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Abstract

Background: Noise is the most common hazard in the workplace and noise induced hearing loss considered to be the most common occupational disease as well. Cigarette smoking, in some studies, has been known to induce hearing loss. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of contemporary exposure to occupational noise and cigarette smoking on hearing.

Methods: 478 assembly workers of an automobile plant which were exposed to the noise more than TLV (threshold limit value) level included in this study. After considering the exclusion criteria, all participants according to the smoking status were divided in smoker and nonsmoker groups and compared for hearing impairment. Hearing impairment in this study was assessed with the offered method of American Academy of Otolaryngology (AAO).

Results: Of 478 assembly workers, 225 persons were smokers and others non smokers. Prevalence of hearing impairment in smokers was significantly higher (p<0.001). Hearing impairment had a significant relation with smoking status even after adjusting for cofounders like age and duration of work (OR=8.23, 95% CI=3.63-18.66).

Conclusion: The results of this study suggested that cigarette smokers had more hearing impairment than non smokers. According to these results we concluded that in working environments, especially in noisy ones, workers must be encouraged to quit smoking and regular audiometric tests, especially for smokers, must be applied. We must consider not only the noise, but indeed all effective elements of hearing impairment in working environment that could compromise the hearing.
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predictable but also rigorously disabling and unrelapsing disease [1]. According to the related studies, in England, 153/000 men and 26/000 women are exposed to occupational noise that could lead to severe hearing loss [7,9].

The other noteworthy point of these assessments is that almost 1.3 billion people throughout the world are smokers. In recent years there are many objectives showing harmful effects of smoking on hearing in not only working but also general population [12-22], however in one study smoking was described to have a protective effect [1,23] and in some studies no association between smoking and hearing loss was found [2,9,17-19,22,24-26]. Smoking causes hypoxic damage to hair cells and hearing loss via different ischemic mechanisms including increased blood viscosity and reduced available oxygen and consequently decreased cochlear blood supply [1,10,11,15,17,27-36]. Inner ear cochlear basal cells which are responsible for higher frequencies are located at the end of arteries and are highly sensitive to changes of blood supply and so easily damaged due to ischemic mechanisms [1,2,33]. Experimental studies have shown direct ototoxic effects of nicotine on hair cell function via nicotinic like receptors on hair cells [2,37]. Ototoxic agents in the smoke of cigarette (toluene, lead, mercury and carbon monoxide), although in low concentration, can interfere with noise or age and intensify hearing loss [1,38-41]. Carbon monoxide in the cigarette smoke can amplify the ototoxic effects of chemicals via increasing accessibility of these agents to the cochlear region due to increasing blood supply and vascular permeability [1,30]. Some studies have shown that noise and smoking cause hearing loss via a common pathway namely decreased cochlear blood supply [1]. On the basis of the study of Mizoue et al, factory workers in comparison with office workers are exposed to higher risk of hearing loss due to higher rate of smoking and exposure to noise [2].

Hearing loss compensation can be determined with assessing hearing loss rate and its associated impairment. According to the US statistics in 1999 and 2000, 6745 individuals requested hearing loss compensation which exceeded 39,907,386 USD in which 8,982,139 of them were for medical charges [42]. One of the hearing loss consequences is disturbing social communications that can be nearly determined with calculation of hearing loss impairment. There are not any similar studies assessing hearing impairment caused by smoking and noise exposure. In this study, smoking and contemporary noise exposure effects on hearing impairment of assembly workers was assessed in an automobile plant.

Methods
A descriptive-analytic study was designed to evaluate the effect of smoking and simultaneous exposure to noise on hearing capability in a large Iranian automobile manufacturing company in Tehran in 2008. All the male employees of the assembly department with at least one accomplished audiometry were entered the study via census method. The exclusion criteria included: history for systemic diseases like diabetes mellitus and thyroid dysfunction, ototoxic drug use, severe or frequent ear infections, severe head trauma, exposure to noise in second or previous jobs and hobbies (such as active military services, hunting or listening to amplified music). Unilateral or conductive hearing loss in pure tone audiometry (PTA) was also purposed as exclusion criteria. Samples were stratified into smokers and controls (nonsmokers).

Demographic data, medical and occupational history like age and working history, smoking habits and exclusion criteria were gathered through interview recorded in a designed questionnaire. All workers participated voluntarily in this study and an inform consent was given to each individual.

521 employees were engaged in assembly
department but after considering the including and excluding criteria, 225 smokers and 253 controls (nonsmoker employees) were entered to the study.

In order to evaluate the sound pressure level in assembly section, a team of occupational hygienists monitored the noise via a CEL-440 sound level meter. The results of this monitoring, indicated the sound level was between 87 and 94 dB A and every body were exposed to impermissible level of noise for 8 hours in a working day shift.

Hearing threshold was measured by an audiologist applying an acoustic chamber meeting the ANSI S3.1-1991 standards with a standard audiometer, model AD 229b, inter acoustic Denmark Co. Ltd, at 500, 1000, 2000, 3000, 4000, 6000 and 8000 Hz for air and bone conduction assuring of noise avoidance not less than 14 h. Results were gathered in a specific questionnaire.

Hearing impairment was determined through a common method recommended by American Academy of Otolaryngology (AAO) [43] which is supported by American Medical Association [44]. Based on this method the binaural hearing impairment was determined as follows:

1- The average hearing threshold level for each ear was calculated at 500, 1000, 2000 and 3000 Hz. 2- The percentage of the impairment for each ear was calculated by multiplying the amount by which the above average exceeding 25 by 1.5. 3- The hearing handicap (binaural assessment) was then calculated by multiplying the smaller percentage (better ear) by 5, adding this figure to the larger percentage (poorer ear), and dividing the total by 6.

Percentage of unilateral impairment = (Average dB at 500, 1000, 2000, 3000Hz) - 25 dB (low fence) × 1.5%
Percentage of bilateral impairment = (Percentage of unilateral impairment in better ear × 5) + (Percentage of unilateral impairment in poorer ear) ÷ 6

In this study t-test were used for quantitative comparison and chi square analysis for qualitative variables. Logistic regression analysis was performed to adjust the effect of confounders and precisely evaluating the relation between smoking and hearing impairment. All of the calculations were statistically analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software ver. 15.

**Results**

Based on inclusion and exclusion criteria, 478 employees of assembly department in an

---

### Table 1. Comparison of mean age, work history and binaural hearing impairment between smokers and non smokers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Smoker (225 persons)</th>
<th>Non smoker (253 persons)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (Year)</td>
<td>Mean: 34.50 SD: 4.47</td>
<td>Mean: 35.27 SD: 6.78</td>
<td>0.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work history (Year)</td>
<td>8.50 SD: 3.63</td>
<td>8.17 SD: 3.69</td>
<td>0.322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearing impairment (percent)</td>
<td>2.39 SD: 4.43</td>
<td>0.5 SD: 3.79</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Table 2. Binaural hearing impairment between smokers and nonsmokers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Smoker (225 persons)</th>
<th>Non smoker (253 persons)</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without hearing impairment</td>
<td>156 No. 69.33 percent</td>
<td>242 No. 95.65 percent</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>4.99-18.96</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With hearing impairment</td>
<td>69 No. 30.67 percent</td>
<td>11 No. 4.35 percent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P<0.001 by chi square test
automobile industry were entered in this study. All subjects were male with mean age of 34.91 years (SD=5.82) and minimum of 23 years and maximum of 55 years. The mean for working history was 8.33 years (SD=3.66) which ranged between 1 to 25 years. 225 persons (%47.1) were smoker and 253 persons (%52.9) did not smoke anywhere. The binaural hearing impairment was calculated for all and the results were between %0 to %45 with mean of %1.39 (SD= %4.2). Mean of age, working history and binaural hearing impairment were compared using t-test between two groups of smokers and non smokers which are presented in Table 1. There was no significant difference between two groups of smokers and non smokers at the view of mean age and working history, but smokers had a statistically significant higher hearing impairment (p<0.001).

In the next session, we divided the sample size into two groups based on hearing impairment. The first group had no impairment but the second had some extent. Relationship between binaural hearing impairment with smoking was surveyed via chi square analysis shown in Table 2. This table addresses smokers with significantly higher hearing impairment (p<0.001).

Finally, for precisely surveying the relation between smoking and hearing impairment and adjustment of confounding variables such as age and working history, we used logistic regression analysis which results are seen in table 3. In this study we grouped the variables, hence workers were divided into two age groups (<33 years and >33 years), working history or noise exposure history into two groups (<7 years and >7 years) and their cigarette smoking habit was calculated based on the number of pack per year, and then these were divided into three groups (non smokers, ≤8 and >8 pack years). Table 3 showed that smokers had more hearing impairment even after adjustment of age and working history and the impair meat was statistically significant (p<0.001). Although there were no significant odds ratio between two groups of less and more than 8 pack year. Age and working history had also a significant relation with hearing impairment.

### Discussion

According to the results, cigarette smokers have more hearing impairment than nonsmokers and it was statistically significant even after adjustment for age and duration of work (p<0.001). All employees had noise exposure but exposure to other ototoxic materials includ-

---

**Table 3. Relationship among BHI and age, duration of exposure to noise and cigarette smoking by logistic regression analysis.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant coefficient</td>
<td>-5.615</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤33 (n=237)</td>
<td>2.524</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>12.47 (4.24-36.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;33 (n=241)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of exposure (year)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤7 (n=253)</td>
<td>1.133</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>3.10 (1.55-6.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;7 (n=225)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (pack-year)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-smoker(n=253)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤8 (n=115)</td>
<td>2.109</td>
<td>0.417</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>8.23 (3.63-18.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;8 (n=110)</td>
<td>2.157</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>8.64 (4.03-18.53)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hearing impairment due to cigarette

Although in one study a protective effect against noise induced hearing loss has been observed for cigarette [1,23], another studies discovered a synergistic or simple additive effect between cigarette and noise [1,2,9,46]. None of the cigarette, noise and age does not have protective effect for hearing and each of them can impair hearing even alone [1,47]. Also a multiplicative effect has been seen between age and smoking in one study [1,48]. Smoking does not intensify the effect of noise on hearing rather act independently [2]. Prevalence of hearing impairment and tinnitus increases not only with increasing the exposure time to noise even after adjustment for age, but also with age [7]. Age related degenerative changes commonly affect high frequency related regions [1,49]. Due to common pathogenic pathway, cigar, noise and age have synergistic effect in genesis of hearing impairment [1]. Also it must be considered that studies survey contemporary exposure, if cross sectional, have limitations in causality [1,2].

**Conclusion**

Although it is not necessary to restrict engagement of smokers in areas with high level of noise exposure [1,9], according to the results of this study and similar studies concluded that employees especially in areas with high level of noise must be encouraged to quit smoking and exposure to noise must be reduced as much as possible. Periodic exams especially audiometric evaluations must be considered. We must consider not only the noise but also the other agents causing hearing impairment in occupational compensations. Also it is better to design prospective studies for determining contemporary exposure effects.
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