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ABSTRACT

Cystatin C is a 13 KD basic protein that is a member of the cystatin superfamily of cysteine protease inhibitors. The cystatin C gene seems to be a housekeeping gene, which is compatible with a stable production rate of cystatin C by most cells. This protein is freely filtered through the glomerulus and almost completely reabsorbed and catabolized by proximal tubular cells.

Because of these characteristics cystatin C is assumed to be a better marker of glomerular filtration rate than other markers.

115 new cases of renal disease aged between 14 and 88 years and 121 healthy subjects, aged between 11 and 78 years were studied.

In all of the subjects serum cystatin C and creatinine were determined and creatinine clearance was determined only in patients. Cystatin C was determined by a particle-enhanced turbidimetric assay and creatinine was measured by Jaffe’s method.

In addition, to assess the diagnostic efficiency of serum cystatin C in comparison to that of serum creatinine and creatinine clearance in predicting changes in GFR, we performed Tc99m - DTPA clearance on 53 subjects including controls and patients.

A linear relationship was found between Tc99m - DTPA clearance and 1/serum cystatin C (r= 0.712, p-value<0.001), 1/serum creatinine (r= 0.709, p-value< 0.001) and creatinine clearance (r= 0.777, p-value< 0.001).

Diagnostic accuracy in the identification of reduced GFR measured as area under the receiver-operating characteristic plot was 0.878±0.050 (Mean±SE) for cystatin C, 0.866±0.051 for creatinine and 0.866±0.051 for creatinine clearance. The serum cystatin C reference values (mean±1.96 SD) determined was 0.83 - 0.88 mg/L.

A cutoff cystatin C concentration of 0.82 mg/L had 92% sensitivity and 79% specificity for detecting abnormal GFR.

There was no significant correlation between cystatin C and age (p-value<0.219) and weight (p-value<0.193).

This study demonstrates that serum cystatin C has an increased diagnostic accuracy for reduced GFR when compared with serum creatinine and creatinine clearance. Hence, cystatin C seems to be an alternative for the estimation of GFR.
INTRODUCTION

The estimation of glomerular filtration rate (GFR) is commonly performed by measuring renal clearance of exogenous or endogenous substances and by determining endogenous serum markers. Unfortunately, the ideal marker for measuring GFR has yet to be discovered. Although insulin clearance is considered the gold standard test, it is expensive and requires specialized technical personnel over a period of several hours.¹

Serum creatinine and creatinine clearance are the most widely used methods for the noninvasive estimation of GFR in clinical practice. Serum creatinine is considered relatively specific, but not very sensitive since its levels only increase significantly when more than 50% of the GFR is reduced. In the past, it was postulated that serum levels of low molecular mass proteins² such as β₂-microglobulin,³ α₁-microglobulin,⁴ retinol binding protein,⁵ etc. might reflect changes in GFR, because these proteins are freely filtered by the glomerulus and then almost completely reabsorbed and catabolized by proximal tubular cells.⁶

However, no protein marker has been effectively introduced into clinical practice, perhaps because they are significantly influenced by several extra-renal factors. Since 1985 cystatin C has been proposed as a new sensitive and accurate endogenous marker of changes in GFR.⁷,⁸

Cystatin C is a nonglycosylated basic protein of the cystatin superfamily of cysteine proteinase inhibitors.⁹,¹² It has a relative molecular mass of 13359 and consists of 120 amino acid residues.¹³

Cystatin C is produced by all investigated nucleated cells, and the production rate is unaltered in inflammatory condi-

![Graph](Fig. 1-A,B,C: Bivariate plots of TC⁹⁹m-DTPA versus 1/cys C, 1/Cr and CLCr in 53 subjects. Linear regression analysis gave 1/cys C = 0.722+0.0053. CLTC⁹⁹m-DTPA (r= 0.712, p<0.001), 1/Cr = 0.210+ 0.0087. CLTC⁹⁹m-DTPA (r= 0.709, p<0.001) and CLCr= 1.348+0.838. CLTC⁹⁹m-DTPA (r= 0.777, p<0.001) indicated by bold lines.)
tions. Determination of the structure of the cystatin C gene and its promotor has shown that the gene is of the housekeeping type which is compatible with a stable production rate by most cell types. Cystatin C is present in all investigated body fluids. Normal levels of serum cystatin C have been reported to be from 0.61-2.5 mg/L.

Cystatin C is mainly catabolized by renal glomerular filtration followed by tubular reabsorption and degradation of the protein. The low molecular mass of cystatin C, in combination with its stable production rate, indicates that the plasma concentration of cystatin C is almost exclusively determined by the glomerular filtration rate (GFR), making cystatin C a better indicator of GFR.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

We collected data from 121 healthy subjects (68 male, 53 female) aged between 11 to 78 years and 115 new cases of renal diseases (56 male and 59 female, serum creatinine <3.5 mg/dL) ranging in age from 14 to 88 years old.

Serum samples were obtained from healthy subjects and all patients to measure cystatin C and creatinine levels.

Urine samples were collected from patients to determine creatinine clearance. In addition to assessing the diagnostic efficiency of serum cystatin C in comparison to that of serum creatinine and creatinine clearance in predicting changes in GFR, we performed TC$_{99m}$-DTPA clearance on 53 subjects including healthy persons and patients.

Serum cystatin C was determined using a commercially available kit (Dako Inc., Copenhagen, Denmark) for a rapid automated particle-enhanced turbidimetric method, which was applied on a Merck autoanalyzer.

Creatinine was determined by Jaffe's method with a Hitachi autoanalyzer.

For determination of TC$_{99m}$-DTPA clearance, we used 2 venous plasma samples drawn between 2 and 4 hours after a single injection of 0.5 mCi TC$_{99m}$-DTPA to define the elimination phase from plasma.

Statistical analysis was performed using EXCLE 97 and SPSS 9.1 statistical software for windows.

P-values below 0.001 were considered statistically significant. The correlation between the three endogenous markers and TC$_{99m}$ - DTPA was studied by linear regression analysis. In the evaluation of the results Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated. As the serum concentration of a substance is inversely related to its clearance, reciprocals of cystatin C and creatinine were calculated for comparison with CL TC$_{99m}$ - DTPA. Diagnostic sensitivity and specificity for the identification of abnormal renal function (CL TC$_{99m}$ - DTPA <80 mL/min/1.73m$^2$) were studied by receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) plots.

RESULTS

In 121 healthy subjects, average age was 51±18 years, average weight was 65±14 kg and cystatin C values were normally distributed with a mean of 0.86±0.18 mg/L and the mean serum creatinine concentration was 0.73±0.24 mg/dL.

In 115 patients, average age was 54±16 years, average weight was 60±11.9 kg and mean serum cystatin C was 1.14±0.42 mg/L where the mean serum creatinine concentration was 1.63±0.84 mg/dL. By determination of creatinine clearance in this group, its mean was 26.2±12.3 mL/min/1.73m$^2$.

The mean GFR by determination of TC$_{99m}$-DTPA clearance in patients was 57.3±26.7 mL/min/1.73m$^2$ and in 24 control subjects was 106.5±29 mL/min/1.73m$^2$.

In this study a linear relationship was found between TC$_{99m}$-DTPA clearance and serum cystatin C ($r=0.712$, $p$-value <0.001), serum creatinine ($r=0.709$, $p$-value <0.001) and creatinine clearance ($r=0.777$, $p$-value <0.001).

Reciprocals of cystatin C and creatinine concentration and creatinine clearance were plotted against TC$_{99m}$ - DTPA clearance (Fig. 1-A, B, C).

No significant correlation was found between cystatin C level and age ($p$<0.219) and weight ($p$<0.193), when data for the 121 persons with normal GFR were used.
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Serum Cystatin C as a New GFR Marker

Figure 2 (A, B and C) shows the ROC plots for cystatin C, creatinine and creatinine clearance for all 53 cases and controls. The area under the cystatin C ROC curve (AUC= 0.878, SE= 0.050) was slightly (p-value < 0.001) larger than that under the creatinine (AUC= 0.866 SE= 0.051) and creatinine clearance (AUC= 0.866, SE= 0.051) ROC curves demonstrating that the diagnostic accuracy of the serum level of cystatin C is superior to that of creatinine and creatinine clearance in identifying individuals with reduced GFR in the population studied. When the serum cystatin C concentration for all 121 persons with normal GFR was used to define the 95% confidence interval, this was found to be 0.83-0.88 mg/L.

From these data a cystatin C cutoff concentration of 0.82 mg/L detected impaired renal function with a specificity of 79% and sensitivity of 92%.

DISCUSSION

Cystatin C has been recognized as a potential marker of GFR since 1985. To test the hypothesis that cystatin C is a suitable marker of GFR, the present study set out to compare this parameter with the two parameters most commonly used in clinical practice, the serum creatinine concentration and creatinine clearance. All parameters were tested against the results of a Tc99m - DTPA clearance serving as the gold standard.

This preliminary study on cystatin C in renal patients seems to indicate that this serum marker is not significantly influenced by extra-renal factors such as age and weight.

Our results show that cystatin C is closely related to GFR, significantly increasing when Tc99m - DTPA clearance values decrease. The overall correlation between serum cystatin C and GFR was stronger than that between serum creatinine and GFR.

The diagnostic accuracy of cystatin C assessed by ROC analysis was greater than that of creatinine or creatinine clearance in discriminating between patients with normal GFR and those with reduced GFR. Thus when the objective is to identify subjects with GFR impairment (sensitivity), serum cystatin C may be clinically utilized as a more efficient diagnostic tool than serum creatinine clearance.

We should add here that the range of confidence interval and the cutoff limit point which we obtained from our data were lower than that announced in previous studies, which may have a genetic basis.

However, further studies are required in order to confirm these findings on more representative numbers of patients.
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