HIV prevalence among men who have sex with men in Iran: A systematic review and meta-analysis
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Abstract

Background: The need for informed policymaking highlights the importance of data on human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) prevalence on key populations. In this systematic review and meta-analysis, we aimed to provide an overview of HIV prevalence in men who have sex with men (MSM) in Iran.

Methods: We searched literature published between January 2008 and December 2019 to identify studies reporting the prevalence of HIV infection or acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) in a population of adult Iranian men with history of sexual contact with other men. We employed Metaprop command in Stata to pool proportions from different studies.

Results: Among the 16 studies retrieved, 2 were performed on MSM population directly, 7 among people who inject drugs, 4 among prisoners, 2 among the homeless, and 1 among methamphetamine users. HIV prevalence was 7% (95% CI, 5%-10%) based on the meta-analysis, although noticeable heterogeneity existed because of target population, study year, and study location, which imposed limitations to provide a robust summary measure for the prevalence of HIV.

Conclusion: There is a potential risk of observing a high prevalence of HIV in MSM that could hamper the results of various preventive strategies and their achievements in other subpopulations.
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Introduction

Although the global efforts have led to a remarkable decline in new human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infections, the pace is considered slow compared to expectations. At present, half of HIV incidence occurs among key populations and their partners who are generally ignored in policymaking and prevention programs (1). There have been achievements in high-burden countries, yet the rate is still increasing in key populations (2, 3). Men who have sex with men (MSM) are one of the key populations who have been experiencing high rates during the past decade, even in countries with successful HIV control in other subpopulations (4).

The term MSM is used to target sexual behaviors regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. The term
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What is “already known” in this topic:

Men who have sex with men (MSM) are one of the key populations who have been experiencing high rates of HIV infection during the past decades, even in countries with successful HIV control programs.

What this article adds:

Despite the relatively low HIV prevalence in Iran, the higher rates in MSM population could impose a potential risk to national preventive strategies.
HIV prevalence in MSM

includes homosexual and bisexual men as well as male sex workers, those in all-male settings, such as prisons, but not transgender people born male. MSM is a broader category than homosexual men and recognizes other subgroups that might be more hidden and difficult to identify. These difficulties result in limited information on these subpopulations, especially in communities where these sexual behaviors have social stigma or criminal charges (5). Even in communities with no legal limitations, cultural and social barriers may hinder information disclosure in epidemiologic studies (6), while detailed understanding of the epidemic trends and stages in every key population is essential in developing appropriate interventions (7).

Policymakers have targeted both behavioral and biomedical prevention strategies at different stages of the epidemics, but the success rate was not optimal because of cultural and social characteristics, limited access to HIV testing and care, legal issues, and different antiretroviral drug-resistance patterns, among other reasons (8, 9). In communities with low overall prevalence of HIV, or where the epidemic is limited to some subgroups, updated and reliable statistics is critical in planning interventions (7). Such data are not available in many countries or are only available in a limited and biased manner (10). On the other hand, many epidemiologic studies do not contain information on MSM-related behaviors, fearing that adding such questions may decrease data completeness and response rate and impose bias to the results, making direct assessments even more difficult (11).

Despite the relatively low overall HIV prevalence of 0.1% in the Middle-East, there has been 66% rise in HIV-related deaths in the recent decade, which rings the bell for policymakers on preventive measures (12). Until recent years, the majority of the infections occurred in men; and shared needle was the main route of transmission, but this profile has been rapidly changing. Despite the decreased prevalence in people who inject drugs (PWID), a rise has been reported on the prevalence of infection among women; and sexual transmission is becoming a more dominant transmission route (13). These changes may require new policies to maintain the epidemics limited to the key populations.

The need for informed policymaking highlights the importance of data on HIV prevalence on key populations. MSM are among the key populations with limited studies directly targeting them. In this research, we aimed to provide an overview of HIV prevalence in MSM through data presented in studies where MSM-related behaviors are directly or indirectly presented.

**Methods**

This study was reported according to the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis) guidelines (14), and was approved and supported by Iran University of Medical Sciences.

**Search Method**

To identify relevant studies, the literature was searched for Persian and non-Persian documents in international and national databases. Due to the limited literature on MSM population in Iran, we needed to screen the literature with high sensitivity; thus, an inclusive search strategy was applied to access all possible documents. Moreover, we searched the gray literature and hand searched the reference lists of included articles and communicated with well-known researchers and research institutes as well as governmental health authorities.

The search process was performed in January 2020 on the literature published from January 2008 until December 2019. A comprehensive search was performed on databases with Persian documents; Islamic World Science Citation Center, Scientific Information Database, database of Iranian Research Institute for information science and Technology, and Magiran. Also, a literature search was conducted in PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science (WOS) without any language limits. The keywords used were “Human Immunodeficiency Virus” and “Iran,” as well as similar terms such as “HIV” or “Persian” or “Iranian.”

**Study Selection**

Eligible studies were those that clearly reported the prevalence of HIV infection or acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) in a population of men with history of sexual contact with other men. Articles were included if they reported a sample or subsample of men who reported engaging in at least 1 event of any type of sex with another man through personal desire, or engaging in sex for money, drugs, etc. Studies on Iranian people living in other parts of the world, those that reported prevalence in females or in people younger than 15 years, and secondary studies were excluded. In addition, if key information (number of MSM tested and their HIV test results) were missing and could not be obtained by contacting the authors, the study would be excluded.

**Data Extraction**

After removing the duplicate articles, 2 authors (L.G. and O.P.) independently evaluated titles and abstracts of the extracted articles for eligibility. We included articles approved by at least 1 of the researchers for full-text evaluation. At this stage, any disagreement between the researchers was resolved in a discussion session with all the research team.

**Risk of Bias Assessment**

We evaluated risk of bias in selected studies using a tool modified by Hoy et al. This tool evaluates internal and external validity of the studies using a 10-item rating. External validity was assessed by examining 4 items: nationally representativeness, sampling frame, random selection or census, and likelihood of nonresponse. Internal validity was determined based on 6 items: direct data collection, case definition, valid instrument, consistency of data collection method, duration of period prevalence, and appropriate numerator and denominator. Each item was assigned 0 or 1, indicating low or high risk of bias, respectively. If judgement could not be made based on the information in the article, the item would be categorized as high risk (15). Studies that received more than 8 scores
were considered as having a low risk of bias, while those with 6 to 8 scores were categorized as having a moderate bias. The rest would be labeled as high risk.

**Statistical Analysis**

Considering the different epidemiologic profiles of sub-populations in this study (PWID, prisoners, homeless, MSM, and methamphetamine users), the HIV prevalence presented separately in each subgroup. We employed forest plots, Cochran’s Q statistic, and I2 statistic to evaluate heterogeneity among the primary studies.

Metaprop command in Stata Version 14 (StataCorp) was used to pool proportions from different studies. We tested publication bias using Egger regression asymmetry tests to determine the asymmetry of the funnel plots, with p<0.10 as the evidence of bias.

**Results**

A total of 3403 articles were identified through literature search from different databases. After excluding duplicates and irrelevant articles, which were considerably numerous due to sensitive search strategy, 224 full-text articles were evaluated for relevance and quality. Finally, 9 articles (8 English; 1 Persian) were eligible for data extraction. Also, 7 studies were retrieved through hand search and communication with experts (Fig. 1).

Only 2 studies were performed on MSM population directly. Other studies were included, as they reported HIV prevalence among other subpopulations. Seven studies were among PWIDs, 4 among prisoners, 2 among the homeless, and 1 among methamphetamine. Studies were published 1 to 6 years after being performed. Number of MSM detected in each study ranged from 9 to 714. HIV prevalence ranged from 0% to 44% (Table 1). The overall estimated prevalence was 7% (95% CI, 5%-10%). In PWID, the prevalence ranged from 1.6% to 44%, with an average estimate of 15% (95% CI, 8%-21%). HIV prevalence in MSM recruited from prisons ranged from 0% to 3.6%, with a pooled estimate of 2% (95% CI, 1%-4%). The prevalence of HIV in 2 studies on MSM directly was 14.8% and 2.3% (pooled estimate, 3%; 95% CI, 1%-4%).

In 2 studies with homeless target population, the prevalence ranged from 0% to 5.6%, with an estimate of 1% to 19%. There were no positive cases in the study on people who used methamphetamine.

The overall heterogeneity of the studies (I2) was 89.97%, and heterogeneity was significant in the subpopulations (Fig. 2). When assessing bias and small study effects by the funnel plot observation and Begg’s test, we observed an evidence of publication bias for prevalence, suggesting unpublished studies with different results (Fig. 3).

---

**Fig. 1.** Flowchart of article search process and study inclusion
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**Table 1. Characteristics of the Included Studies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recruitment setting</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Study Year</th>
<th>Publication Year</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PWID</td>
<td>Mirahmizadeh et al (16)</td>
<td>Country level</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>8.7 to 18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWID</td>
<td>Kheirandish et al (17)</td>
<td>Tehran</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>21.6 to 47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWID</td>
<td>Alavi et al (18)</td>
<td>Ahvaz</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>24.6 to 57.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWID</td>
<td>Moamen et al (19)</td>
<td>Kashan</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.0 to 9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWID</td>
<td>Ramezani et al (20)</td>
<td>Arak</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>18.8 to 73.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWID</td>
<td>HIV/STI Surveillance Research Center BSS 2010 (21)</td>
<td>Country level</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>8.9 to 16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWID</td>
<td>HIV/STI Surveillance Research Center BSS 2014 (22)</td>
<td>Country level</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>4.4 to 10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prisoners</td>
<td>HIV/STI Surveillance Research Center BSS 2013 (23)</td>
<td>Country level</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.5 to 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prisoners</td>
<td>HIV/STI Surveillance Research Center BSS 2017 (24)</td>
<td>Country level</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.7 to 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prisoners</td>
<td>Khademi et al (26)</td>
<td>Kermanshah</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 to 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSM</td>
<td>Eftekhari et al (28)</td>
<td>Tehran</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.2 to 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless</td>
<td>Amiri et al (29)</td>
<td>Tehran</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.6 to 19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless</td>
<td>HIV/STI Surveillance Research Center 2017 (30)</td>
<td>Kerman</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 to 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methamphetamine users</td>
<td>Moradi et al (31)</td>
<td>Country level</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0 to 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*BSS, Bio-Behavioral Surveillance Survey; MSM, men who have sex with men; PWID, people who inject drugs.*

**Fig. 2.** Forest plot of HIV prevalence in population of men who have sex with men (MSM), based on the target population of the original studies (Dates presented according to the data collection year, not publication year).

In quality assessment, the studies showed low to moderate risk of bias. Although the internal validity of the studies was high, the external validity was relatively low, mostly because of different target population and repres-
sentativeness (Table 2).

In Figure 4, the trend of HIV prevalence is shown according to the study year. Because of the wide and variable time span between study performance and study publication, the former was used for data presentation.

**Discussion**

In this review, we identified studies that evaluated HIV prevalence in MSM, either as their main target population, or as a subpopulation of other target groups, mainly PWID and prisoners in Iran. Because of the sparsity of studies with MSM as the main target population, most of the included data were extracted from studies that evaluated the HIV prevalence in other HIV key populations. We may indicate an overall 7% prevalence for HIV, but the heterogeneity between the studies imposes limitations to providing such summary measure. The characteristics of these studies resulted in the heterogeneity observed between the findings of the studies from different pathways. These heterogeneities impose limitations to providing a robust summary measure for the prevalence of HIV based on the 16 included studies.

Study population is probably the most important source of heterogeneity. HIV prevalence is generally different in key populations, which is also reflected in our findings. Overall, HIV prevalence in MSM population was higher in PWID, compared to other subgroups, which is similar to the pattern in the country (32). This dual risk (sex and injection) could increase the prevalence of HIV among MSM with the injection behavior. In our study, the HIV prevalence was approximately 15% (8%-21%) in MSM population who also were in PWID subpopulation, and 2% (1%-4%) for those recruited from prisons. Previous reviews have reported HIV prevalence in prisoners of Iran, ranging between 0% and 24% (13). The study on the homeless population in Tehran yielded a 6% prevalence of HIV in homeless MSM, similar to a study from 2009 that reported a 4.6% in the homeless from the same city (33). In the only study on people using methamphetamine as the emerging high-risk population, no HIV positive cases were detected (31).

Another observation in this study was the decreasing trend of HIV prevalence in the study period that can be considered as another source of heterogeneity. This trend

![Fig. 3: Funnel plot for meta-analysis of the prevalence of HIV in men who have sex with men (MSM) in Iran](image)

![Fig. 4: HIV prevalence in men who have sex with men (MSM) population of the selected studies, according to the study year.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Quality Assessment of Included Studiesa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reference</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirahmadizadeh et al (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kheirandish et al (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alavi et al (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moamen et al (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramezani et al (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/STI Surveillance Research Center 2010 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/STI Surveillance Research Center BSS 2014 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/STI Surveillance Research Center BSS 2013 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/STI Surveillance Research Center BSS 2017 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seyed Alineghi et al (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khademi et al (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eftekhar et al (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eftekhar et al (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amiri et al (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/STI Surveillance Research Center 2017 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moradi et al (31)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aBSS, Bio-Behavioral Surveillance Survey

* The quality assessment tool from Hoy et al. (15)
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is more pronounced in studies performed with similar methodologies, such as 2 studies on MSM in Tehran (14.8%-2.3%), BSS for PWID (12.4%-6.9%), and BSS for prisoners (2.6%-1.4%). In a systematic review, Musavi et al showed a constant decrease in HIV prevalence in Iranian blood donors who can be considered as a proxy of the general population (34). In another systematic review on HIV prevalence among PWID, a nonsignificant drop was observed from 19% to 8.8% between 2005 and 2010. In Tehran after 2007, the prevalence decreased from 18.5% to 12.3% (35). Studies performed in different groups of HIV key populations in Iran also have shown a decreasing trend of HIV in 2000s has been replaced with a stabilized pattern in the recent decade (36). Evidence from different studies suggest that the decline in HIV prevalence among prisoners occurred more quickly, perhaps due to multiple interventions, such as voluntary counseling and testing, triangular clinics, methadone maintenance therapy, condom distribution, and conjugal visit rooms in prisons (37). Some researchers suggest that the decline in all subgroups of HIV key populations is a reflection of decrease in HIV prevalence in PWID (38).

We believe that the evidence provided by the studies on MSM is not enough to conclude about the trend of HIV in MSM, and a concrete interpretation of their result is misleading. Although some of the studies listed above had similar methodologies, existing differences may have noticeable impact on the result. For instance, although both MSM studies in Tehran used RDS sampling for subject recruitment, the initial seeds in Tehran MSM study (2007) were PWID-MSM that led PWID-MSM to be a considerable portion of the total study participants, while the initial seeds in 2017 study were not PWID. It should be highlighted that the main HIV transmission routes in Iran that used to be shared injection, is eventually being replaced with unsafe sexual practices (13). Moreover, the HIV trend is toward disproportionate concentrated epidemic in MSM in comparison to other key populations both in the Middle East and in other parts of the world (39-41).

Another source of heterogeneity is the geographic distribution of the studies. The included studies consisted of 6 country level studies, 5 studies from Tehran, and only 5 studies from other provinces. Other studies have highlighted the differences in the burden of HIV in Iranian provinces, with higher burden for Kermanshah, Tehran, Fars, Hormozgan, and Lorestan (42). Lack of data from many of these provinces could underlie the programs based on national estimates and overall trends of the country.

It should be reminded that in Middle East, many MSM also have sex with women, which can increase the chance of HIV transmission from MSM to the general population (43). Considering the change in HIV transmission pattern in Iran, from shared injection to unsafe sex (13), the need for extensive preventive programs for reducing high-risk behaviors in the population is highlighted (37). Consequently, as with other key populations (38), despite the observed decrease HIV prevalence, appropriate preventive measures are of critical importance for the community health.

Although Iran is among the few countries in the region to have established mechanisms for HIV surveillance (eg, monitoring pregnant women, biobehavioral surveys of key populations), MSM and transgenders have generally been overlooked, resulting in the lack of valid data for planning necessary interventions (44).

The most notable finding of this review might be the shortage of HIV research among MSM population, an important key population in HIV prevention and control. The shortage may be the result of the legal condition in Iran and their stigmatization due to cultural factors, making MSM more hidden and inaccessible as well as a sense of avoidance to the subject of MSM-related behaviors on part of the researchers. From another viewpoint, the studies in this review have a very important and inviting lesson for the researchers in the field of HIV and high-risk behaviors. The lesson is that conducting researches among MSM in Iran is feasible. Despite the higher concerns of MSM on privacy and confidentiality, especially when biological testing is undertaken, these studies show that researchers’ presumptions that MSM do not cooperate in researches, fearing identification or discrimination might not be true. Providing a safe and secure environment for interview and testing, adhering to ethical considerations and confidentiality increase their cooperation.

We acknowledge that in our context, conducting research and providing services to MSM can be very challenging; however, these challenges are not unique to Iran and are structurally similar to those faced in other countries, both within and outside the Middle East. Successful policymaking and program implementation require unbiased evidence and accurate statistics about the MSM population, including the subpopulations, network characteristics, individuals’ behaviors, and the prevalence of various sexually transmitted diseases. Moreover, based on the feasibility of the observational studies in this population, we may infer those interventions might also be feasible. Similar subject recruitment or chain referral methods of some of the studies could also be used to access MSM for delivering services, disseminating information, and referrals to prevention, care, and harm reduction programs.

In this study, we tried to provide some insight on a very hidden and overlooked population in Iran. Meanwhile, our findings should be considered in the context of their limitations. The sparsity of studies directly evaluating the prevalence in MSM and limited geographical distribution of these studies are the main limitations of this study. Some studies had small sample sizes that might provide unstable estimates, although sensitivity analysis did not reveal remarkable differences based on these studies. Most of the included studies applied a convenience sampling method that reduces generalizability of the findings. Meanwhile, we believe to have some degrees of publication bias because of social norms and ethicolegal considerations. Most of the included studies had a moderate level of bias, mainly because of weakness in external validity. Overall, the population included in this study are not considered representative of the MSM population and all estimates should be considered with regard to this limitation.
Conclusion
MSM are a population of high importance in HIV prevention and control programs worldwide. Evidence from countries with successful HIV control programs show that despite relative success in other subpopulations, MSM might continue to experience high rates of infection. Despite the relatively low HIV prevalence in Iran, the higher risks in MSM population could impose a potential risk by transmitting the disease out of their community and hamper the results of various preventive strategies and their achievements in other subpopulations. As with other countries in the world, Iran needs an integrated program to target MSM, other key populations, and the general population to maintain the risk limited and keep the epidemics concentrated.
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