

Systematic Review and Meta Analysis Article <u>http://mjiri.iums.ac.ir</u> Medical Journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran (MJIRI)



Med J Islam Repub Iran. 2021(2 Sep);35.112. https://doi.org/10.47176/mjiri.35.112

Which criteria were used to describe patients with COVID-19? A systematic review and meta analysis of clinical, laboratory, and imaging features

Alireza Amanollahi¹, Sahar Sotoodeh Ghorbani¹, Hamed Basir Ghafouri², Sima Afrashteh^{3,4}, Seyed Saeed Hashemi Nazari⁵* ¹

Received: 13 Feb 2021 Published: 2 Sep 2021

Abstract

Background: The unknowingness of COVID-19 compared to other respiratory diseases and gaining an overview of its diagnostic criteria led to this study, which was designed to summarize the signs and symptoms along with the clinical tests that described these patients.

Methods: PubMed\MEDLINE, Web of Science, Core Collection, Scopus, and Google Scholar were systematically searched on September 27, 2020. After screening, we selected 56 articles based on clinical characteristics and laboratory and imaging findings in confirmed COVID-19 patients as eligibility criteria. To evaluate risk of bias, the Newcastle Ottawa scale, for publication bias, Egger's test, and for heterogeneity, I2 and tau test were used; and finally, random-effects models were used for pooled estimation.

Results: Pooled estimates for frequently clinical symptoms were as follows: fever (78% [95% CI, 74-82]), cough (60% [95% CI, 57-63]), and fatigue (31% [95% CI, 26-36]); and they were as follows for laboratory findings in lymphocyte (1.02 [95% CI, 0.92-1.12]), CRP (19.64 [95% CI, 13.96-25.32]), and platelet count (175.2 [95% CI, 165.2-185.2]); they were as follows for imaging findings in bilateral pneumonia (64% [95% CI, 56-72]), and ground glass opacity (60% [95% CI, 48-7]). Also, in the subgroup analysis, bilateral pneumonia with 18% and fatigue with 15%, had the highest difference in values between the groups.

Conclusion: According to Forest plots, the CI and dispersion among studies were smaller in laboratory findings than in symptom and imaging findings, which might indicate a high alignment in the laboratory findings among studies.

Keywords: COVID-19, Clinical Signs, Imaging and Laboratory Findings, Meta-Analysis

Conflicts of Interest: None declared

Funding: This study was financially supported by Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences.

*This work has been published under CC BY-NC-SA 1.0 license.

Copyright© Iran University of Medical Sciences

Cite this article as: Amanollahi A, Sotoodeh Ghorbani S, Basir Ghafouri H, Afrashteh S, Hashemi Nazari SS. Which criteria were used to describe patients with COVID-19? A systematic review and meta analysis of clinical, laboratory, and imaging features. *Med J Islam Repub Iran.* 2021 (2 Sep);35:112. https://doi.org/10.47176/mjiri.35.112

Introduction

Novel coronavirus (nCoV-2019, now known as SARS-CoV-2) are significant pathogens that infect humans and

Corresponding author: Dr Seyed Saeed Hashemi Nazari, saeedh_1999@sbmu.ac.ir

- ^{1.} Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health and Safety, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- ² Trauma and Injury Research Center, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- ³ Student Research Committee, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran
- ^{4.} Department of Public Health, School of Public Health, Bushehr University of Medical Sciences, Bushehr, Iran
- ⁵⁻ Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease Research Center, Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health and Safety, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

vertebrates. In humans, they work by affecting humans' hepatic, central nervous, gastrointestinal, and respiratory

†What is "already known" in this topic:

Researchers' excitement about publishing findings related to patients with coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19), along with the enthusiastic of journals for their publication, led to the rapidly publication of a number of articles in the early months of the COVID-19 pandemic by any design and in any form. This study pooled the results of the descriptive information of patients with this disease.

\rightarrow *What this article adds:*

Health policymakers, medical professionals, and health and people in the community need to know more precise information about the signs of the disease and the changes made by the virus on clinical indexes. systems (1). In just 2 months, the virus spread from Wuhan to all of China and 33 other countries (2). In humans, the coronavirus is found in a range of viruses that cause colds as well as severe acute respiratory illnesses, especially severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARAS,) and Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS), with a mortality rate of 10% and 37%, respectively (3, 4). The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention has named it the novel Corona Virus 2019 (2019-n COV) (5). Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) is highly contagious and spreads rapidly from person to person (6). The outbreak of COVID-19 was announced by the World Health Organization on March 11, 2020, a public health emergency of international concern (7). People infected with COVID-19 were mainly those with a history of mild to moderate respiratory syndromes (80.9%), the elders or people with diseases like cancer, diabetes, respiratory disease, and immune deficiency, and cardiovascular disease are more likely to develop severe (13.8 %) to critical (4.7%) form of the disease (8, 9). The evidence collected to date has revealed that the disease can be transmitted from person to person, both in hospital and family settings (10-14). The most common symptoms were fever, cough, and fatigue (15-17). Most patients present with abnormalities of chest computed tomography (CT) findings, such as groundglass opacity and patchy bilateral shadowing (16-18). Qian et al reported the most common symptoms were fever (71.43%), cough (60.44%), and fatigue (43.96%). While Liao et al reported the common symptoms at admission were dry cough (81.0%), fever (69.1%), and fatigue (19%) (19, 20). COVID-19 broadly spread throughout the world and, with 1,288,504 cases, 70,570 deaths, and 272,074 recovered, it was found to be highly prevalent in China, Italy, United States, Spain, Iran, Germany, and France until April 6, 2020 (21). Huang et al first reported 41 cases of novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia who were mainly exposed to the Huanan seafood wholesale market. fever, nonproductive cough, dyspnea, myalgia, fatigue, normal or decreased leukocyte counts, and radiographic evidence of pneumonia were among clinical manifestations observed in these cases. In its severe form, the patients were complaining of Organ dysfunction (eg, acute respiratory distress syndrome, shock, acute kidney injury, and acute cardiac injury), or they could die (22). The current study was done to systematically assess and estimate the clinical, laboratory, and imaging features related to COVID-19.

Methods

To describe the symptoms, and laboratory, and imaging findings of patients with COVID-19, we designed a descriptive systematic review and meta-analysis of the articles published with this subject. The results of the study were reported according to the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guideline (23).

Eligibility Criteria

Eligible criteria were diagnosis characteristics of COVID-19 reported in the literature since the outbreak of

the disease.

Because of the newness of the disease, the time limit was not considered and all retrieved studies were for year 2020. There was no restriction on the type of study design, and any observational or descriptive studies with clinical and diagnostic features on COVID-19 were included. Also, the trials that described the characteristics of the patients in the baseline tables were selected. To this aim we selected only the articles in English.

Exclusion criteria were as follows: studies with a sample size of <10 (case report) or special groups, such as children, death, specific groups or patients, et cetera; and review articles.

Information Sources and Search

Online search was done by 1 author (S.S.) for COVID-19 characteristic articles in PubMed\MEDLINE, Web of Science, Core Collection, Scopus, and Google Scholar; moreover, these reference lists were searched for selected articles. We limited studies in human studies and English language. The final time to search for articles was September 27, 2020.

The terms of search strategies were as follows: (clinical characteristics[tiab] OR clinical features [tiab] OR radiology findings[tiab] OR imaging findings [tiab] OR laboratory findings[tiab] OR laboratory test[tiab]) AND (Cov*[tiab] OR COVID*[tiab] OR COVID-19[tiab] OR novel coronavirus[tiab]).

Study Selection and Data Collection

After retrieving articles from Biomedical databases and eliminating duplicated articles, the screening and data collection process was conducted independently by 2 authors (A.A. and S.S.) based on inclusion criteria (title and abstract). Some disagreements were resolved by the team manager (S.H.).

Data extraction was performed similar to study selection, in which 2 authors independently extracted clinical signs and symptoms, laboratory, and imaging finding. This information was used to confirm COVID-19 patients reported in articles. No blinding was done for the reviewers in terms of journals title, articles, and authors.

Data Items

We extracted information on the studies' characteristics, population, clinical signs (fever, cough, headache, diarrhea, etc), laboratory (lymphocytes, C reactive protein (CRP), platelet count, neutrophils, white blood cells (WBC), etc), and imaging (ground-glass opacity, bilateral pneumonia, consolidation, etc). Those variables that were reported more in the studies were selected for metaanalysis; also, some of the laboratory findings were removed from the meta-analysis because of classified reporting (normal and abnormal) in a number of articles.

Risk of Bias of Individual Studies

Risk of bias was performed independently by 2 authors (A.A. and S.A.) for all studies. The Newcastle Ottawa scale was used to evaluate risk of bias in cross- sectional studies (Additional file). In case of disagreements, they

^{2 &}lt;u>http://mjiri.iums.ac.ir</u> *Med J Islam Repub Iran.* 2021 (2 Sep); 35:112.

Table 1. Characteristics of included	studies and quality of assessmen	t			
Study	Country	Age	Number of patients		Score of quality
			Male	Female	assessment
Barillari MR et al (24)			147	1.47	******
Cao C et al (25)	Chain	49.3 ± 14.5	74	83	*****
Cao J et al (26)	Chain	53 ± 8.66	53	49	****
Cao M et al (27)	Chain	50.1 ± 16.3	101	97	*****
Chang D et al (28)	Chain	37.1 ± 4.1	10	3	*****
Chen N et al (15)	Chain	55.5 ± 13.01	67	32	*****
Cheng Z et al (29)	Chain	50.36 ± 15.5	8	3	*****
De Vitro A et al (30)	Italy	72.5 ± 6.06	56	31	*****
Docherty AB et al (31)	UK	76.5 ± 13.3	7715	5097	*****
Escalera-Antezana JP et al (32)	Bolivia	36.5 ± 5.17	6	6	****
Fan Z et al (33)	Chain	50 ± 8.10	73	75	*****
Feng Y et al (34)	Chain	52.5 ± 7	271	205	*****
Guan WJ et al (17)	Chain	46.75 ± 6.63	640	459	*****
Halvatsiotis P et al (35)	Greece	65 ± 4.9	72	18	*****
Hu Z et al (36)	Chain	33.73 ± 8.65	8	16	*****
Huang C et al (22)	Chain	49.24 ± 4.91	30	11	*****
Huang Y et al (37)	Chain	56.24 ± 17.14	14	20	*****
Jin X et al (38)	Chain	45.22 ± 14.23	331	320	*****
Kui L et al (39)	Chain	55.01 ± 19.83	61	76	*****
Kwok KO et al (40)	Hong Kong	59.8 ± 13.4	9	5	****
Lei Y et al (41)	Qinghai-Tibetan plateau	39.3 ± 18.3	39	28	****
Li J et al (42)	Chain	45 ± 12.08	9	8	*****
Li X et al (43)	Chain	75.3 ± 13	10	15	*****
Li Y et al (44)	Chain	40.8 ± 17.1	125	1:27	*****
Li YK et al (45)	Chain	48.46 ± 8.6	13	12	*****
Lian J et al (46)	Chain	45.48 ± 9.32	406	381	*****
Liao J et al (20)	Chain	10-35 range	24	22	****
Liu H et al (47)	Chain	35.57 ± 10.41	5	50	*****
Liu K et al (48)	Chain	53.12 ± 10.11	31	24	*****
Liu X et al (49)	Chain	42.5 ± 7	63	41	******
Liu Y et al (50)	Chain	54.7 ± 6.63	59	50	*****
Pan L et al (51)	Chain	52.9 ± 16	107	97	*****
Qian GQ et al (19)	Chain	48.3 ± 6.11	37	54	*****
Shahriarirad R et al (52)	Iran	53.75 ± 16.58	71	42	*****
Shi H et al (53)	Chain	49.5 ± 11	42	39	*****
Tian S et al (54)	Chain	47.5 ± 26.84	127	135	*****
Wan S et al (55)	Chain	46.2 ± 5.5	72	63	*****
Wang D et al (16)	Chain	55.5 ± 7.5	75	63	*****
Wang L et al (56)	Chain	40.5 ± 7.6	10	8	*****
Wang R et al (57)	Chain	38.76 ± 13.8	71	54	*****
Wang Z et al (58)	Chain	45.25 ± 7.8	32	37	*****
Wu J et al (59)	Chain	49.10 ± 15.42	39	41	*****
Wu J et al (60)	Chain	44 ± 11	42	38	*****
Xu T et al (61)	Chain	43.44 ± 11.85	25	26	*****
Xu X et al (62)	Chain	51.04 ± 19.64	39	51	*****
Xu XW et al (18)	Chain	41.5 ± 5.8	35	27	*****
Xu YH et al (63)	Chain	43.9 ± 16.8	29	21	****
Yang W et al (64)	Chain	45.11 ± 13.35	81	68	*****
Zhang G et al (65)	Chain	53.9 ± 8	108	113	*****
Zhang JJ et al (66)	Chain	56.5 ± 17.9	71	69	*****
Zhang X et al (67)	Chain	45.4 ± 14.1	328	317	*****
Zhao D et al (68)	Chain	44.75 ± 8.35	11	8	*****
Zhao X et al (69)	Chain	44 ± 11.7	43	37	*****
Zheng X et al (70)	Chain	50.94 ± 15.9	23	29	*****
Zhou F et al (71)	Chain	56.3 ± 6.07	119	72	****
Zhu W et al (72)	Chain	40 ± 7.5	56	65	*****

were resolved by the team manager (S.H,). Risk of bias score is shown in Table 1.

Synthesis of Results

CI of overall estimates in this article for clinical signs, laboratory finding, and imaging was 95%. The Egger test was used to assess for publication bias with p<0.05. To estimate heterogeneity, I^2 and τ^2 testes were used. Also, meta-regression was used to find the actual source of heterogeneity. Heterogeneity shows whether the overall value

is real, and it also shows the eligibility of combining results. Existence of several subgroups in a study and the inability to combine the results are 2 reasons of heterogeneity. In this study, we used 2 indicators: I^2 (percentage of variability in the effect sizes, which is not caused by sampling error) and τ^2 (between-study variance in metaanalysis) for measure heterogeneity. Finally, the pooled estimate in meta-analyses was performed with randomeffects with Stata version 14 (Stata Corop) and with comment metan (weighted mean for continuous variable) and metaprop (for the binomial variable), by cconsidering the sample size as the weight of each study.

Additional Analyses

According to the findings of Table 3, there was no homogeneity in some items. Using meta-regression and applying the age variable in the model, all variances among studies were removed, indicating that heterogeneity was due to differences in the mean age of the studies. By examining the mean and median age of studies, age 49 (mean \leq 49, mean age >49) was considered as the cutoff point as a subgroup in the subgroup analysis.

Results

Study Selection and Characteristics

Finally, after deleting duplicate articles, we screened articles based on the title and full-text in accordance with objectives of the study. A total of 56 articles entered the meta-analysis (Fig. 1) that mentioned the minimal signs and symptoms of patients with COVID-19. Out of 56 articles, 41 had laboratory findings and 38 had imaging findings. The demographic information of these articles was shown along with the risk of bias score in Table 1. Except for 8 articles, others were from China's population. Also, all studies were published before September 27, 2020. The frequency of the items examined in patients with COVID-19 in the reviewed articles is shown in Table 2. Based on articles' reporting fever (98.21%), cough (91.1%), diarrhea (78.58%), fatigue (64.28%,), headache (62.5%), lymphocyte (95.12%), CRP (78.05%), platelet count, and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) (75.61%), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) (73.17%), and bilateral pneumonia (76.3%), ground-glass opacity (GGO) (60.5%), unilateral pneumonia, and consolidation (31.6%) had the highest reporting for describing patients in articles (Table 2).

Synthesis of Results and Risk of Bias

Evaluation of Clinical Factors: In total, out of 56 articles, 55 examined the prevalence of fever in patients, the pool estimate for fever was 78% (95% CI, 74-82), with heterogeneity test I²=97.89% and the egger publication bias test (p=0.146). This amount for cough was 60% (95% CI, 57-63), with heterogeneity test I²=91.53% and the egger publication bias test (p<0.001), diarrhea 9% (95% CI, 7–11), with heterogeneity test I²=95.29% and the egger publication bias test (p=0.98), for fatigue 31% (95% CI, 26–36), with heterogeneity test I²=97.64% and the egger publication bias test (p=0.48), and headache 12% (95% CI, 10–15), with heterogeneity test I² = 90.31% and the egger publication bias test (p≤0.001) (Table 3, Fig. 2).

Evaluation of Laboratory Factors: The mean pooled estimate for lymphocyte was 1.13 (95% CI, 0.95-1.31), with heterogeneity test I²=0.0% and the egger test for publication bias (p=0.26; CRP, 18.73; 95% CI, 12.63-24.84), I²=85.2% and the egger test (p=0.40); platelet count was 180.85 (95% CI, 161.20-200.50; I²=0.0%; egger test [p=17]); ALT was 26.69 (95% CI, 19.62- 33.77; I²=0.0%, egger test [p=0.6]); AST was 28.25 (95% CI, 22.77-33.74; I²=30.4%; egger test [p=0.15]); creatinine was



Fig. 1. PRISMA flow diagram of the study selection

Table 2. Signs, laboratory and imaging findings Item	Eroquonay (9/)
	Frequency (%)
Clinical Signs (56) Fever	55 (08 21)
Cough	55 (98.21) 51 (91.1)
Diarrhea	
	44 (78.58)
Fatigue	36 (64.28)
Headache	35 (62.5)
Myalgia or fatigue	22 (39.28)
Sore throat	21 (37.5)
Dyspnea	20 (35.71)
Sputum	18 (32.14)
Vomiting	18 (32.14)
Muscle ache	16 (28.57)
Nausea	16 (28.57)
Shortness of breath	15 (26.78)
Expectoration	14 (25)
Dizziness	13 (23.21)
Chest pain	12 (21.42)
Hemoptysis	9 (16.07)
Anorexia	8 (14.28)
Chest tightness	8 (14.28)
Chill	4 (7.14)
Rash	4 (7.14)
Laboratory findings (41)	
Lymphocyte	39 (95.12)
C reactive protein	32 (78.05)
Platelet count	31 (75.61)
Alanine aminotransferase	31 (75.61)
Aspartate aminotransferase	30 (73.17)
Creatinine	28 (68.3)
Neutrophils	28 (68.3)
White Blood Cell	28 (68.3)
Lactate dehydrogenase	27 (65.85)
Hemoglobin	24 (58.84)
Creatine kinase	23 (56.1)
Total bilirubin	22 (53.66)
Procalcitonin	20 (48.78)
D dimer level	19 (46.34)
Albumin	19 (46.34)
Prothrombin time	16 (39.02)
Blood urea nitrogen	15 (36.58)
Activated partial thromboplastin time	15 (36.58)
Monocytes	12 (29.26)
Leucocytes	12 (29.26)
Eosinophils	11 (26.83)
Imaging findings (38)	()
Bilateral pneumonia	29 (76.31)
Ground-glass opacity	23 (60.52)
Unilateral pneumonia	12 (31.58)
Consolidation	12 (31.58)
Left lower lobe	5 (13.16)
Right lower lobe	4 (10.52)
Right middle lobe	4 (10.52) 4 (10.52)
Left upper lobe	4 (10.52) 4 (10.52)
Right upper lobe	4 (10.52) 4 (10.52)
Pleural effusions	3 (7.89)
Local patchy shadowing viral pneumonia	3 (7.89)

68.89 (95% CI, 62.28-75.50; $I^2 = 0.0\%$; egger test [p=0.011]); neutrophils was 3.52 (95% CI, 2.86-4.19; I^2 =0.0%; egger test [p=0.001]); WBC was 5.72 (95% CI, 4.92-6.52; $I^2 = 2.9\%$; egger test [p=0.06) (Table 3, Fig. 3).

Imaging Findings: Results showed a pooled prevalence of bilateral pneumonia of 64% (95% CI, 56-72; $I^2=99.46\%$; egger publication test [p=0.24]); it was 60% for ground glass opacity (95% CI, 48-72; $I^2=99.66\%$; egger test [p=0.74]); it was 24% for unilateral pneumonia (95% CI, 17-31; $I^2=93.47\%$; egger test [p=0.42]); and for consolidation, it was 31% (95% CI, 18-46; $I^2=94.67\%$;

egger test [p=0.18]) (Table 3, Fig. 4).

Additional Analyses: According to the results (Table 3), some variables had high values of homogeneity. Hence, all the observed homogeneity was eliminated by applying age in the meta-regression model. Finally, by subgroup analyses, the pooled estimation of each subgroup was expressed, along with the overall pooled estimation. The highest difference was between subgroups: in bilateral pneumonia with 18%, fatigue 15%, and unilateral pneumonia 13%, and the mean difference was 12.73 in CRP.

5

Which criteria were used to describe patients with COVID-19?

Variable	Number of	Pooled estimation (95 % CI)	Heterogeneity		Publication bias value
	studies		test		
			I ² (%)	T^2	
Fever	55	0.78 (0.74- 0.82)	97.89	0.02	0.146
Mean age>49		0.81 (0.75- 0.85)			
Mean age <=49		0.76 (0.69- 0.82)	0.0		
Cough	51	0.60 (0.57- 0.63)	91.53	0.01	< 0.001
Mean age>49		0.60 (0.55- 0.65)			
Mean age <=49		0.60 (0.55- 0.64)	0.0		
Diarrhea	44	0.09 (0.07-0.11)	95.29	0.01	0.98
Mean age>49		0.08 (0.06-0.12)			
Mean age <=49		0.10 (0.06- 0.14)	0.0		
Fatigue	36	0.31 (0.26-0.36)	97.64	0.02	0.48
Mean age>49		0.40 (0.30- 0.50)			
Mean age <=49		0.25 (0.20-0.31)	0.0		
Headache	35	0.12 (0.10-0.15)	90.31	0.00	< 0.001
Mean age>49		0.11 (0.07-0.15)			
Mean age <=49		0.13 (0.10-0.17)	0.0		
Lymphocyte	39	1.13 (0.95-1.31)	0.0	0.00	0.26
CRP	32	18.73 (12.63-24.84)	85.2	150.50	0.4
Mean age>49		26.58 (16.38- 36.79)			
Mean age <=49		13.85 (6.26-21.45)	0.0		
Platelet count	31	180.85 (161.20- 200.50)	0.0	0.00	0.17
ALT	31	26.69 (19.62-33.77)	0.0	0.00	0.06
AST	30	28.25 (22.77-33.74)	30.4	11.56	0.15
Creatinine	28	68.89 (62.28-75.50)	0.0	0.00	0.01
Neutrophils	28	3.52 (2.86-4.19)	0.0	0.00	0.001
WBC	28	5.72 (4.92- 6.52)	2.9	0.03	0.06
Bilateral Pneumonia	29	0.64 (0.56- 0.72)	99.46	0.02	0.24
Mean age>49	_>	0.74 (0.64- 0.83)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0.02	0.21
Mean age <=49		0.55 (0.47- 0.62)	0.0		
GGO	23	0.60 (0.48- 0.72)	99.66	0.12	0.74
Mean age>49	20	0.63 (0.44-0.81)	//.00	0.12	0.71
Mean age <=49		0.57 (0.42- 0.71)	0.0		
Unilateral Pneumonia	12	0.24(0.17-0.31)	93.47	0.01	0.42
Mean age>49	12	0.31 (0.07- 0.62)	75.47	0.01	0.72
Mean age <=49		0.21 (0.18- 0.23)	0.0		
Consolidation	12	0.31 (0.18- 0.46)	94.67	0.03	0.18
Mean age>49	12	0.32 (0.19- 0.45)	JT.07	0.05	0.10
Mean age <=49		0.31 (0.10- 0.57)	0.0		

* CRP, C creative protein; ALT, Alanine aminotransferase; AST, Aspartate aminotransferase; WBC, white blood cells; GGO Ground Glass Opacity, pooled estimation for (lymphocyte, CRP, platelet count, ALT, AST, creatinine, neutrophils and WBC) is weighted mean and prevalence for other variables

Discussion

Efforts to identify the disease and inform the public about the speed of the COVID-19 spread led to the publication of articles with a small number of patients and weak methodological and clinical structure, which is the nature of any new phenomenon. Increasing accurate information over time provides a template and enough vision to identify the disease. To report these articles, 56 studies with 25,242 patients were reviewed. The first step in treating any disease is to describe its symptoms and characteristics, death rate, transmission power, pathogenicity, incubation period, its durability in environment, and reproductive number (73,74). Studies have been conducted to recognize the disease and identify its differences and similarities with other acute respiratory diseases (75-77).

Having a high age difference and being a man was reported in most studies. There was nearly 20 years of age difference in dead people versus survival of patients with this disease (26). The youngest person who died from COVID-19 was 55 years old (43), and 61.2% of patients were ≥ 65 years old (78). Men got the disease more than women, the higher number of men in both the ICU and the

non-ICU wards (22) indicates that 52.9% of women survived and 23.5% died (26). With respect to sex differences, the higher percentage of the elderly group were men, but the proportion was equal in the young and middle-aged groups (48).

Severe/ critical clinical status was seen more in people over 60 years compared to those younger than 60 (46). Also, comorbidity was 44% in those with sever/ critical status, but comorbidity was 6.9% in the normal group (79). Among the patients with comorbid diseases, there was more cases of high blood pressure in those who were hospitalized and those who died compared with diabetes (26, 78). Clinical signs and symptoms in patients receiving ICU services were more likely than other patients (22). Of the clinical symptoms, only nausea and cough were significantly different in those patients with severe and mild symptoms (66).

In people who died from COVID-19, albumin, lymphocytes, red blood cells, hemoglobin less than normal, CRP, procalcitonin time, neutrophils, and WBC were higher than normal (43). On average, leucocytes, neutrophils, Ddimer level, ALT, AST, and CRP were higher in patients with severe clinical conditions than in patients with mild conditions (19). There was also a difference in patients hospitalized in ICU compared to non-ICU wards (22). Differences between studies were observed in x-ray findings, as the GGO was higher in younger people than in the elderly, bilateral pneumonia and consolidation were more common in the elderly (20). However, these findings differed in different age groups in the study of Lian J et al (46). The CT score in severe/ critical patients was higher than the normal range (p<0.001). Also, consolidation was seen in 88% of patients with severe/ critical condition, which was 53.4% compared to the normal group (p=0.003) In addition, lymph node enlargement, pleural effusion, and pericardial effusion were not seen in any of the patients in the normal condition, however, it was observed in patients with severe/ critical condition (79).







http://mjiri.iums.ac.ir Med J Islam Repub Iran. 2021 (2 Sep); 35.112.

Which criteria were used to describe patients with COVID-19?



Fig. 4. Meta-analysis of imaging findings. a. bilateral pneumonia, b. GGO, c. unilateral pneumonia, d. consolidation

This study has some limitations. First, mentioning the severity of the disease in some articles can lead to differ-

ent results. Because the severity of COVID-19 is not stated in most articles, and this is one of the limitations of our

8 <u>http://mjiri.iums.ac.ir</u> Mad I Ialam Papuh Inan

Med J Islam Repub Iran. 2021 (2 Sep); 35:112.

study, using the results of this study to evaluate the clinical condition of this disease may be confusing for patients with mild and critical clinical conditions.

Another limitation of this study is that most of the articles evaluated Chinses patients in China and by publishing the results of other countries and other races, we can obtain a general overview of this disease in the world.

Conclusion

Because of the detection ability of laboratory kits, and also the agreement in using laboratory tests for COVID-19 compared to other methods, less extensive scattering between studies with wide CIs was observed in laboratory findings than in clinical symptoms and imaging findings. Thus, patients' clinical signs can be used instead of patients' characteristics.

Acknowledgment

The researcher would like to thank the Epidemiology Department of Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, for their consultation.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- Chen Y, Liu Q, Guo D. Emerging coronaviruses: Genome structure, replication, and pathogenesis. J Med Virol. 2020;92(4):418-423.
- National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China. 2020 Apr 5 [cited 2020 Apr 21]; Available from: http://www.nhc.gov.cn.
- World Health Organization website. Summary of probable SARS cases with onset of illness from 1 November 2002 to 31 July 2003 (based on data as of December 31, 2003). 2015 Jul 24 [cited Sep 15]; Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/summaryof-probable-sars-cases-with-onset-of-illness-from-1-november-2002to-31-july-2003.
- 4.World Health Organization website. Middle East respiratory coronavirus syndrome (MERS-COV). 2019 Janu 19 [cited Sep 14]; Available from: www.who.int/emergencies/mers-cov/en/.
- Paules CI, Marston HD, Fauci AS. Coronavirus infections—more than just the common cold. JAMA. 2020;323(8):707-8.
- Pongpirul WA, Pongpirul K, Ratnarathon AC, Prasithsirikul W. Journey of a Thai taxi driver and novel coronavirus. New Eng J Med. 2020;382(11):1067-8.
- 7.Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak. 2020 Dec 11 [cited 2020 Sep 13].
 Available from: https://www.who.int/westernpacific/emergencies/covid-19.
- Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report 66. 2020 Mar 26 [cited 2020 Sep 17]. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situationreports/20200326-sitrep-66-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=9e5b8b48 2.
- 9.Report of the WHO-China Joint Mission on Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). 2020 Feb 24 [cited 2020 Sep 16]. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/who-chinajoint-mission-on-covid-19-final-report.pdf.
- Chan JF, Yuan S, Kok KH, To KK, Chu H, Yang J, et al. A familial cluster of pneumonia associated with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating person-to-person transmission: a study of a family cluster. Lancet. 2020;395(10223):514-23.
- 11. Phan LT, Nguyen TV, Luong QC, Nguyen TV, Nguyen HT, Le HQ, et al. Importation and human-to-human transmission of a novel coronavirus in Vietnam. N Eng J Med. 2020;382(9):872-4.
- 12.Rothe C, Schunk M, Sothmann P, Bretzel G, Froeschl G, Wallrauch C, et al. Transmission of 2019-nCoV infection from an asymptomatic contact in Germany. N Eng J Med. 2020;382(10):970-1.

- 13.Wu JT, Leung K, Leung GM. Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic and international spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: a modelling study. Lancet. 2020;395(10225):689-97.
- 14.Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y, et al. Early transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China, of novel coronavirus–infected pneumonia. N Eng J Med. 2020;382:1199-1207.
- Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Qu J, Gong F, Han Y et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. Lancet. 2020;395(10223):507-13.
- Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus– infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA. 2020;323(11):1061-9.
- Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, Liang WH, Ou CQ, He JX, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. N Eng J Med. 2020;382(18):1708-20.
- Xu XW, Wu XX, Jiang XG, Xu KJ, Ying LJ, Ma CL, et al. Clinical findings in a group of patients infected with the 2019 novel coronavirus (SARS-Cov-2) outside of Wuhan, China: retrospective case series. BMJ. 2020;368:m606.
- Qian GQ, Yang NB, Ding F, Ma AH, Wang ZY, Shen YF, et al. Epidemiologic and clinical characteristics of 91 hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in Zhejiang, China: A retrospective, multi-centre case series. QJM. 2020;113(17):474-81.
- Liao J, Fan S, Chen J, Wu J, Xu S, Guo Y, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of COVID-19 in adolescents and young adults. Innovation. 2020;1(1):100001.
- 21. Reported cases and deaths by country, territory, or conveyance. 2020 Apr 10 [cited 2020 Apr 11]; Available from: https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/#countries.
- Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet. 2020;395(10223):497-506.
- Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart LA. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev. 2015;4(1).
- 24. Barillari MR, Bastiani L, Lechien JR, Mannelli G, Molteni G, Cantarella G, et al. A structural equation model to examine the clinical features of mild-to-moderate COVID-19: A multicenter Italian study. J Med Virol. 2020;1-12.
- Cao C, Chen M, He L, Xie J, Chen X. Clinical features and outcomes of COVID-19 patients with gastrointestinal symptoms. Crit Care. 2020;24(1):1-3.
- Cao J, Tu WJ, Cheng W, Yu L, Liu YK, Hu X, et al. Clinical features and short-term outcomes of 102 patients with corona virus disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;71(15)748-55.
- Cao M, Zhang D, Wang Y, Lu Y, Zhu X, Li Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) in Shanghai, China. MedRxiv [Internet]. 2020; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.04.20030395.
- Chang D, Lin M, Wei L, Xie L, Zhu G, Cruz CS, et al. Epidemiologic and clinical characteristics of novel coronavirus infections involving 13 patients outside Wuhan, China. JAMA. 2020;323(11):1092-3.
- 29. Cheng Z, Lu Y, Cao Q, Qin L, Pan Z, Yan F, et al. Clinical features and chest CT manifestations of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in a single-center study in Shanghai, China. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2020;215(1):121-6.
- 30. De Vito A, Geremia N, Fiore V, Princic E, Babudieri S, Madeddu G. Clinical features, laboratory findings and predictors of death in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in Sardinia, Italy. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2020;24(14):7861-68.
- Docherty AB, Harrison EM, Green CA, Hardwick HE, Pius R, Norman L, et al. Features of 16,749 hospitalised UK patients with COVID-19 using the ISARIC WHO Clinical Characterisation Protocol. medRxiv [Internet]. 2020; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.23.20076042
- 32. Escalera-Antezana JP, Lizon-Ferrufino NF, Maldonado-Alanoca A, Alarcón-De-la-Vega G, Alvarado-Arnez LE, Balderrama-Saavedra MA, et al. Clinical features of cases and a cluster of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Bolivia imported from Italy and Spain. Travel Med Infect Dis. 2020;35:101653.
- 33. Fan Z, Chen L, Li J, Cheng X, Yang J, Tian C, et al. Clinical features of COVID-19-related liver damage. J Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.

http://mjiri.iums.ac.ir

Med J Islam Repub Iran. 2021 (2 Sep); 35.112.

DOI: 10.47176/mjiri.35.112

2020;18(7):1561-66.

- 34. Feng Y, Ling Y, Bai T, Xie Y, Huang J, Li J, et al. COVID-19 with different severities: a multicenter study of clinical features. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2020;201(11):1380-8.
- 35. Halvatsiotis P, Kotanidou A, Tzannis K, Jahaj E, Magira E, Theodorakopoulou M, et al. Demographic and clinical features of critically ill patients with COVID-19 in Greece: The burden of diabetes and obesity. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2020;166:108331.
- 36. Hu Z, Song C, Xu C, Jin G, Chen Y, Xu X, et al. Clinical characteristics of 24 asymptomatic infections with COVID-19 screened among close contacts in Nanjing, China. Sci China Life Sci. 2020;63(5):706-11.
- 37. Huang Y, Tu M, Wang S, Chen S, Zhou W, Chen D, et al. Clinical characteristics of laboratory confirmed positive cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection in Wuhan, China: A retrospective single center analysis. Travel Med Infect Dis. 2020;36:101606.
- Jin X, Lian JS, Hu JH, Gao J, Zheng L, Zhang YM, et al. Epidemiological, clinical and virological characteristics of 74 cases of coronavirus-infected disease 2019 (COVID-19) with gastrointestinal symptoms. Gut. 2020;69(6):1002-9.
- 39. Kui L, Fang YY, Deng Y, Liu W, Wang MF, Ma JP, et al. Clinical characteristics of novel coronavirus cases in tertiary hospitals in Hubei Province. Chin Med J. 2020;133(9):1025-31.
- 40. Kwok KO, Wong V, Wei VW, Wong SY, Tang JW. Novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) cases in Hong Kong and implications for further spread. J Infect 2020;80(6):671-93.
- 41. Lei Y, Huang X, SiLang B, Lan Y, Lu J, Zeng F. Clinical features of imported cases of coronavirus disease 2019 in Tibetan patients in the Plateau area. MedRxiv [Internet]. 2020; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.20033126
- 42. Li J, Li S, Cai Y, Liu Q, Li X, Zeng Z, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 17 hospitalized patients with 2019 Novel Coronavirus infections outside Wuhan, China. medRxiv [Internet]. 2020; Available from; doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.11.20022053.
- 43. Li X, Wang L, Yan S, Yang F, Xiang L, Zhu J, et al. Clinical characteristics of 25 death cases with COVID-19: a retrospective review of medical records in a single medical center, Wuhan, China. Int J Infect Dis. 2020;94:128-32.
- 44. Li Y, Shi J, Xia J, Duan J, Chen L, Yu X, et al. Asymptomatic and symptomatic patients with non-severe coronavirus disease (COVID-19) have similar clinical features and virological courses: a retrospective single center study. Front Microbiol. 2020;11:1570.
- 45. Li YK, Peng S, Li LQ, Wang Q, Ping W, Zhang N, et al. Clinical and transmission characteristics of Covid-19—a retrospective study of 25 cases from a single thoracic surgery department. Curr Med Sci. 2020;40(2):295-300.
- 46. Lian J, Jin X, Hao S, Cai H, Zhang S, Zheng L, et al. Analysis of epidemiological and clinical features in older patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outside Wuhan. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;71(15):740-7.
- 47. Liu H, Liu F, Li J, Zhang T, Wang D, Lan W. Clinical and CT imaging features of the COVID-19 pneumonia: Focus on pregnant women and children. J Infect. 2020;80(5):7-13.
- Liu K, Chen Y, Lin R, Han K. Clinical features of COVID-19 in elderly patients: A comparison with young and middle-aged patients. J. Infect. 2020;80(6):14-8.
- 49. Liu X, Yue X, Liu F, Wei L, Chu Y, Bao H, et al. Analysis of clinical features and early warning signs in patients with severe COVID-19: A retrospective cohort study. PloS One. 2020;15(6):e0235459.
- Liu Y, Sun W, Li J, Chen L, Wang Y, Zhang L, et al. Clinical features and progression of acute respiratory distress syndrome in coronavirus disease 2019. MedRxiv [Internet]. 2020; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.17.20024166.
- 51. Pan L, Mu M, Yang P, Sun Y, Wang R, Yan J, et al. Clinical characteristics of COVID-19 patients with digestive symptoms in Hubei, China: a descriptive, cross-sectional, multicenter study. Am J Gastroenterol. 2020;115:766-73.
- 52. Shahriarirad R, Khodamoradi Z, Erfani A, Hosseinpour H, Ranjbar K, Emami Y, et al. Epidemiological and clinical features of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) in the South of Iran. BMC Infect Dis. 2020;20(427):1-12.
- 53. Shi H, Han X, Jiang N, Cao Y, Alwalid O, Gu J, et al. Radiological findings from 81 patients with COVID-19 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020;20(4):425-34.
- 54. Tian S, Hu N, Lou J, Chen K, Kang X, Xiang Z, et al. Characteristics

10 <u>http://mjiri.iums.ac.ir</u>

Med J Islam Repub Iran. 2021 (2 Sep); 35:112.

of COVID-19 infection in Beijing. J Infect. 2020;80(4):401-6

- Wan S, Xiang Y, Fang W, Zheng Y, Li B, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features and treatment of COVID-19 patients in northeast Chongqing. J Med Virol. 2020;92:797-806.
- 56. Wang L, Gao YH, Zhang GJ. The clinical dynamics of 18 cases of COVID-19 outside of Wuhan, China. Eur Respir J. 2020;55:2000398.
- 57. Wang R, Pan M, Zhang X, Han M, Fan X, Zhao F, et al. Epidemiological and clinical features of 125 Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19 in Fuyang, Anhui, China. Int J Infect Dis. 2020;95:421-8.
- Wang Z, Yang B, Li Q, Wen L, Zhang R. Clinical features of 69 cases with coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;71(15):769-77.
- 59. Wu J, Liu J, Zhao X, Liu C, Wang W, Wang D, et al. Clinical characteristics of imported cases of COVID-19 in Jiangsu province: a multicenter descriptive study. Clin Infect Dis. [Internet]. 2020; Available from: https://covid-19.conacyt.mx/jspui/handle/1000/829
- 60. Wu J, Wu X, Zeng W, Guo D, Fang Z, Chen L, et al. Chest CT findings in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 and its relationship with clinical features. Invest Radiol. 2020;55(5):257-61.
- Xu T, Chen C, Zhu Z, Cui M, Chen C, Dai H, et al. Clinical features and dynamics of viral load in imported and non-imported patients with COVID-19. Int J Infect Dis. 2020;94:68-71.
- Xu X, Yu C, Qu J, Zhang L, Jiang S, Huang D, et al. Imaging and clinical features of patients with 2019 novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2020;47:1275-80.
- 63. Xu YH, Dong JH, An WM, Lv XY, Yin XP, Zhang JZ, et al. Clinical and computed tomographic imaging features of Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia caused by SARS-CoV-2. J Infect. 2020;80(4):394-400.
- 64. Yang W, Cao Q, Qin L, Wang X, Cheng Z, Pan A, et al. Clinical characteristics and imaging manifestations of the 2019 novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19): A multi-center study in Wenzhou city, Zhejiang, China. J Infect. 2020;80(4):388-93.
- 65. Zhang G, Hu C, Luo L, Fang F, Chen Y, Li J, et al. Clinical features and short-term outcomes of 221 patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. J Clin Virol. 2020;127:104364.
- 66. Zhang JJ, Dong X, Cao YY, Yuan YD, Yang YB, Yan YQ, et al. Clinical characteristics of 140 patients infected by SARS-CoV-2 in Wuhan, China. Allergy. 2020;75:1730-41.
- 67. Zhang X, Cai H, Hu J, Lian J, Gu J, Zhang S, et al. Epidemiological, clinical characteristics of cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection with abnormal imaging findings. Int J Infect Dis. 2020;94:81-7.
- 68. Zhao D, Yao F, Wang L, Zheng L, Gao Y, Ye J, et al. A comparative study on the clinical features of COVID-19 pneumonia to other pneumonias. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;71(15):756-61.
- 69. Zhao X, Liu B, Yu Y, Wang X, Du Y, Gu J, et al. The characteristics and clinical value of chest CT images of novel coronavirus pneumonia. Clin Radiol. 2020;75(5):335-40.
- Zheng X, Chen J, Deng L, Fang Z, Chen G, Ye D, et al. Clinical Features and Risk Factors for the Severity of Inpatients with COVID-19: A Retrospective Cohort Study. SSRN[Internet]. 2020; Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3562460.
- 71. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet. 2020;395(10229):1054-62.
- 72. Zhu W, Xie K, Lu H, Xu L, Zhou S, Fang S. Initial clinical features of suspected coronavirus disease 2019 in two emergency departments outside of Hubei, China. J Med Virol. 2020;92:1525-32.
- 73. Rodriguez-Morales AJ, Cardona-Ospina JA, Gutiérrez-Ocampo E, Villamizar-Peña R, Holguin-Rivera Y, Escalera-Antezana JP, et al. Clinical, laboratory and imaging features of COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Travel Med Infect Dis. 2020;14:101623.
- Lipsitch M, Swerdlow DL, Finelli L. Defining the epidemiology of Covid-19—studies needed. N Eng J Med. 2020;382(13):1194-6.
- 75. Peeri NC, Shrestha N, Rahman MS, Zaki R, Tan Z, Bibi S, et al. The SARS, MERS and novel coronavirus (COVID-19) epidemics, the newest and biggest global health threats: what lessons have we learned? Int J Epidemiol. 2020;49(3):717-26.
- Prompetchara E, Ketloy C, Palaga T. Immune responses in COVID-19 and potential vaccines: Lessons learned from SARS and MERS epidemic. Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol. 2020;38(1):1-9.
- Liu Y, Gayle AA, Wilder-Smith A, Rocklöv J. The reproductive number of COVID-19 is higher compared to SARS coronavirus. J Travel Med. 2020;27(2):1-4.
- Du Y, Tu L, Zhu P, Mu M, Wang R, Yang P, et al. Clinical Features of 85 Fatal Cases of COVID-19 from Wuhan: A Retrospective Obser-

Downloaded from mjiri.iums.ac.ir on 2025-07-16

vational Study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2020;201(11):1372-9. 79. Li K, Wu J, Wu F, Guo D, Chen L, Fang Z, et al. The clinical and chest CT features associated with severe and critical COVID-19 pneumonia. Invest Radiol. 2020;55(6):327-31.

11

[Downloaded from mjiri.iums.ac.ir on 2025-07-16]