Developing a clinical decision support system based on the fuzzy logic and decision tree to predict colorectal cancer
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Abstract

Background: Colorectal Cancer (CRC) is the most prevalent digestive system-related cancer and has become one of the deadliest diseases worldwide. Given the poor prognosis of CRC, it is of great importance to make a more accurate prediction of this disease. Early CRC detection using computational technologies can significantly improve the overall survival possibility of patients. Hence this study was aimed to develop a fuzzy logic-based clinical decision support system (FL-based CDSS) for the detection of CRC patients.

Methods: This study was conducted in 2020 using the data related to CRC and non-CRC patients, which included the 1162 cases in the Masoud internal clinic, Tehran, Iran. The chi-square method was used to determine the most important risk factors in predicting CRC. Furthermore, the C4.5 decision tree was used to extract the rules. Finally, the FL-based CDSS was designed in a MATLAB environment and its performance was evaluated by a confusion matrix.

Results: Eleven features were selected as the most important factors. After fuzzification of the qualitative variables and evaluation of the decision support system (DSS) using the confusion matrix, the accuracy, specificity, and sensitivity of the system was yielded 0.96, 0.97, and 0.96, respectively.

Conclusion: We concluded that developing the CDSS in this field can provide an earlier diagnosis of CRC, leading to a timely treatment, which could decrease the CRC mortality rate in the community.
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Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a type of gastrointestinal (GI) malignancy that appears as masses are believed to arise from primarily benign adenomatous polyps; it can invade or extend to other parts of the body (1, 2). CRC has become the third commonest malignant tumor worldwide and is also the second leading cause of cancer-related deaths in women and the third for men worldwide (2-4). Additionally, it ranked the first cause of death in terms of cancer in most developing countries (5). In recent decades, the CRC

What is “already known” in this topic:

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most fatal malignancies, and total survival rates remain unsatisfactory. The symptoms of CRC are mostly insidious at the beginning, preventing early diagnosis. Therefore, CRC is either progressive or metastasized in most of patients by the time they are diagnosed. The rapid case finding of CRC is not only a simple process but also is crucial to its treatment.

What this article adds:

Application of fuzzy logic can develop a predictive model to stratify risk CRC patients more accurately. This may provide worthy prognostic information to support the physician for making a decision in a good manner for risk stratification before the onset of the treatment.
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The growth rate in Iran has been increasing annually and is considered as one of the critical public health challenges (6, 7). In Iran, according to the Ministry of Health reports, CRC occupies the third position in men and is the fourth type of prevalent cancer among women (4, 8, 9). This malignancy is highly treatable when detected in early stages (3). Therefore, initiating measures against CRC inhibition, protection, and treatment have a fundamental value. In this sense, an accurate and regular screening enables earlier detection; and then timely interventions can contribute to enhancing the likelihood of recovery, cure, and lower death (2, 10). Due to the complexity of the disease diagnosis, many factors should be considered, which may be complementary, contradictory, and competitive; thus, all health professionals attempt to decrease imprecision in diagnosis by collecting empirical data to manage patients’ problems (11).

Even though there have been advancements in diagnostic procedures, a huge number of CRC patients, more than 90%, have had either advanced or metastasized CRC by the time they are diagnosed. Therefore, an accurate, timely, suitable, economical, and affordable diagnosis and regular screening could considerably improve the management of this disease (1). An application alternative for the existing screening tools is artificial intelligence (AI) screening. It is proven that the adoption of optimal AI techniques in the form of Decision Support Systems (DSS) can increase the classification accuracy capabilities (10, 12-14).

Case finding and analysis of CRC risk development can escalate the diagnostic precision and potentially decrease CRC-related death. Furthermore, by distinguishing the malignant and benign tumors early, AI can be used to decrease the needless procedures on benign tumors, reducing the total cost, procedure duration, and related problems (15-17).

The present paper proposes the use of a fuzzy logic-based CDSS to assess the risk of developing CRC. It is well recognized that ambiguity almost exists in all multifarious situations, which necessitates intellectual decision-making. In an ambiguous situation, the FL-based CDSS is more effective with qualitative data. FL offers a practically applicable approach to dealing with uncertainty and vagueness in decision support (18, 19). The concept of FL was first introduced in 1965 by Zadeh (20). FL represents an alteration in the Boolean logic paradigm. Opposing to conventional Boolean logic, where the objects are classified with crisp memberships as true or false (true = 1; false = 0), if the value is 0, the element does not belong to the set; and if the value is 1, then the element completely belongs to the set. However, in fuzzy sets, each element has a degree of belonging to the membership that can be a real number, ranging from 0 and 1 (21-23). This logic uses the mathematical concepts to provide a framework for modeling intrinsic imprecision and uncertainties in medical practice for dealing with decision-making in diagnosis, and treatment, especially in complex cases such as cancer (24-26). In other words, FL is suitable in situations in which the borderline between the sets is not well-defined, for example, an analyzing process done by man (27). Due to the complex and multidimensional nature of CRC, and the interrelation between modifiable and nonmodifiable risk factors in cancer genesis, progress, and exacerbation, the FL is applicable for multistage cancer prediction and prognosis (28). Thus, this study aimed at developing an FL-based CDSS for predicting CRC at early stages.

Methods
This retrospective applied-descriptive study was conducted in 2020, aiming at developing an FL-based DSS for earlier detection of CRC.

Data Collection
The dataset used in this study was obtained from the CRC cases referred to the Masoud internal clinic, Tehran, Iran, in 2017-2019. The patients’ information was reviewed and extracted by 2 health information management experts. The patients who referred to the Masoud internal clinic for screening, diagnosis, and treatment of CRC were included in this study. A total of 1162 patients (610 men and 552 women) were identified. The study limited the analysis of patients’ medical records in 2 phases. First, to study individuals lacking high-risk factors of CRC, we did not consider the patients’ medical records comprising those factors in Burke clinical screening guideline, and thus 382 cases were excluded. Second, 62 incomplete case records were excluded (more than 70% missing data). We collected 25 variables, including patients’ characteristics (age, sex, body mass index (BMI), educational level, marital status, and ethnicity), nutritional characteristics (animal fat intake, fruit and vegetable consumption, and red meat consumption), lifestyle characteristics (physical activity, sleeping in the day, smoking consumption, alcohol drinking, and drug use), and clinical characteristics (taking Iron, calcium, vitamin D, multivitamins tablets, contraceptive pills, taking menopause hormones, history of fatty liver, hormone-therapy, metabolic syndrome, history of colonoscopy and endoscopy, and genetic risk).

Knowledge Acquisition
In this study, knowledge acquisition was conducted in 3 stages as follows.

Reduce the Data Set Dimensions
Reducing the size of the dataset is imperative to increasing the efficiency of data mining algorithms; to this end, only the most important factors were selected. In this study, the chi-square test was used for determining the most influential risk factors for developing CRC. This method can determine the correlation between the 2 qualitative variables. The correlation between the 2 different variables can be calculated by the chi-square test and Formula 1, where \( F_{oi} \) is the observed frequency and \( F_{ei} \) is the expected frequency, whose calculation method has been presented in Formula 2 (29).

\[
X^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(F_{ei} - F_{oi})^2}{F_{ei}} \quad (1)
\]

\[
F_{ei} = \frac{n_{i} \times n}{n} \quad (2)
\]

Knowledge Representation
Two points were important in developing the C4.5 data
mining algorithm: first, it is necessary to consider all the factors. Next, the algorithm’s confidence factor was chosen in such a way that the performance of the algorithm doesn’t decrease, so data mining was performed by the confidence factor of 0.5.

A common technique for acquiring the knowledge is extracting and representing the rules structure by IF-THEN statement, in which IF and THEN refer to the condition and the result of actions, respectively. In this study, to extract the rules from the decision tree, we first started from the root node and by reaching the leaf node, we transformed the entire navigation path into the IF-THEN structure rule. To extract the next rules, we started again from the root node and wrote the entire node in that path to the next leaf node. In addition to extracting rules from the decision tree, weights were assigned to each rule generated based on the frequency of the samples classified in each of the leaf nodes. So the fuzzy system’s knowledge base rules with IF-THEN format were extracted by the C4.5 decision tree algorithm.

**Evaluating the Data Mining Algorithm Performance**

Based on the confusion matrix (Table 1), we used the accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity criteria with the 10 fold cross-validation for evaluating the algorithm performance (Relation 3 to 5).

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{TP+TN}{TP+FN+FP+TN} \quad (3)
\]

\[
\text{Specificity} = \frac{TN}{TP+FN} \quad (4)
\]

\[
\text{Sensitivity} = \frac{TP}{TP+FN} \quad (5)
\]

**Designing a Decision Support System**

Due to the presence of qualitative variables and uncertainties in their values, to design a clinical DSS, we used a fuzzy method for simulation of human reasoning with uncertainty. In this study, the researcher-made method was used for the fuzzification of variables. Fuzzification was performed by consulting with 10 gastrointestinal experts familiar with the CRC factors. For example, for the fruit and vegetable consumption variable, the amount between 200 to 300 grams were considered as the low consumption and the consumption between 220 to 280 grams was more likely to be in the low consumption group. Therefore, by consulting the experts, the trapezoid membership function (180 220 280 320) was assigned for this feature of the variable. In Formula 6, \( f(x) \) shows the membership function of the x existed in the low range.

\[
f_{low}(x) = \begin{cases} 
(x - 180)/40, & 180 \leq x < 220 \\
1, & 220 \leq x < 280 \\
(320 - x)/40, & 280 \leq x < 320 
\end{cases} \quad (6)
\]

The output of the fuzzy system offers a flexible solution to physicians in this way. In this study, we used MATLAB R 2013 software to implement a fuzzy inference system. The output value was between 0 to 1. If the value was lower than 0.5, we considered it as low risk, else they were classified in the high-risk group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. The Confusion Matrix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Mamdani inference mechanism was used in this study as the reasoning method. In the Mamdani method, the inputs and outputs of the fuzzy inference system are expressed as fuzzy-fuzzy, and the relationships between them are mapped by the rules that existed in the system knowledge base. The system parameters used in the Mamdani reasoning mechanism for CRC risk prediction are shown in Table 2. For developing FL-based DSS user interface, we used the C# programming language and the NET framework 4.5.2 in windows layout by Visual Studio software.

**Evaluating Developed CDSS**

Evaluating the performance of the developed CDSS confusion matrix (Table 1) was used. The accuracy, specificity, and sensitivity of the system were calculated according to Relation 1.

In this respect, 125 cases of healthy people and 125 CRC patients were compared to low-risk and high-risk categories by the system, respectively.

**Results**

The result of the sample isolation was 718 cases, 468 of which were used for data mining and 250 (125 CRC samples and 125 healthy samples) were used for evaluating the system performance. Grade 1 and 0 were associated with people with CRC and non-CRC, respectively. At first, 25 factors, including demographic, nutritional, personal and medical history, and epidemiological factors, were used for analysis using the chi-square test; finally, 11 variables were obtained as the most important variables at \( p<0.05 \) (Table 3).

1. IF (Smoking (Day) is very-low) && (Aspirin (Day/2) is low) && (Exercise (In hours) is low) && (Red meat is low) && (BMI is Fat(Low-weight)) then Class= non-CRC, Rule weight=3/389.
2. IF (Smoking (Day) is very-low) && (Aspirin (Day/2) is low) && (Exercise (In hours) is low) && (Red meat is low) && (BMI is Fat(Low-weight)) then Class= non-CRC, Rule weight=3/389.
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is low) && (Exercise (In hours) is low) && (Red meat is low) && (BMI is FatNormal) then Class= non-CRC, Rule weight=8/389.

3. IF (Smoking (Day) is very-low) && (Aspirin (Day/2) is low) && (Exercise (In hours) is low) && (Red meat is low) && (BMI is High-weight) then Class= CRC, Rule weight=4/389.

4. IF (Smoking (Day) is very-low) && (Aspirin (Day/2) is low) && (Exercise (In hours) is low) && (Red meat is low) && (BMI is FatDeg-1) then Class= CRC, Rule weight=6/389.

5. IF (Smoking (Day) is very-low) && (Aspirin (Day/2) is low) && (Exercise (In hours) is low) && (Red meat is low) && (BMI is FatDeg-2) then Class= CRC, Rule weight=3/389.

Based on the results of the confusion matrix (Table 4), the accuracy, specificity, and sensitivity values of the C4.5 decision tree were obtained to be 0.83, 0.70, and 0.92, respectively.

The receiver characteristics operator (ROC) curve has been depicted in Figure 1, based on the ROC area under the ROC curve (AUC) of the J-48 decision tree algorithm in classifying the high-risk and low-risk people was obtained to be 0.811. (The vertical and horizontal vertices in this figure represent the true positive rate (TPR) and false positive rate (FPR), respectively).

After fuzzifying the most important variables, the database of the fuzzy inference system was gathered (Table 5). By determining the database, knowledge base, and fuzzy reasoning mechanism, the fuzzy decision support system interface was designed (Fig. 2).

After designing a fuzzy decision support system, in the test step, the evaluation was performed by the 250 samples separated from the data mining previously. The accuracy, specificity, and sensitivity of the system based on relation 2 to 4, and Table 6 were obtained to be 0.96, 0.97, and 0.96, respectively.

Discussion

This study aimed to develop a CDSS that would assist clinicians with their decisions concerning CRC risk prediction. DSS is fundamental to help health care providers in their decision-making (diagnosis, classification, etc.), especially it is applicable in serious situations such as cancer, where the decision must be made effectively and reliably. In this respect, providing a system for early cancer detection is valuable in disease therapy and inhibition. The reason why CRC was selected in this study is due to its frequency in our country. Additionally, this neoplasm tends to present at late stages and has a poor outcome, and most of the patients diagnosed in advanced stages as the results of this disease metastases to neighboring organs, the treatments are hopeless and patients die in a short time. Moreover, the detection of cancer greatly depends on the physician’s expertise, but these experienced physicians are not available in all parts of the country. Thus, early CRC precaution procedures are very important for individuals who

Table 3. Chi-square Test Result for Determining Important CRC Risk Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Variable Name</th>
<th>Chi-square</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fat meal</td>
<td>Mean animal fat consumption in a day</td>
<td>28.354</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Family history</td>
<td>The risk grouping of people in different types of relative in terms of having CRC</td>
<td>24.322</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Age intervals</td>
<td>18.377</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Red meat</td>
<td>Mean red meat consumption in a day</td>
<td>27.354</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fruits &amp; vegetables</td>
<td>Mean Fruits &amp; Vegetables consumption in a day</td>
<td>31.681</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>Exercise (In hours)</td>
<td>28.711</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Aspirin (P.Days/2)</td>
<td>Mean aspirin take (the half pill in a day)</td>
<td>24.328</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Aspirin (Years)</td>
<td>Mean aspirin take (In years)</td>
<td>25.364</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Smoking (Days)</td>
<td>Smoking consumption (the number of pockets in a day)</td>
<td>32.127</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Smoking (Years)</td>
<td>Smoking consuming (In years)</td>
<td>29.757</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Body Mass Index</td>
<td>18.473</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. C4.5 Confusion Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual Values</th>
<th>Predicted Values</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>136</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Fuzzy Database with Specific Fuzzy Membership Function for CRC Risk Prediction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Variable Name</th>
<th>Variable Role</th>
<th>Values (Probable Values Existed in Each State)</th>
<th>Fuzzy Membership Functions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Smoking consumption (number of pockets consumed per day)</td>
<td>Input</td>
<td>Very low: &lt;1 Low: 1-2 Medium: 2-3 High: &gt;3</td>
<td>Trapezoid (0.75 1 1.2 1.3 2.25) Trapezoid (1.75 2.3 2.7 3.25) Trapezoid (2.75 3.5 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Smoking consuming (In years)</td>
<td>Input</td>
<td>Very low: &lt;1 Low: 1-5 Medium: 5-10 High: &gt;10</td>
<td>Trapezoid (0.75 0.5 1 1.5) Trapezoid (4.75 5.5 6) Trapezoid (7.5 6 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mean aspirin take (pill in day/2) (one pill is 80 mg)</td>
<td>Input</td>
<td>Low: &lt;40 Medium: 40-120 High: 120-200 Very high: &gt;200</td>
<td>Trapezoid (30 45 115 130) Trapezoid (90 135 185 230) Trapezoid (180 205 220 230)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mean aspirin take (years)</td>
<td>Input</td>
<td>Low: &lt;1 Medium: 1-3 High: 3-5 Very high: &gt;5</td>
<td>Trapezoid (0.75 1.5 2.5 3.25) Trapezoid (2.75 3.5 4.5 5.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Input</td>
<td>Young: &lt;45 Middle-aged: 45-64 Adult: &gt;65</td>
<td>Trapezoid (40 50 60 70) Trapezoid (60 70 90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Mean fruits &amp; vegetable consumption (Serving per day) (One serving is 100 g)</td>
<td>Input</td>
<td>Very low: &lt;200 Low: 200-300 Medium: 300-400 High: &gt;400</td>
<td>Trapezoid (180 220 280 320) Trapezoid (280 320 370 420) Trapezoid (380 450 550)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Mean animal fat consumption (Serving per day) (One serving is 30g)</td>
<td>Input</td>
<td>Very low: &lt;50 Low: 50-100 Medium: 100-150 High: &gt;150</td>
<td>Trapezoid (40 65 85 120) Trapezoid (80 105 145 170) Trapezoid (140 165 200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Mean red meat consumption (Serving per day) (One serving is 30g)</td>
<td>Input</td>
<td>Very low: &lt;50 Low: 50-100 Medium: 100-150 High: &gt;150</td>
<td>Trapezoid (35 60 85 105) Trapezoid (80 100 140 160) Trapezoid (130 160 200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Exercise (in hours)</td>
<td>Input</td>
<td>Low: &lt;1 Medium: 1-2 High: &gt;2</td>
<td>Trapezoid (0.5 1.5 2.5) Trapezoid (0.75 1.3 1.7 2.25) Trapezoid (1.75 2.25 2.75 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Family history</td>
<td>Input</td>
<td>Non-relative (Very low): &lt;1 Having the degree3 relative with CRC (low): 1-2 Having the degree2 relative with CRC (Medium): 2-3 Having the degree1 relative with CRC (High): &gt;3</td>
<td>Trapezoid (0 0 1) Trapezoid (0.5 1 1.5 2) Trapezoid (1.5 2 2.5 3.5) Trapezoid (2.5 3.5 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Risk</td>
<td>target</td>
<td>Low Risk : &lt;=0.5 High risk: &gt;0.5</td>
<td>Trapezoid (0 0.3 0.55) Trapezoid (0.45 0.7 1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recent developments in computational technologies provided more analytical capabilities to predict malignancies than traditional statistical approaches (27, 34-36). In this study, by selecting the FL model from AI techniques, we developed a DSS for the assistant physician in predicting CRC. Nowadays, multiple AI-based DSS programs have been designed for the CRC early detection, risk analysis, and screening (1, 28). The intent of applying fuzzy reasoning is due to its significant ability to handling uncertainty, imprecision, and complexity while increasing the flexibility of inference methods with approximate reasoning. Contrary to Boolean logic, FL models human expert reasoning in the form of uncertain linguistic variables. Thus, it can be stated that computers can solve problems in a way close to human experts (23, 25, 27, 37). FL provides a solution for dealing with doubts in medical decision-making practices. Using this logic for CRC prognosis or prediction has led to adherence to the best practices and guidelines (13, 38, 39).

A key component of any CDSS is the knowledge base, which uses a traditional way to represent human knowledge. These knowledge-based systems mostly use the rules in the form of IF-THEN statements, and the samples are always according to these patterns of rules (29, 40,
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Knowledge elicitation is a bottleneck in establishing these systems (42). The developed system in this study was developed based on a retrospective dataset; data mining was applied to produce the required rule base. Prediction by using data mining techniques yielded an accurate result. Data mining is the process of exploring, representing, and modeling huge volumes of data to extract hidden patterns or probable relations that provide applicable information. Mining and analyzing health data are potentially useful to perform medical evaluations to screening, prognosis or diagnosis, treatment, and survival estimation, which consequently leads to enhance clinical decision-making (22, 43-45).

Decision tree algorithms have good results in crisp domains but cannot deal with imprecision. Therefore, in this study, the C4.5 decision tree is used coupled with fuzzy modeling to deal with this impression. A decision tree with fuzzy logic was used to determine if the risk is classified as low or high in a certain individual. The role of FL is to moderate the sharp decision between attribute values in the decision tree that may result in misclassification (46). In this study, we used the C4.5 decision tree algorithm because of its good performance; also, its capabilities, such as embedding missing values before classifying the samples, downsizing and upsizing the tree by changing the confidence factor attribute, and generating rules, and ability to use data features with continuous numerical values for building the tree in addition to qualitative types, make this algorithm a better choice than other tree algorithms (47, 48).

Thus far, many previous studies have been focused on the application of fuzzy modeling in early GI malignancy prognosis, risk assessment, and survivability prediction (14, 22, 23, 49). Some of them demonstrated that applying fuzzy logic for CRC risk assessment can reduce diagnosis errors and disagreement among physicians at any level of prediction, prevention, and treatment. Yilmaz et al (2013) in their study indicated that using the fuzzy logic for CRC risk analysis yielded 0.78 of sensitivity, 0.85 of specificity, 0.90 of identification, 0.30 of negative identification, and 0.81 of accuracy (26). Santos et al (2018) in their study revealed that fuzzy sample entropy had the best performance for CRC diagnosis and differentiating benign and malignant patient groups based on histological images (AUC value = 0.983) (50). Shafi (2015) also stated that the fuzzy logic was the best AI technique to predict CRC tumor size (51). Chowdhury et al (2018) used the fuzzy logic for CRC detection at early stages and the result of their study showed that FL-based expert system, by embedding uncertainty, could be used as conventional CDSS and improve the CRC prediction accuracy (21). In our study, the result of Mamdani-type fuzzy inference in terms of accuracy, specificity, and sensitivity based confusion matrix were 0.96, 0.97, and 0.96, respectively. It also could be concluded that using this method for data analysis with uncertainty will increase the performance efficiency. Achieved results in the present study showed that the FL serves as an effective approach in dealing with the CRC risk analysis.

Lack of enough quantitative data, single center-based, the incompleteness of some data fields, the retrospective nature of the data set, the existence of some qualitative and non-objective data, and lack of some prognostic factors, such as patient and family history, are the key research limitations. It is suggested that more data mining methods, multicenter databases, and more quantitative data gathering approaches be used for increasing the performance of the CRC risk prediction. In this study, we proposed an FL-based CDSS for CRC risk prediction. Nonetheless, the great enhancement of diagnostic precision from an intelligent CDSS could be an advantage for multimodal medical data fusion and decision-making for CRC, the system acts as an ancillary diagnostic tool for the finding and forecast of the disease. This CDSS cannot be substituted by doctors in choosing the last decision for patients due to the high mortality and morbidity rates resulted from cancer.

**Conclusion**

This study proposes a method for predicting CRC based on FL yielding, a robust prognostic model with better accuracy than the other traditional clinical and statistical techniques for CRC patients screening. The true prediction will
improve its screening and will have a positive effect on referring patients to the specialist cancer setting at the early stage of the disease. It is suggested that in the future works the researchers use new databases and new classification schemes to stabilize these accuracies with more objective, complete, accurate, and comprehensive databases. Finally, we showed that the fuzzy model can potentially be used as an optimal technique to identify the high-risk CRC people in our country.
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