CYTOGENETIC EFFECTS OF MAGNETIC AND RADIOFREQUENCY FIELDS OF NMRI SYSTEMS ON THE FREQUENCY OF CHROMOSOMAL ABERRATIONS IN HUMAN LYMPHOCYTES IN THE PRESENCE OR ABSENCE OF CYTOSINE ARABINOSIDE

H. MOZDARANI,* Ph.D., AND M.R. TOHIDNIA, M.Sc.

From the Dept. of Radiology, School of Medical Sciences, Tarbiat Modarres University, P.O. Box 14155-4838, Tehran, I.R. Iran, Fax: 8013030, 8006544.

ABSTRACT

Application of nuclear magnetic resonance imaging (NMRI) as a non-invasive and accurate imaging procedure has been widely used in recent years. Meanwhile, the biological effects of magnetic fields of several tesla (T) and high energy radiofrequency (RF) is not fully known yet. Because of controversy over this issue, the present research has been carried out in order to verify the effects of magnetic fields of 1.5 T and RF of 63.86 MHz on the frequency of chromosomal aberrations in human peripheral lymphocytes.

Using metaphase analysis technique, the cytogenetic effects of NMRI was studied in G0 and G2 lymphocytes in the presence or absence of cytosine arabinoside (ara-C) as a DNA repair inhibitor. Cells were cultured using conventional methods.

Results obtained indicate that exposure of lymphocytes to NMRI field at 30 and 60 minutes has no potential effects on chromosomal aberration induction. When using ara-C, although ara-C alone caused a rather high frequency of chromosomal aberrations, especially in G2 phase of the cell cycle, exposure of cells to NMRI in the presence of ara-C did not change the frequency of ara-C-induced damage significantly.

Our results indicate that NMRI may not be able to produce DNA damage that could be potentiated by ara-C. Similar responses were also observed for cells exposed to NMRI either *in vivo* or *in vitro*. Nevertheless much remains unknown about the certain effects of MRI and RF.

MJIRI, Vol. 14, No. 2, 169-173, 2000.

Keywords: NMRI system, Chromosomal aberrations, Human lymphocytes, Cytosine arabinoside.

INTRODUCTION

*All correspondence should be addressed to: Dr. Hossein Mozdarani, Dept. of Radiology, School of Medical Sciences, Tarbiat Modarres University, Tehran, P.O. Box, 14155-4838, I.R. Iran. Fax: (98 21) 800 6544. Email: Mozdarah@ Netlcs. Modares.ac.ir.

Study of biological effects of static magnetic fields is one of the most active yet controversial areas of investigation of the potential effects of clinical MR imaging, which is known as a powerful and non-invasive diagnostic tool.¹ While it is known that low doses of ionizing radiation are capable of causing cancer and genetic damage induction, this knowledge is lacking for magnetic fields associated with NMRI. Studies have been performed both *in vitro* and *in vivo* to demonstrate the presence or absence of chromosomal effects of static magnetic fields. Some of these studies have evaluated the biological effects of NMRI and RF based on chromosomal aberration induction, DNA damage and sister chromatid exchange (SCE), spermatogenesis, cell growth and survival of exposed tumor.²⁻⁶ Experimental results suggest that electromagnetic fields can affect growth and DNA synthesis in many cell types.⁷⁻¹¹ Furthermore, other biological processes have been reported such as increase in cancer incidence.¹²⁻¹⁶

On the other hand, because carcinogenesis and mutagenesis are closely correlated,17 and many mutagens are also considered as carcinogens, thus the initial damage induced by physical or chemical agents should be alterations in the structure of DNA, i.e. DNA damage. Determination of chromosomal aberrations which are the consequence of DNA strand breakage, is one of the most sensitive methods for detecting the effects of chemical and physical genotoxic carcinogens. The standard cytogenetic test, chromosomal aberration induction, has been proved to be an effective method for evaluation of physical mutagens such as ionizing radiation. Because of these controversises over the potential effects of NMRI and RF on the DNA molecule, we thought MRI might produce a kind of initial fast repair mechanism of DNA damage which can not be detected as chromosomal aberrations in mitosis. In this study we used cytosine arabinoside, a DNA repair inhibitor^{18,19} which potentially prevents repair of X-ray induced DNA damage, thus leading to an increased level of chromosomal aberrations²⁰⁻ ²² as well as to prevent repair of probable DNA damage induced by NMRI.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Culture of unstimulated lymphocytes

Human venous blood samples were taken with a heparinized syringe from four young healthy non-smoker male donors (age $30^{\pm}4$ years). For all experiments 0.4 mL whole blood was mixed with 4.5 mL RPMI 1640 medium (Sigma) supplemented with 15% heat inactivated fetal calf serum (Flow) and antibiotics (100 U/mL penicillin and 100 µg/mL streptomycin) (Sigma) in a closed tube. Ara-C at 50 and 100 µmol/L was added to eight cultures (4 for each concentration) and were incubated at 37°C in a dry incubator for three hours prior to magnetic and RF exposure. After 60 minutes exposure of the sample to NMRI and RF fields in the presence or absence of ara-C, cells were washed twice in fresh RPMI medium and deoxycitidine (Pharmacia, LKB) was added twice as the concentration of ara-C to culture vessels in order to reverse ara-C effects on cells. Once treatment was completed, 0.2 mL of phytohemagglutinine (PHA-M) was added to all cultures. They were incubated at 37°C for 52 h when they were fixed. Colchicine (Sigma) at a final concentration of 4 μ g/mL was added to each culture two hours prior to fixation. Fixation and slide preparation was performed according to conventional procedures and nonbanded, Giemsa stained chromosome preparations were made.

Culture of stimulated lymphocytes

Lymphocyte cultures from heparinized whole blood were set up as for unstimulated cultures, except that PHA was added immediately to the culture medium. The cultures then were incubated at 37°C for 48h, then ara-C was added to 8 cultures at 50 µmol/L and 8 other cultures at 100 µmol/L concentration 1h prior to combined NMRI and RF exposure. Ara-C was present during and after exposure until fixation. Colchicine at a final concentration of 4 µg/mL was added immediately after exposure, so it was present for 2h. Fixation and slide preparation was performed according to the conventional procedure. Two hundred to four hundred metaphases were analysed for each sample and aberrations were classified as chromosome type aberrations (breaks, dicentrics and rings) for unstimulated lymphocytes and chromatid type aberrations (deletion and exchanges) for stimulated lymphocytes in G2 phase. Mitotic indices were obtained by counting 3000 cells per each sample under 40× objective magnification. The significance of any intergroup differences in the number of chromosome aberrations were statistically evaluated by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Student's t-test.

Exposure to a magnetic field and radio frequency waves

Each sample was placed in a separate plastic tube exposed to a magnetic field of 1.5T alone or in the presence of ara-C using MRI equipment (Vista, Picker), and to radiofrequency waves (63.86 MHz, specific absorption rate, SAR 0.4 W/kg). Samples were placed in the center of the magnetic field and the combined effect of MRI and RF was studied for 30 min and 60 min respectively under exposure protocol of T1 weighted and spin echo technique with a repetition (TR) of 600 msec and time echo (TE) of 20 msec.

In vivo effects of NMRI on G0 lymphocytes

In order to compare the effects of NMRI on human peripheral lymphocytes *in vivo* and *in vitro*, blood samples were obtained from 6 male patients admitted to a spine imaging cage just before and after NMRI exposure. Patients were exposed for 30 minutes in an identical condition. Lymphocytes were cultured as described above for unstimulated lymphocytes and 100 mitoses were analysed for each sample.

	No. of cells analysed*	Chromosome aberrations			Mean aberrations/
		Isogaps	Deletions	Exchanges	100 cells <u>+</u> SE
In Vivo: **					
Samples before					
NMRI exposure	600	1	2	1	0.66 <u>+</u> 0.33
Samples after					
NMRI exposure	600	2	1	1	0.66 <u>+</u> 0.33
In Vitro:					
Control	200	1	0	0	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.5
NMRI 60 min.	200	0	1	0	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.5
Ara-C 50 µmol/L	200	0	1	3 dic.	2.0 <u>+</u> 1
Ara-C 50 µmol/L	+				
NMRI 60 min.	200	0	1	3 dic.	2.0 <u>+</u> 1
Ara-C 100 µmol/I	200	0	0	4 dic.+ 1 rin	2.5 <u>+</u> 1.1
Ara-C 100 µmol/I	L+				-
NMRI 60 min	200	.0	0	3 dic. + 2	rings 2.5 <u>+</u> 1.1

Table I. Frequency of chromosome type aberrations in G0 lymphocytes following exposure to NMRI in the presence or absence of ara-C.

*Pooled data of two independent experiments.

Errors are standard errors of mean values.

**Pooled data from 6 different patients admitted for NMR imaging just prior to or just after exposure to NMRI.

RESULTS

Study of G0 lymphocytes

As shown in Table I, exposure of G0 lymphocytes to NMRI for 60 minutes exposure time-two times that used in routine clinical practice-did not increase the frequency of chromosomal aberrations above the control level. On the other hand some samples were treated with ara-C three hours prior to exposure with NMRI and then underwent exposure. Although ara-C alone causes chromosomal aberrations in unstimulated lymphocytes in low frequency, the frequency was significantly low to not be considered as an increase in aberration.²⁰ Indeed exposure of NMRI in the presence of 50 and 100 μ mol/L ara-C did not enhance the yield of chromosomal aberrations and was not statistically significant (*p*= 0.351) (Table I).

Study of G2 lymphocytes

Results are shown in Table II. Exposure of G2 lympho-

cytes to NMRI for 30 and 60 minutes did not produce any chromosomal aberrations above the control level. Ara-C alone at 50 µmol/L significantly increased the chromatid type aberrations as compared with control groups (p < 0.05). Chromosomal aberrations induced by ara-C alone at 100 µmol/L was higher than 50 µmol/L (16.25 versus 12.25 per 100 cells). This indicates the clastogenic effects of ara-C on lymphocytes at the G2 phase of the cell cycle. Exposure of G2 cells to NMRI for 30 and 60 minutes in the presence of 50 µmol/L ara-C did not increase the frequency of chromosomal aberrations induced by ara-C alone (p=0.337). Similar results were obtained for 100 µmol/L ara-C treated cells after exposure to NMRI for 30 and 60 minutes. Our results also indicate that there was no significant difference between the frequency of induced chromosomal aberrations by ara-C alone and those samples exposed to NMRI in the presence of ara-C (p=0.7836). Although mitotic indices scored for ara-C treated samples and exposed to NMRI in the presence of ara-C are significantly different (p < 0.05), exposure

Treatment No	. of cells	Chromatid Aberrations			Mean a berrations/	Mean Mitotic Index/
		tGaps	Deletions	Exchanges	100 cells+SE	1000 cells <u>+</u> SE
Control (Untreated)	400	0	0	2	0.5 <u>+0.35</u>	2.3 <u>+</u> 0.54
NMRI 30 minutes	200	0	0	1	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.5	3.1 <u>+</u> 0.49
NMRI 60 minutes	200	0	0	1	0.5 ± 0.5	3.23 <u>+</u> 0.35
Ara-C 50 µmol/L	400	0	38	11	12.5 <u>+</u> 1.75	0.98 <u>+</u> 0.33
Ara-C 50 µmol/L+						
NMRI 30 min.	200	0	22	3	12.5 <u>+</u> 2.5	1.92+0.7
Ara-C 50 µmol/L+						_
NMRI 60 min.	200	0	16	5	10.5 <u>+</u> 2.29	2.6 <u>+</u> 0.1
Ara-C 100 µmol/L	400	0	59	18	19.25 <u>+</u> 2.19	0.57 <u>+</u> 0.16
Ara-C 100 µmol/L+						
NMRI 30 min.	200	0	26	11	18.5 <u>+</u> 3.04	1.27 <u>+</u> 0.22
Ara-C 100 µmol/L+						
NMRI 60 min.	200	0	30	9	19.5 <u>+</u> 3.12	2.4 <u>+</u> 0.4

Table II. Frequency of chromatid aberrations induced in G2 phase of the cell cycle following exposure to NMRI in the presence or absence of ara-C.

*Pooled data of 2 independent experiments.

Errors are standard erros of mean values.

of cells to NMRI led to an increase in mitotic index (Table II).

In vivo exposure of G0 lymphocytes

No significant differences in chromosomal aberrations were observed in lymphocytes of patients before and after exposure to NMRI (p= 0.791) (Table I).

DISCUSSION

Exposure to NMRI for 30 and 60 minutes did not affect G0 and G2 cells and the chromosomal aberrations observed were not statistically significant in comparison with the control group. Increase of NMRI exposure time, about two folds that in routine practice (60 minutes) did not produce chromosomal aberrations above the control level. These findings are consistent with many previously reported results.^{2,3,6,23-26} However, in another study reported by Khalil and Qasem (1991), an increased frequency of chromosomal aberrations and cell death was observed following pulsed electromagnetic field exposure in human lymphocytes.²⁷ Study of human lymphocytes from patients exposed to NMRI for 30 minutes clearly shows that the cell response to NMRI is identical both in vivo and in vitro. When G0 lymphocytes were treated with ara-C alone, a significant number of chromosomal aberrations was not produced (Table I) as was reported by Preston.^{20,29} This result is inconsistent with the observation of Pantelias and Wolff for G0 lymphocytes.²⁸ Ara-C alone at 50 and 100 µmol/L effectively caused a high frequency of chromatid breaks and gaps but not exchanges (Table II). Preston²⁰ and Natarajan et al.³⁰ showed that inhibitors such as hydroxyurea and ara-C significantly reduce the frequency of exchange type aberrations in G2 cells by inhibition of the rejoining process of DNA strand breaks.

Following exposure of G0 and G2 cells to NMRI in the presence of ara-C, the yield of chromosomal aberrations did not differ with ara-C treated cells (Table I and II). It is shown that ara-C is able to increase the frequency of chromosomal aberrations induced by other physical agents such as X-rays either by the incision of DNA at sites of base damage^{20,21,31} or by the inhibition of repair of both single and double strand breaks.³⁰ If this is the case, then we may conclude that NMRI exposure at 1.5 T in routine clinical use might not produce such DNA damage in lymphocytes to be converted to chromosomal aberrations by cytosine arabinoside, at least in the first generation of cells. Because of controversial epidemiological or experimental findings, much remains unknown about the certain effects of MRI and RF.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are grateful to the head and the staff of the medical imaging center of Imam Khomeini Hospital, especially Mr Khaghani and Mr Shafiee for providing the NMRI system. This work was supported by Tarbiat Modarres University Research Council.

REFERENCES

- Brownweel GL, Budinger TF, Lauterbur PC, McGeer PL: Positron tomography and MRI imaging. Science 215: 619-629, 1994.
- Wolff S, Crooks IE, Brewen P, Howard R, Painter RB: Test for DNA and chromosomal damage induced by nuclear magnetic resonance imaging. Radiology 136: 707-710, 1988.
- Wolff S, James TL, Young GB, Margulis AR, Bodycote J, Afzal V: Magnetic resonance imaging: absence of *in vitro* cytogenetic damage. Radiology 155: 163-165, 1985.
- Gibbs S, Everette JR: Bioeffects of MRI. In: Partain CL, (ed.). Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Vol. II, Philadelphia: Saunders, pp. 1460-1466, 1988.
- Scarfi MR, Bersani F, Cossarizza A, Monti D, Gastellanti G, Cadossi R, Franceschetti G, Fraceschi C: Spontaneous and mitomycin-C induced micronuclei in human lymphocytes exposed to extremely low frequency pulsed magnetic fields. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 176 (1): 194-200, 1991.
- Scarfi MR, Lioi MB, Zeni O, Franceschetti G, Franceschi C, Bersani F: Lack of chromosomal aberration and micronuclei induction in human lymphocytes exposed to pulsed magnetic fields. Mutation Res 306: 129-133, 1994.
- Cantini M, Cossarizza A, Bersani F, Cadossi R, Ceccherelli G, Tenconi R, Gatt C, Fraceschi C: Enhancing effects of pulsed electromagnetic fields on lectin induced human lymphocytes proliferation. J Bioelectric 5: 91-104, 1986.
- Goodman R, Bassett CAL, Henderson AS: Pulsing electromagnetic fields induce cellular transcription. Science 220: 1283-1285, 1983.
- McLeod K, Lee R, Ehrlich P: Frequency dependence of electric fields modulation of fibroblast protein synthesis. Science 236: 1465-1469, 1987.
- Takahashi K, Kaneko I, Date M, Fukada F: Influence of pulsing electromagnetic field on the frequency of sister chromatid exchanges in cultured mammalian cells. Experientia 43: 331-332, 1987.
- Yen-Patton GPA, Patton WF, Beer DH, Jacobson BS: Endothelial response to electromagnetic fields stimulating growth rate and angiogenesis *in vitro*. J Cell Physiol 134: 37-46, 1988.
- 12. Coleman M, Bell J, Skeet R: Leukemia incidence in electrical workers. Lancet i: 982-983, 1983.
- Milham S: Mortality from leukemia in workers exposed to electric and magnetic fields. New Engl J Med 307: 249, 1982.
- Milham S: Silent keys: leukemia mortality in amateur radio operators. Lancet i: 812, 1985.
- Speers MA, Dobbis JD, Miller VS: Occupational exposure and brain cancer mortality, a preliminary study of East (USA) residents. Am J Ind Med 13: 629-638, 1988.
- Pearce NE, Sheppard RA, Howard JK, Fraser J, Lilley BM: Leukemia in electrical workers in New Zealand. Lancet i: 811-812, 1985.
- 17. Sorsa M, Wibourn J, Vainio H: Human cytogenetic damage as

a predictor of cancer risk. In: Vaino H, Magee PN, McGregor DB, McMicheal AJ, (eds.), Mechanisms of Carcinogenesis in Risk Identification. Lyon International Agency for Research on Cancer, pp. 543-554, 1992.

- Bryant PE. Blocher D: The effects of 9-B-D arabinofuranosyladenine on the repair of DNA strand breaks in Xirradiated Ehrlich ascites tumour cells. Int J Radiat Biol 42: 385-394, 1982.
- 19. Iliakis G, Bryant PE: Effects of nucleoside analogues A-ara A, B-ara A and B-ara C on the growth and repair of both potentially lethal damage and DNA double strand breaks in mammalian cells in culture. Anticancer Res 3: 143-150, 1983.
- Preston RJ: The effect of cytosine arabinoside on the frequency of X-ray induced chromosome aberrations in normal human leukocytes. Mutation Res 69: 71-79, 1980.
- Preston RJ: DNA repair and chromosome aberrations: interactive effects of radiation and chemicals. In: Natarajan AT, Obe G. Altmann H, (eds.), Progress in Mutation Research. Vol. 4, Amsterdam, Oxford, New York: Elsevier, pp. 25-35, 1982.
- 22. Mozdarani H. Bryant PE: Cytogenetic response of normal human and ataxia telangiectasia G2 cells exposed to X-rays and ara C. Mutation Res 226: 223-228, 1989.
- Cooke P, Morris PG: The effect of NMR exposure on living organisms. II. A genetic study of human lymphocytes. Br J Radiol 54: 622-625, 1981.
- 24. Yamazaki E, Matsubara S, Yamada I: Effect of Gd-DTPA and/ or magnetic field and radiofrequency exposure on sister chromatid exchange in human peripheral lymphocytes. Acta Radiologica 34 (6): 607-611, 1993.
- Murphy JC, Kaden DA, Warren J, Sivak A: Power frequency electric and magnetic fields: a review of genetic toxicology. Mutation Res 296: 221-240, 1993.
- Kerbach JJ, Meltze ML, Ervin DN: Influence of radiofrequency radiation on chromosome aberrations in CHO cells and its interaction with DNA damaging agents. Radiat Res 123: 311, 1990.
- 27. Khalil MA, Qassem W: Cytogenetic effects of pulsing electromagnetic field on human lymphocytes *in vitro*: chromosome aberrations, sister chromatid exchanges and cell kinetics. Mutation Res 247: 141-146, 1991.
- Pantelias GE, Wolff S: Cytosine arabinoside is a potent clastogen and does not affect the repair of X-ray induced chromosome fragments in unstimulated human lymphocytes. Mutation Res 151: 65-72, 1985.
- Moore RC, Hodgeson GS: Clastogenic effect of DNA synthesis inhibitors in cells exposed in G2. Mutation Res 120: 139-143, 1983.
- Natarajan AT, Darroudi F, Mullenders LHF, Meijers M: The nature and repair of DNA lesions that lead to chromosomal aberrations induced by ionizing radiations. Mutation Res 160: 231-236, 1985.
- Bender MA, Present RJ: Role of base damage in aberration formation; interaction of aphidicolin and X-rays, In: Natarajan AT, Obe G, Altmann H, (eds.), Progress in Mutation Research, Vol. 4, Amsterdam, Oxford, New York: Elsevier, pp. 37-46, 1982.

