



Med J Islam Repub Iran. 2022 (13 Aug);36.91. https://doi.org/10.47176/mjiri.36.91

Relationship between Mini Nutritional Assessment Score and Infection in Critical Care Patients

Omid Moradi Moghaddam¹, Mohammad Niakan Lahiji¹, Leyla Yazdan Panah², Mahshid Talebi-Taher³, Alireza Rajabi⁴*[®], Seyedeh Farnaz Mirhosseini⁵

Received: 28 Aug 2021 Publishe

Published: 13 Aug 2022

Abstract

Background: Nutrition and infectious diseases are 2 influential factors. Mini nutritional assessment (MNA) score is one of the indicators for assessing the nutritional status of the patients. The present study aimed to evaluate the relationship between MNA- short form (SF) and the infectious status of patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) of Hazrat-e-Rasoul hospital in Tehran.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional study performed at Hazrat-e-Rasoul hospital in Tehran from 2019 to 2020. Each patient completed the MNA–SF questionnaire. The questionnaire has 6 factors with a score range of 0 to 14, with 12 to 14 indicating "normal nutrition," 8 to 11 indicating "at risk of malnutrition," and 0 to 7 indicating "malnutrition." The patients were monitored for clinical and paraclinical signs and symptoms of infectious disease for the first 14 days after being admitted to the ICU. Then, the relationship between infection level and MNA–SF scores were recorded and the chi-square, independent samples t test, and Pearson correlation test were used.

Results: In this study, 119 patients (60 men and 59 women), with a mean age of 53.82 ± 19.76 years were selected, and 71 (59.67%) of the patients had an infection. Women without infection were significantly more than men (p=0.021). In the assessment of the MNA–SF questionnaire, we found that 62 (52.1%) patients had "normal nutrition" status, 30 (25.2%), and 27 (22.7%) had "at risk for malnutrition" and "malnutrition" status, respectively. MNA–SF scores were significantly different in different age groups (p=0.040). There was a significant relationship between weight loss, mobility, and neuropsychological problems with age (p<0.001). Also, there was a meaningful relationship between nutritional status and infection (p=0.032). The results determined that noninfected cases among the patients with "normal nutrition" status were more than those "at risk for malnutrition" (p=0.007). The results of this study showed that clinical outcomes had a significant relationship with nutritional status (p=0.043).

Conclusion: Based on the present study, good nutritional status can reduce infection and mortality in patients who are admitted to ICU, and the nutritional status assessed with MNA-SF can play an essential role in patients' susceptibility to infection.

Keywords: Mini Nutritional Assessment Score, Malnutrition, Infectious Diseases, Intensive Care Unit

Conflicts of Interest: None declared Funding: None

*This work has been published under CC BY-NC-SA 1.0 license. Copyright© Iran University of Medical Sciences

Cite this article as: Moradi Moghaddam O, Niakan Lahiji M, Yazdan Panah L, Talebi-Taher M, Rajabi A, Seyedeh Farnaz Mirhosseini5. Relationship between Mini Nutritional Assessment Score and Infection in Critical Care Patients. *Med J Islam Repub Iran.* 2022 (13 Aug);36:91. https://doi.org/10.47176/mjiri.36.91

Introduction

Malnutrition is an acquired disorder that results from inadequate intake of macronutrients (protein, carbohydrates,

Corresponding author: Dr Alireza Rajabi, rajabi.ar@iums.ac.ir

- ¹ Trauma and Injury Research Center, Critical Care Department, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- ^{2.} Department of Nutritional Sciences, School of Public Health, Hazrat-e Rasoul Hospital, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- ^{3.} Department of Infectious Disease, School of Medicine, Antimicrobial Resistance Research Center, Institute of Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- ^{4.} Critical Care Department, Hazrat-e Rasoul Hospital, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- ^{5.} Clinical Nutrition Department, Hazrat-e Rasoul Hospital, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

and fats) or micronutrients (vitam ins, minerals, and trace elements) and disrupts the normal state of body mass in-

↑*What is "already known" in this topic:* Energy protein malnutrition is a significant cause of immune system deficiency and increased vulnerability to infection.

\rightarrow *What this article adds:*

This article adds to our previous knowledge that the MNA–SF nutritional assessment tool can be used as a tool to predict the risk of infection in patients admitted to ICUs based on their malnutrition.

dex and organ function. Although malnutrition is the most common cause of infection due to immune system dysfunction, it can cause dysfunction of all body organs by not healing the wound and not closing the fascia, and failing to repair anastomoses (1). Energy protein malnutrition is a significant cause of immune system deficiency and increased vulnerability to infection. For example, acute and chronic malnutrition of protein and energy affects phagocytosis, inflammation, activity and differentiation of T cells, immunoglobulin A and G, and macrophage activity and is effective in increasing the incidence of respiratory, opportunistic, skin, and gastrointestinal infections (2). Numerous studies have estimated the percentage of people at risk of malnutrition from 20% to 70% according to assessing the nutritional status of hospitalized patients (3). Various tools are designed to evaluate the nutritional status of patients. Mini Nutritional Assessment- Short Form (MNA-SF) is one of the most extensive and valid nutritional assessment questionnaires for patients, translated and validated in 20 common languages around the world. MNA-SF evaluates patients' nutritional status over the past 3 months with 90% sensitivity (4). In this questionnaire, the parameters of nutritional status assessment consist of 6 parts; these parameters are loss of appetite, recent weight loss, mobility, recent acute illness, dementia or depression, body mass index (5), or calf circumference measurement (6). The MNA-SF has six criteria with a score range of 0 to 14, with 12 to 14 indicating "normal nutrition," 8 to 11 indicates "at risk for malnutrition," and 0 to 7 indicating "malnutrition" (5).

Some studies have shown a correlation between lower MNA scores and decreased immune function. Studies have shown that lower scores have been associated with worse prognosis, including increased hospitalization time and tripled mortality (3). In Europe and the United States, 40% to 50% of hospitalized patients were malnourished upon admission, especially the elderly (7). Malnutrition is affected by sociopsychological factors and results in the length of hospitalization days (8). Finally, nausea, swallowing, and vomiting are signs of anorexia, which indicate higher nutritional demands (7, 9). Despite the high prevalence of malnutrition, due to the lack of proper nutrition screening, malnutrition remains unknown and untreated (7-10). The purpose of this study was to assess the MNA-SF score and its relationship with infection in patients admitted to the ICU, due to the importance of malnutrition in admitted patients and the lack of studies in this area.

Methods

This was a cross-sectional study performed at Hazrat-e-Rasoul hospital in Tehran for 3 months in 2020. The MNA–SF questionnaire was completed for each patient. The patients were monitored for clinical and paraclinical signs and symptoms of infectious disease for the first 14 days after being admitted to the ICU. Then, the relationship between infection level and MNA–SF scores were recorded and statistically analyzed.

All patients 16 years of age and older admitted to the ICU met the inclusion criteria: the patient had not been hospitalized in different wards of the hospital for more

than a week before entering the intensive care unit, the patient had not taken chronic corticosteroids for more least 1 month, the patient did not have acquired immunodeficiency syndrome or diseases of the weakened immune system, the patient had not undergone organ transplants, and in the case of cancer, the patient had not undergone chemotherapy. Patients' nutritional status was assessed once in the ICU within the first 48 hours of admission and then classified into 3 categories depending on the score obtained: normal, at risk for malnutrition, and malnutrition. In this study, in addition to the MNA-SF, nutritional status was evaluated with the Nutrition Risk in the Critically Ill (NUTRIC) score, and the results were compared. Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE II) score and Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score were also used to evaluate clinical conditions. The presence or absence of infection and the number of positive cultures (blood, urine, sputum, ascites fluid, cerebrospinal fluid, etc.) were recorded for patients in 14 days in the ICU. Patient culture data were extracted from their files.

The NUTRIC score is the first tool designed to assess the nutritional risk in patients in critical conditions. In the intensive care unit and measurement, it uses interleukin-6 for evaluation. In the form without interleukin-6, the NU-TRIC score is from 0 to 9, with 0 to 4 indicating a low risk of the nutritional index, and a score of 5 to 9 indicating a high risk of a nutritional index in patients admitted to ICUs and the need to start rapid nutritional interventions in these patients. MDCALC software was used to calculate these 3 indicators.

Statistical Analysis

We analyzed the data using SPSS software Version 26. All quantitative variables are presented as mean, standard deviation, frequency, and percentage. Normality was checked by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. We also used the chi-square and independent samples t tests. A Pearson correlation test was used to measure the strength and direction of this linear correlation. The significance level in the present study was less than 0.05.

Results

The mean age of the patients was 53.82 ± 19.76 years, and the age range of the patients was 18 to 93 years; 60 people (50.4%) were men, and 59 (49.6%) were women. In Table 1, descriptive data of indicators and scores are evaluated according to age, physiological information, and the presence of linked disorders, laboratory information, and acute circumstances of bodily functioning. Gender information is listed in Table 2. Infection was diagnosed in 71 patients (59.67%). Most patients had pneumonia (n=29 [24.4%]) and urinary tract infection (n=15 [12.6%]). Fever (the most common symptom reported by 67 (56.3%) patients), shivering, hypothermia, hypotension, diarrhea (the least common symptom reported by 7 [5.88%] patients), cough, peritoneal stimulating symptoms, urinary irritation symptoms, decreased level of consciousness, and discharge from catheter insertion were among the clinical symptoms reported by study partici-

Table 1. Descriptive data of evaluated scores in the studied patients

| Variable | Mean \pm SD | 2 | 14 |
|-------------------------|-------------------|---|-----|
| MNA* score | 10.75±3.42 | 1 | 47 |
| APACHE# II score | 16.03 ± 10.73 | 0 | !18 |
| SOFA [†] score | 6.01±4.61 | 1 | 9 |
| NUTRIC** score | 3.82 ± 2.50 | 3 | 171 |
| Length of stay (day) | 25.97±21.23 | 2 | 14 |
| | | | |

* Mini Nutritional Assessment - Short Form

Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation

† Sequential Organ Failure Assessment Score

** Nutrition Risk in Critically ill

Table 2. Descriptive data of evaluated scores in the studied patients across gender

| Variable | Gender | Ν | Mean \pm SD | P value* |
|-------------------------|--------|----|---------------|----------|
| Age (year) | Male | 60 | 54.63±20.32 | 0.676 |
| | Female | 59 | 53.12±19.06 | |
| Length of stay (day) | Male | 60 | 26.43±22.68 | 0.615 |
| | Female | 59 | 25.01±23.08 | |
| APACHE# II score | Male | 60 | 16.83±11.60 | 0.415 |
| | Female | 59 | 15.22±9.82 | |
| SOFA [†] score | Male | 60 | 6.77±5.07 | 0.070 |
| | Female | 59 | 5.24±3.98 | |

* Independent samples t test. Pvalue <0.05 is significant.

Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation

† Sequential Organ Failure Assessment Score

pants.

Out of 119 patients, 88 (73.9%) patients were discharged from the ICU, and 31 (26.1%) patients died. One of the parameters studied was the relationship between the patient's treatment outcomes and the length of hospital stay, and the results are reported in Table 3. There was a statistically significant difference between the patient's treatment outcomes and the length of hospital stay (p<0.001).

Regarding the nutritional status of the patients based on MNA–SF scores, 52.1% of the patients were nutritionally normal, and 25.2% and 22.7% were "at risk for malnutrition" and "malnutrition," respectively. Scores are provided based on the NUTRIC as well (Table 4).

Leukocytosis was observed in 87 patients, leukopenia in 10 patients, increased CRP in 56 patients, increased PCT in 50 patients and Increased ESR in 50 patients. Also, 44.5% of patients needed mechanical ventilation. Laboratory tests were performed on the culture of body fluids in the patients. The results were reported in the form of hematologic culture, urinary culture, wound secretion culture, respiratory secretion culture, catheter culture, and other secretions (Table 5). There were no statistically significant differences between the 2 groups with/without infection in favor of age (p=0.617).

The NUTRIC score was higher in infected patients, and the MNA–SF score was similarly higher (Table 6). The Pearson test was used to examine the relationship between the MNA–SF and NUTRIC scores, and it was discovered that there was an inverse and significant relationship between these 2 scores (r=-0.221; p=0.016).

Another study parameter was the relationship between patient treatment outcomes and nutritional status and the results are reported in Table 7. The mortality rate was 33.3% in malnourished patients. The difference between clinical outcomes and nutritional status was significant (p=0.043). According to the findings of the 1-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test, the incidence of discharge

from ICU patients increases as nutritional status improves. The difference in mortality, however, was not significant.

The 1-way analysis of variance shows that the nutritional status of the patients based on the MNA–SF is not related to patients' need for mechanical ventilation (p=0.067) (Table 8).

Discussion

This was a cross-sectional study aimed to determine the relationship between mini nutritional assessment and infection in the patients hospitalized in the ICU, and 119

Table 3. Evaluation of the relationship between patient treatment outcomes and length of stay

| Variable | | U | Length of stay (day) | |
|----------|-----------|-------|-------------------------|---------|
| | | Mean | SD | |
| Outcome | Discharge | 18.65 | 15.88 | < 0.001 |
| | Mortality | 42.36 | 26.60 | |

* Independent samples t test. P value <0.05 is significant.

Table 4. Frequency of the patients in terms of nutritional status based on the MNA–SF and NUTRIC scores

| Variable | | N (%) |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| MNA-SF* score | Malnutrition | 27 (22.7) |
| | At risk for malnutrition | 30 (25.2) |
| | Normal | 62 (52.1) |
| NUTRIC ^{**} score | Low risk | 75 (63) |
| | High risk | 44 (37) |

* Mini Nutritional Assessment - Short Form ** Nutrition Risk in critically ill

Table 5. Frequency Distribution of Positive Responses for Body

Fluid Culture Tests

| Location of culture sample | Positive |
|----------------------------|-----------|
| F | N (%) |
| Respiratory secretions | 51 (42.9) |
| Urine | 30 (25.2) |
| Blood | 23 (19.3) |
| wound secretions | 9 (7.6) |
| Other catheters | 7 (6.7) |
| Other secretions | 6 (5) |

http://mjiri.iums.ac.ir

Med J Islam Repub Iran. 2022 (13 Aug); 36.91.

Mini Nutritional Assessment Score and Infection

| Variable | | Without infection N (%) | With infection N (%) | P value [*] |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| MNA-SF [#] score | Malnutrition | 8 (29.6) | 19 (70.4) | 0.032 |
| | At risk for malnutrition | 8 (26.7) | 22 (73.3) | |
| | Normal | 32 (51.6) | 30 (48.4) | |
| NUTRIC [†] Score | Low risk | 4 (9.10) | 31 (41.33) | < 0.001 |
| | High risk | 32 (51.6) | 40 (90.90) | |

Mini Nutritional Assessment - Short F

† Nutrition Risk in critically ill

Table 7. Frequency of Clinical Outcomes Based on Nutritional Status in the Patients

| MNA-SF score | Mortality | Discharge | P value [*] |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|
| | N (%) | N (%) | |
| Malnutrition | 9 (33.3) | 18 (66.6) | 0.043 |
| At risk for malnutrition | 9 (30) | 21 (70) | |
| Normal | 12 (20.97) | 49 (79.03) | |

*Chi-Square. P < 0.05 is significant.

Mini Nutritional Assessment - Short Form

Table 8. Frequency Assessment Based on Nutritional Status with Patients' Need for Mechanical Ventilation

| Variable | | Normal N (%) | At risk for malnutrition N (%) | Malnutrition N (%) | P value* |
|---------------------------------|-----|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| Need for mechanical ventilation | Yes | 26 (41.94) | 14 (46.67) | 12 (44.44) | 0.067 |
| | No | 36 (58.06) | 16 (53.33) | 15 (55.56) | |

*Chi-Square. P < 0.05 is significant.

patients were evaluated by the census method. Considering that infectious diseases are essential in the prognosis of patients admitted to intensive care units and nutrition can play a role in infection control and related aspects in these patients (11-14), we designed this study to find the relationship of interest. In ICU, patients with different diseases and different severities are admitted. Recent studies have shown that the incidence of malnutrition in these patients is different from those admitted to other wards (15, 16). Patients in the ICU have systemic inflammatory reactions as a result of their critical circumstances (17, 18). In such situations, metabolism increases and catabolism increases, fat reserves decrease, and muscle mass decreases as a result of reduced calories and protein content (19). Therefore, one of the main problems of the patients in the ICU is malnutrition. Nosocomial infections, on the other hand, are one of the most important variables affecting mortality, increasing hospitalization length, and expenditures in ICUs (20).

In the present study, the infection was diagnosed in 59.67% of the patients-24.4% and 12.6% of the infections were related to pneumonia and urinary tract infection, respectively. Also, there was no statistically significant relationship between different age groups and infection rates. In the study of Mohammad et al (2002), the incidence rate of nosocomial infection in the ICU was estimated to be 18.7 infections per 1000 patient-day hospitalizations (21). Also, the most common types of infections were related to lung and urinary tract infections. The results of this study were consistent with the present study. However, in this study, only the age factor was associated with infection, which is inconsistent with the results of this study. Kermani et al showed that the rate of infection in the intensive care unit was more than 60%, and the incidence of respiratory infections was higher than other infections (22).

Mojtahedzadeh et al also reported that the most com-

mon cause of infection in the intensive care unit was respiratory and urinary tract infections (23). Recently, in a study by Hedayat Yaghoobi et al, it was observed that the incidence of pneumonia among 1806 patients hospitalized in ICUs was 55%, followed by urinary tract infection (24). The results of these studies overlap with the results of the present study. While in another study, the highest rate of infection (41%) was related to a urinary catheter (25), which was not consistent with the results of the present study. Since ICU patients use respiratory devices extensively, the increase in respiratory infection in these wards is not far from expected. The findings of this study showed that the prevalence of infection in men (70%) was more than in women (49.2%). Other studies confirm the findings of this study (23, 26). The prevalence of blood culture (19.3%), urine culture (25.2%), wound secretion culture (7.6%), respiratory secretion culture (42.9%), catheter culture (6.7%), and culture of other regions (5%) was reported.

The most clinical symptoms were fever (56.3%) and hypotension (41.2%). The results of Moridi et al showed that the prevalence of fever in the patients hospitalized in the ICU was 32.9% (27). In this case, the incidence of fever was 32% in Belgium (28). The disparity in study results could be because ICUs in Iran have fewer resources and manpower than those in industrialized nations, and the low prevalence of fever in patients could signal the ward's staff's efforts. Leukocytosis had the greatest prevalence of 73.1 % in this study. Other studies have shown similar results to the present study (29, 30), the cause of which can be stated that infections, especially pulmonary infections, are the most common causes of leukocytosis. In the present study, radiological symptoms of computed tomography scan had the highest frequency (40.3%).

The results showed that based on MNA-SF questionnaire scores, 52.1% of the patients were nutritionally normal, and 25.2% and 22.7% were at risk for malnutrition and malnutrition, respectively. The prevalence of malnutrition using this technique ranged from 0.4% to 41%, and the prevalence of malnutrition risk ranged from 7% to 67% (29), which is consistent with the current study. The prevalence of malnutrition in a study by Niazi et al was 52%, of which 28% had malnutrition and 10% were at risk for malnutrition (31). About 30.4% of the patients were at risk for malnutrition, and 43.5% had malnutrition (32). Based on the NUTRIC score, the frequency of low and high risk of the nutritional index in patients admitted to the ICU was reported to be 0 to 4 at low risk of the nutritional index and 5 to 9 at high risk of the nutritional index in this study. Based on the NUTRIC score, 37% of the patients were in the high-risk range. The results of this tool categorize patients into 2 groups: low risk and high risk, which are utilized to identify the needs of the patients. Furthermore, this study discovered that the risk of nutritional problems differed by age group and that these variations were substantial. Salah et al (33) found that anthropometric indices dropped with age, and biomechanical mechanisms of nutrition balance were more complex. In addition, as people get older, their body's nutritional needs diminish due to decreased mobility and physical functions, and their nutritional status declines (34).

This study showed that the risk of infection in malnourished patients was significantly higher than the patients with normal nutrition. The results of a systematic review with the same tool showed that malnutrition patients are more at risk for mortality than the patients with normal nutrition and have a lower quality of life (35). Patients with more malnutrition than patients with normal nutrition are at risk for complications and manifestations after surgery, such as wound infection and recurrent pain (36). Also, the results of other studies show that there is a significant relationship between energy intake in patients hospitalized in ICUs and infection (37-39). Almost all the results of previous studies were consistent with the present study. Also, the results of this study showed that the type of infection and the number of positive cultures in the patients had no significant relationship with their nutritional conditions. Also, the results of this study showed that in the patients with normal nutritional status, the discharge rate was much better than the patients "at risk for malnutrition" and "malnutrition."

The death rate in malnourished patients was 33.3 % in this study. The mortality rate of cancer patients was significantly correlated with malnutrition (40), and the mean and standard deviation of the approximate mortality rate in the hospital was 16.10 03.73 in the present study considering the approximate mortality rate in the hospital using the APACHE II score. Varghese et al showed that the mean APACHE II score in the patients in the ICU was 19.89 ± 4.89 (41). The results of this study were consistent with the present study. Nik et al also showed that the mean score of APACHE II in the ICU was 0.04 ± 83.92 . These findings did not match those of the current study, which can be explained by the fact that only patients with severe brain damage who were admitted to the ICU were examined. The APACHE II score is the most important tool of the scoring system in providing significant differential ability when predicting ICU-related mortality (42). Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and nutritional status are now being explored, and due to its global severity, it is critical to pay attention to nutrition status to prevent COVID-19 as infectious disease (43, 44).

Study Limitations

In future studies, the effect of confounding factors on patients' nutritional status, such as systemic disorders, can be studied in advanced statistical modeling to analyze the association between infection and the nutritional status of patients, which was not assessed in this study. Given the impact of infectious diseases on patient mortality, a study such as this is essential to assess patients' nutritional health as well as the rate of future patient mortality utilizing the MNA–SF. If a study can intervene in the nutritional status of patients to examine the relationship between the factors evaluated by nutrition and the rate of infection, it will be more certain in proposing appropriate nutrition assessment tools.

Conclusion

Based on the findings of this and other studies, it appears that implementing screening programs, assessing nutritional status using approved methods at the time of admission of infectious disease patients, and implanting standard nutritional care programs based on the patients' status is essential in infectious disease treatment plans and should be considered by all members of the patient's treatment team. In patients admitted to ICUs, the MNA-SF nutritional evaluation tool can be used to predict the risk of infection.

Acknowledgment

This study was taken from a subspecialty thesis. The authors would like to thank the deputy research and technology of Iran University of Medical Sciences and especially the Ministry staff of this research.

Ethical Considerations

The research followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. The Ethics Committee of Iran University of Medical Sciences approved this study. The institutional ethical committee at Iran University of Medical Sciences approved all study protocols (IR.IUMS.FMD.REC.1399.399). This study was extracted from a medical thesis by code 16072.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- 1. Farhadi S, Ovchinnikov R. The Relationship between Nutrition and Infectious Diseases: A Review. J biotechno. biomed. 2018;22:211-18.
- Corware K, Yardley V, Mack C, Schuster S, Al-Hassi H, Herath S, Bergin P, Modolell M, Munder M, Müller I, Kropf P. Protein energy malnutrition increases arginase activity in monocytes and macrophages. Nutr Metab. 2014 Dec;11(1):1-0.

http://mjiri.iums.ac.ir

Med J Islam Repub Iran. 2022 (13 Aug); 36.91.

- Abd Aziz NAS, Teng NIMF, Abdul Hamid MR, Ismail NH. Assessing the nutritional status of hospitalized elderly. Clin Interv Aging. 2017 Oct 4;12:1615-1625.
- 4. Power L, Mullally D, Gibney ER, Clarke M, Visser M, Volkert D, et al. A review of the validity of malnutrition screening tools used in older adults in community and healthcare settings - A MaNuEL study. Clin Nutr ESPEN. 2018 Apr;24:1-13.
- Kaiser MJ, Bauer JM, Ramsch C, Uter W, Guigoz Y, Cederholm T, Thomas DR, et al. Validation of the Mini Nutritional Assessment short-form (MNA-SF): a practical tool for identification of nutritional status. J Nutr Health Aging. 2009 Nov;13(9):782-8
- Bonnefoy M, Jauffret M, Kostka T, Jusot JF. Usefulness of calf circumference measurement in assessing the nutritional state of hospitalized elderly people. Gerontology. 2002 May-Jun;48(3):162-9.
- 7. Caballero B. Encyclopedia of human nutrition. Elsevier; 2005 Jul 20.
- Stoelting RK, Marschall KE. Stoelting's anesthesia and co-existing disease. Elsevier Health Sciences; 2012.
- 9.Bhutta ZA, Das JK, Rizvi A, Gaffey MF, Walker N, Horton S, Webb P, Lartey A, Black RE, Group TL, Maternal and Child Nutrition Study Group. Evidence-based interventions for improvement of maternal and child nutrition: what can be done and at what cost?. Lancet. 2013 Aug 3;382(9890):452-77.
- 10. Wang H, Naghavi M, Allen C, Barber RM, Bhutta ZA, Carter A, et al. Global, regional, and national life expectancy, all-cause mortality, and cause-specific mortality for 249 causes of death, 1980–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. Lancet . 2016 Oct 8;388(10053):1459-544.
- Ellwanger JH, Kaminski VL, Chies JAB. Emerging infectious disease prevention: Where should we invest our resources and efforts? J Infect Public Health. 2019 May-Jun;12(3):313-316.
- Shlisky J, Bloom DE, Beaudreault AR, Tucker KL, Keller HH, Freund-Levi Y, et al. Nutritional Considerations for Healthy Aging and Reduction in Age-Related Chronic Disease. Adv Nutr. 2017 Jan 17;8(1):17-26.
- 13. Abdali F, Taghavi S, Vazifekhah S, Naghavi Behzad M, Mirza Aghazadeh Attari M. Effect of Progesterone on Latent Phase Prolongation in Patients With Preterm Premature Rupture of Membranes. Acta Med Iran. 2017 Dec;55(12):772-778.
- Hosseinpour-Niazi S, Naderi Z, Delshad M, Mirmiran P. Prevalence of malnutrition in hospitalized patients in Taleghani Hospital in Tehran. J Gorgan Univ Med Sci. 2012;13(4):97-106.
- Correia MIT, Perman MI, Waitzberg DL. Hospital malnutrition in Latin America: A systematic review. Clin Nutr. 2017;36(4):958-67.
- 16. Poudineh S, Shayesteh F, Kermanchi J, Haghdoost AA, Torabi P, Pasdar Y, Azimi-Nezhad M, Safarian M, Hajifaraji M, Eslami-Hasan-Abadi S, Pournik O. A multi-centre survey on hospital malnutrition: result of PNSI study. Nutr J. 2021 Dec;20(1):1-7.
- 17. McClave SA, Martindale RG, Vanek VW, McCarthy M, Roberts P, Taylor B, et al. Guidelines for the provision and assessment of nutrition support therapy in the adult critically ill patient: Society of Critical Care Medicine (SCCM) and American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ASPEN). J Parenter Enter Nutr. 2009;33(3):277-316.
- 18. Taylor BE, McClave SA, Martindale RG, Warren MM, Johnson DR, Braunschweig C, et al. Guidelines for the provision and assessment of nutrition support therapy in the adult critically ill patient: Society of Critical Care Medicine (SCCM) and American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ASPEN). Crit Care Med. 2016;44(2):390-438
- Correia MI, Perman MI, Waitzberg DL. Hospital malnutrition in Latin America: A systematic review. Clin Nutr. 2017 Aug 1;36(4):958-67.
- Lochs H, Allison S, Meier R, Pirlich M, Kondrup J, Van den Berghe G, et al. Introductory to the ESPEN guidelines on enteral nutrition: terminology, definitions and general topics. Clin Nutr. 2006;25(2):180-6.
- Khan HA, Ahmad A, Mehboob R. Nosocomial infections and their control strategies. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed. 2015 Jul 1;5(7):509-14.
- 22. Rezaee Hakime H, Borji E, Mirzadi I, Salehi A, Sivandipur H, Nekhei M, et al. A study on the rate and the types of hospital infection in the trauma ICU departments of Kerman hospitals in the first half of 1393. J Iran Soc Anaesthesiol Intens Care. 2015;37(3 (91):166-171.
- 23. Mojtahedzadeh M, Panahi Y, Fazeli MR, Najafi A, Pazouki M, Navehsi BM, et al. Intensive care unit-acquired urinary tract infections in patients admitted with sepsis: etiology, risk factors, and patterns of antimicrobial resistance. Int J Infect Dis. 2008 May 1;12(3):312-8.

6 <u>http://mjiri.iums.ac.ir</u>

Med J Islam Repub Iran. 2022 (13 Aug); 36:91.

- Hedayat Yaghoobi M, Sabahi M, Ghaderi E, Seifrabiei MA, Rahimi Bashar F. Frequency of device-associated infections in intensive care units. Tehran Univ Med J. 2020;77(11):696-700.
- 25. Ghorbani Birgani A, Asadpor A. Hospital infections in the intensive care unit of a Arya hospital in Ahvaz. J Birjand Univ Med Sci. 2011;8(2):86-93.
- 26. Kovach CP, Fletcher GS, Rudd KE, Grant RM, Carlbom DJ. Comparative prognostic accuracy of sepsis scores for hospital mortality in adults with suspected infection in non-ICU and ICU at an academic public hospital. PloS One. 2019;14(9):e0222563.
- Moridi G, Khaledi S. Prevalence and causes of fever at intensive care unit and its association with demographic characteristics. Iran J Cardiovasc Nurs 2012;1(3):1-7.
- 28. Bota DP, Ferreira FL, Mélot C, Vincent JL. Body temperature alterations in the critically ill. Intensive Care Med.. 2004;30.
- 29. Mardani M, Moniri A, Besharat M. Patients with leukocytosis hospitalized in Labafinejad and Loghman-Hakim hospital, 2003-2004. Res Med. 2006;30(2):107-111.
- 30. Nadi E, Nekoie B, Mobaien A, Moghimbeigi A, Nekoie A. Evaluation of the Etiology of Nosocomial Pneumonia in the ICUs of the Teaching Hospitals of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences. Avicenna J Clin Med. 2011;18(1):26-32.
- Ebrahimi Fakhar H, Salemi Z. Malnutrition Of Patients In Intensive Care Units Of Arak Hospitals, In 2010. Nurs Midwifery J. 2012;10(4):0
- 32. Edington J, Boorman J, Durrant ER, Perkins A, Giffin CV, James R, et al. Prevalence of malnutrition on admission to four hospitals in England. Clin Nutr. 2000;19(3):191-5.
- Abdelrahman H, Elawam A. Nutrition status in community dwelling older population in an Egyptian urban area. J Aging Res Clin Pract. 2014;3(3):137-43.
- Rosso AL, Taylor JA, Tabb LP, Michael YL. Mobility, disability, and social engagement in older adults. J. Aging Health. 2013;25(4):617-37.
- 35. Sun Z, Kong XJ, Jing X, Deng RJ, Tian ZB. Nutritional risk screening 2002 as a predictor of postoperative outcomes in patients undergoing abdominal surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. PloS One. 2015 Jul 14;10(7):e0132857.
- 36. Zhang F, He ST, Zhang Y, Mu DL, Wang DX. Comparison of Two Malnutrition Assessment Scales in Predicting Postoperative Complications in Elderly Patients Undergoing Noncardiac Surgery. Public Health Front. 2021;9.
- 37. Wang CY, Fu PK, Huang CT, Chen CH, Lee BJ, Huang YC. Targeted energy intake is the important determinant of clinical outcomes in medical critically ill patients with high nutrition risk. Nutrients. 2018 Nov;10(11):1731.
- Amirkalali B, Sharifi F, Fakhrzadeh H, Mirarefin M, Ghaderpanahi M, Larijani B. Evaluation of the mini nutritional assessment in the elderly, Tehran, Iran. Public Health Nutr. 2010;13(9):1373-9.
- 39. Wang CY, Fu PK, Chao WC, Wang WN, Chen CH, Huang YC. Full versus Trophic Feeds in Critically Ill Adults with High and Low Nutritional Risk Scores: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Nutrients. 2020 Nov;12(11):3518.
- 40. Lew CCH, Yandell R, Fraser RJL, Chua AP, Chong MFF, Miller M. Association Between Malnutrition and Clinical Outcomes in the Intensive Care Unit: A Systematic Review. J Parenter Enter Nutr. 2017;41(5):744-58.
- 41. Varghese YE, Kalaiselvan MS, Renuka MK, Arunkumar AS. Comparison of acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II (APACHE II) and acute physiology and chronic health evaluation IV (APACHE IV) severity of illness scoring systems, in a multidisciplinary ICU. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol. 2017;33(2):248-53.
- 42. Farajzadeh M, Nasrollahi E, Bahramvand Y, Mohammadkarimi V, Dalfardi B, Anushiravani A. The Use of APACHE II Scoring System for Predicting Clinical Outcome of Patients Admitted to the Intensive Care Unit: A Report From a Resource-Limited Center. Shiraz E Med J. 2021 May 31;22(5).
- 43. Daneshfar M, Dadashzadeh N, Ahmadpour M, Ragati Haghi H, Rahmani V, Forouzesh M, et al. Lessons of mortality following COVID-19 epidemic in the United States especially in the geriatrics. J Nephropharmacol. 2021;10(1):e06.
- 44. Mentella MC, Scaldaferri F, Gasbarrini A, Miggiano GA. The Role of Nutrition in the COVID-19 Pandemic. Nutrients. 2021 Apr;13(4):1093.