestational age among pregnancies diagnosed with preeclampsia were estimated. The risk of fetal death among 3037 pregnancies with preeclampsia was 11.6 per 1000 in week 26, 4.6 per 1000 in week 28, and 2.5 per 1000 in week 32. Furthermore, preterm diagnosis of preeclampsia was associated with considerably higher risk of fetal demise (43). Since most stillbirths are associated with preeclampsia and most eclampsia are related to maternal mortality, the importance of prenatal care is manifested (44).

In our study, we benefited from a large sample size, but we had some limitations, such as high proportion of unknown or missing data in the IMaN database. Some variables, such as smoking, drug abuse, preexisting diabetes, chorioamnionitis, and history of stillbirth, were recently included in the database. These variables may have had an influence on the outcome, but due to small sample size, they were not entered in the model. In delivery rooms, some neonatal deaths may be assigned to stillbirth, but in recent years, coinciding with the implementation of IMaN, the Neonatal Health Office has conducted numerous educational programs for personnel in charge of the program in the delivery and operational rooms throughout the country to prevent the neonatal death and abortion assignment to stillbirth. According to national law, hospitals are required to issue death certificates for all cases of stillbirth, but there is a risk of underestimation in remote and deprived areas. Women in this region may not go to hospitals and a stillbirth may not be reported.

**Conclusion**

Due to the fact that Iran has reached the ENAP target for the stillbirth rate at the national level, it is necessary that policymakers set new targets for the rate of stillbirth at the national and provincial levels. Based on evidence, 4% annual reduction in the rate of stillbirth is recommended at the national and subnational by 2030 (45). Furthermore, our study showed that risk of stillbirth increased by sexual ambiguity, advanced maternal age, history of...
maternal abortion, consanguinity of parents, preterm and post term pregnancy, preexisting hypertension, preeclampsia/eclampsia, and out of hospital delivery. Detection of stillbirth risk factors leads to better planning for the promotion of maternal and infant health care and welfare services.
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Supplement Table 1. Stillbirth rate per 1000 total births based on Iranian Maternal and Neonatal Network in all the provinces of Iran (2014-2016)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Stillbirth Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alborz</td>
<td>9.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ardebil</td>
<td>7.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azarbayjan, E</td>
<td>7.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azarbayjan, W</td>
<td>7.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boushehr</td>
<td>7.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charmahal &amp; Bakhtiari</td>
<td>6.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fars</td>
<td>5.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghazvin</td>
<td>6.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghom</td>
<td>4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilan</td>
<td>6.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golestan</td>
<td>5.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamedan</td>
<td>9.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormozgan</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilam</td>
<td>10.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isfahan</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerman</td>
<td>8.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kermanshah</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khuzestan</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khorasan, north</td>
<td>5.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khorasan, Razavi</td>
<td>6.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khorasan, south</td>
<td>7.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kohkilooye &amp; Boyerahmad</td>
<td>6.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kordestan</td>
<td>8.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorestan</td>
<td>6.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markazi</td>
<td>7.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mazandaran</td>
<td>5.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semnan</td>
<td>7.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sistan &amp; Baloochestan</td>
<td>14.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tehran</td>
<td>7.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yazd</td>
<td>7.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zanjan</td>
<td>9.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Supplement Figure 1. Stillbirth rate per 1000 total births for male by provinces of Iran (2014-2016)
Stillbirth in Iran

Supplement Figure 2. Stillbirth rate per 1000 total births for female by provinces of Iran (2014-2016)

Supplement Table 2. Congenital anomalies of stillbirth and live birth in Iran (2014-2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Stillbirth</th>
<th></th>
<th>Live birth</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neural tube defect</td>
<td>130(1.2)</td>
<td>122(1.1)</td>
<td>453(0.0)</td>
<td>379(0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other malformation of neural tube</td>
<td>156(1.5)</td>
<td>133(1.2)</td>
<td>480(0.0)</td>
<td>385(0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney and Genitourinary</td>
<td>86(0.8)</td>
<td>91(0.8)</td>
<td>1102(0.1)</td>
<td>1083(0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand &amp; Foot</td>
<td>152(1.4)</td>
<td>143(1.3)</td>
<td>2612(0.2)</td>
<td>2420(0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Down syndrome</td>
<td>63(0.6)</td>
<td>33(0.3)</td>
<td>303(0.0)</td>
<td>263(0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other chromosomal malformation</td>
<td>73(0.7)</td>
<td>75(0.7)</td>
<td>195(0.0)</td>
<td>157(0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleft palate/Cleft lip</td>
<td>67(0.6)</td>
<td>61(0.6)</td>
<td>758(0.1)</td>
<td>723(0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular</td>
<td>49(0.5)</td>
<td>54(0.5)</td>
<td>388(0.0)</td>
<td>285(0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musculoskeletal</td>
<td>70(0.7)</td>
<td>56(0.5)</td>
<td>380(0.0)</td>
<td>414(0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal</td>
<td>99(0.9)</td>
<td>88(0.8)</td>
<td>429(0.0)</td>
<td>816(0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear &amp; Eye</td>
<td>46(0.4)</td>
<td>43(0.4)</td>
<td>355(0.0)</td>
<td>655(0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face &amp; Neck</td>
<td>129(1.2)</td>
<td>123(1.1)</td>
<td>440(0.0)</td>
<td>464(0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other malformation</td>
<td>717(6.7)</td>
<td>708(6.5)</td>
<td>1189(0.1)</td>
<td>1257(0.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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