Health literacy and its influencing factors in Iranian diabetic patients

Zahra Mohammadi[1], Arash Tehrani Banihashemi*[2], Homa Asgharifard[3]
Mehran Bahramian[4], Hamid Reza Baradaran[5], Mohammad E Khamseh[6]

Abstract

Background: Health literacy is the ability to obtain, read, understand and use healthcare information to make appropriate health decisions and follow instructions for treatment. The aim of this study was to identify the effect of various factors on health literacy in patients with diabetes.

Methods: 407 patients with diabetes older than 15 years of age were identified from the Diabetes Clinic affiliated to the Institute of Endocrinology and Metabolism (IEM) of Iran University of Medical Sciences. We assessed patients' health literacy using the Persian version of Test of Functional Health Literacy in Adults (TOFHLA) questionnaire.

Results: Mean age of the patients was 55.8 ± 11.3 years, and 61.7% the participants were female.. Overall, 18.2% of the patients had adequate health literacy skills, 11.8% had marginal and 70.0% inadequate health literacy skills. Male participants performed better than females ($p < 0.01$) and older patients had lower health literacy score than younger patients ($p < 0.001$). Furthermore, patients with higher educational and occupational levels had higher functional health literacy score than those with lower levels ($p < 0.001$).

Conclusion: Health literacy score in Iranian patients with diabetes seems inadequate. Therefrom effective interventions should be designed and implemented for this group of patients to improve diabetes outcomes.

Keywords: Health literacy, Diabetes, Influencing Factors.

Introduction

Health literacy is defined as "the degree to which individuals have the capacity to obtain, process, and understand basic health information needed to make appropriate health decisions (1). Health literacy consists of reading, writing, cultural and conceptual knowledge, the ability to apply numbers and decision making as needed to manage health situation (2-3). Recent studies found that health literacy is an important predictor of health behaviors, outcomes and self-care activities (4-9). It is noteworthy to add that there is a close relationship between poor health outcomes across chronic diseases and health literacy (10).

In 2014, the global prevalence of diabetes was estimated to be 9% among adults aged 18 and older (11). Based on a recent survey in Iran, the prevalence of diabetes has been estimated to be 11.3 % (12). Since diabetic patients need long-term care, health literacy can play an important role in the quality of

---

[1]. MSc. Rheumatology Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. mimina_13@yahoo.com
[2]. (**Corresponding author**) MD, MPH, PhD candidate of Epidemiology, Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Iran University of Medical Sciences & Rheumatology Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. tehranib@gmail.com
[3]. MD, Gynecology and Obstetrics specialist, Dezful University of Medical Sciences, Khuzestan, Iran. asgharifard@razi.tums.ac.ir
[4]. MD, Faculty of Medicine, Emergency Department, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran. dr_mehran_b@yahoo.com
[5]. MD, PhD, Endocrine Research Center, Institute of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. baradaran.hr@iums.ac.ir
[6]. MD, Endocrine Research Center, Institute of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. mekhamseh@gmail.com

the provided care. Those diabetic patients with a low literacy level had difficulty in understanding their conditions (13-14). Researchers have indicated that diabetic patients with low numeracy have higher BMI and cannot control their blood sugar properly. The most important factor is low self confidence in numeracy to control diabetes (15). Diabetic patients with inadequate health literacy are not able to read the information on drug boxes. Therefore, sight problems are increased among them (16). Illiterates pay significantly less attention to foot-caring and weight-control compared to the low-literates and literates (17).

Since little is known about health literacy in patients with diabetes in Iran, this study was conducted to identify the effect of various factors on health literacy in this group of patients in order to design better educational interventions.

Methods

Setting and Study Participants

The study was conducted in the Diabetes Clinic affiliated to Institute of Endocrinology and Metabolism (IEM) of Iran University of Medical Sciences. The study sample consisted of 407 consecutive diabetic patients who referred to the diabetes clinic. All patients were 15 years or older with type 1 or 2 diabetes. We excluded patients with vision or hearing problems. Ethical approval was granted by IEM Ethics Committee.

Measures

To measure health literacy, the Persian version of TOFHLA (Test of Functional Health Literacy in Adults) was used(18).

The first part of the questionnaire dealt with patients' demographic information (age, gender, level of education, occupation, marital status). The other two parts assessed their ability in reading comprehension and numeracy skill.

The TOFHLA takes approximately 30 minutes to administer. The TOFHLA and S-TOFHLA are timed reading comprehension tests that use the modified cloze procedure, in which every 5th to 7th word in a passage is omitted and replaced with a blank space. The patient should select a word to fit into the blank spaces from the 4 multiple-choice options provided for each space. The TOFHLA is scored on a scale of 0 to 100.Patients are categorized as having adequate, marginal, and inadequate health literacy by two point scores of 59 and 74.

The questionnaire measures reading comprehension ability and consists of three passages and 50 questions. All participants had 20 minutes to fill out the blanks with appropriate answers. The first part of the reading passage was about instructions for preparing upper gastrointestinal tract radiograph series. The second and third parts of reading passages were about patients' rights and responsibilities in insurance and hospital consent forms, respectively. The part of numeracy skill consists of some description about medication, the results of laboratory tests and appointment. After 10 minutes, the participants answered 17 questions based on cue cards. All the individuals signed an informed consent.

Statistical Analyses

Data were entered into SPSS (version 17). Chi square, ANOVA, and student t-test were used to compare mean values. P values less than 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

Results

Four hundred seven patients with the Mean±SD age of 55.85 ±11.3 years, ranging from 18 to 82 years were identified by the diabetes clinic as potentially eligible for the study. Among them, 251 (61.7%) were female, and 85.9% were married. There was a significant difference in educational level among genders (Table 1). Females had lower educational level compared to males (p<0.001), and 53.1% of them were housekeepers. There was a significant relationship between occupational status and educational level

Table 1. Frequency of education status in diabetic patients based on gender

Education Status	Male N (%)	Female N (%)	Both N (%)	p
Illiterate	14(9.0)	49(19.9)	63(15.7)	0.003
Incomplete school	73(46.8)	120(62.2)	193(48)	
Diploma	38(24.4)	52(21.1)	90(22.4)	
University	31(19.9)	25(10.2)	56(13.9)	
Total	156(100.0)	246(100.0)	402(100.0)	

Table 2. Characteristics of patients stratified by two types of health literacy

Characteristics	Reading Comprehension Mean±sd	p	Numeracy Skill Mean±sd	p	Total score Mean±sd	p
Male	17.9±16.8	0.07	29.2±14.0	0.001	47.1±27.9	0.006
Female	14.9±16.1		24.0±15.7		38.9±29.5	
Total	16.05±16.43	-------	26.0±15.3	-------		-------
Employed subjects	24.4±18.0	‹0.001	33.2±14.3	‹0.001	57.6±29.2	‹0.001
Unemployed subjects	16.5±18.8		27.5±15.7		44.0±32.0	
House keeper	12.3±14.9		21.9±16.0		34.2±28.1	
Others	17.69±15.8		29.14±12.6		46.84±25.3	
Total	15.94±16.42	-------	25.9±15.3	-------		-------
Married	15.9±16.1	0.6	26.3±14.8	0.1	42.2±28.2	0.6
Single	16.87±18.4		23.47±17.8		40.4±34.0	
Total	16.02±16.44	-------	25.93±15.3	-------		-------
Illiterate	0.0±0.0	‹0.001	0.0±0.0	‹0.001	0.0±0.0	‹0.001
Incomplete school	10.45±12.0		26.2±1.2		36.65±20.4	
Diploma	26.98±14.6		35.6±7.39		62.58±19.6	
University	35.19±12.8		38.25±6.82		73.44±17.3	
Total	16.0±16.43	-------	25.9±15.3	-------		-------

(p<0.001) (Table2). Health literacy score means were 47.1 and 38.9 out of 100 in male and female participants, respectively. Patients with higher educational level and employed patients had higher health literacy score (p< 0.001). Tukey's post hoc test revealed that employed patients had high health literacy compared to housekeepers (p< 0.001). Tukey's post hoc test have shown that patients with low health literacy level were significantly less educated than others (p< 0.001).

of the patients, 70% had inadequate health literacy level and 18.2% and 11.8% of the patients had adequate and marginal literacy levels, respectively (Table3). There was a correlation between the ability of reading comprehension and numeracy skill.

(Pearson correlation coefficient=0.61, p<0.001)

In this study, health literacy was not associated with HbA1c. The peak of health literacy was observed among the patients with the age range of 18-29. As shown in Fig. 1, adequate health literacy is associated with lower ages.

Discussion

In this study, 70% of diabetic patients had inadequate health literacy level, and 18.2% had adequate health literacy level. Nurss et al. have shown that among 131 African-American diabetic patients, 47% and 25% in new and old cases had adequate practical health literacy (19).

Based on a study conducted in Iranian

Table 3. Characteristics of Patients Stratified by Health Literacy Level

Characteristics	Inadequate N (%)	Marginal N (%)	Adequate N (%)	Total N (%)	p
Male	106(67.9)	14(9.0)	36(23.1)	156(100.0)	0.07
Female	179(71.3)	34(13.5)	38(15.1)	251(100.0)	
Employed subjects	34(48.6)	9(12.9)	27(38.6)	70(100.0)	‹0.001
Unemployed subjects	8(66.7)	1(8.3)	3(25.0)	12(100.0)	
House keeper	169(78.2)	24(11.1)	23(10.6)	216(100.0)	
Others	70(68.6)	13(12.7)	19(18.6)	102(100.0)	
Married	249(71.6)	39(11.2)	60(17.2)	348(100.0)	0.2
Single	35(61.4)	8(14.0)	14(26.4)	57(100.0)	
Illiterate	63(100.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	63(100.0)	‹0.001
Incomplete school	170(88.1)	15(7.8)	8(4.1)	193(100.0)	
Diploma	35(38.9)	26(28.9)	29(32.2)	0(100.0)	
University	14(25.0)	6(10.7)	36(64.3)	56(100.0)	

Fig.1. Distribution of Health Literacy in Age Groups

population, 57% of the participants had inadequate health literacy compared to the general population (18). It seems that the diabetic patients have lower health knowledge. The level of health literacy was lower in female diabetic patients compared to the male. Also, level of health literacy was decreased with the increase in age. The peak was observed among the patients with the age range of 18-29.

In the present study, no association was found between health literacy level and HbA1c. Moreover, another study conducted on Iranian diabetic patients in 2007 found no significant difference in the level of HbA1C among the three groups of participants(17).

Trief et al. assessed the relationship between marital quality and HbA1c in diabetic patients using three questionnaires, Spanier Dyadic Adjustment Scale, Personal Assessment of Intimacy in Relationships Scale and HRQoL found no relationship between them (20).

Pala et al. used two generic quality of life instruments(SF-36 and WHOQOL-BREF) in diabetic patients, and HbA1c did not show any relationship with any of the domains of the instruments (21).

In the present study, there was a significant association between occupational status and health literacy level as

11. WHO. Global status report on noncommunicable diseases 2014. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2012.

12. Esteghamati A, Etemad K, Koohpayehzadeh J, Abbasi M, Meysamie A, Noshad S, etal. Trends in the prevalence of diabetes and impaired fasting glucose in association with obesity in Iran: 2005-2011. Diabetes Res ClinPract 2014 Feb;103(2):319-27.

13. Gazmararian JA, Williams MV, Peel J, Baker DW.Health literacy and knowledge of chronic disease. Patient EducCouns 2003;51:267–275.

14. Williams MV, Baker DW, Parker RM, Nurss JR. Relationship of functional health literacy to patients' knowledge of their chronic disease: a study of patients with hypertension and diabetes. Arch Intern Med 1998;158:166–172.

15. Cavanaugh K, Huizinga MM, Wallston KA, Gebretsadik T, Shintani A, Davis D, et al. Association of numeracy and diabetes control. Ann Intern Med 2008;148:737–746.

16. Schillinger D, Piette J, Grumbach K, Wang F, Wilson C, Daher C, et al. Closing the loop: physician communication with diabetic patients who have low health literacy. Arch Intern Med. 2003 Jul 28;163(14):1745-6.

17. ShahabJahanlou A,AlishanKarami N. The Effect of Literacy Level on Health Related-Quality of Life, Self-Efficacy and Self-Management Behaviors in Diabetic Patients. ActaMedicaIranica 2011; 49(3):153-158.

18. TehraniBanihashemi S, Amirkhani M, Haghdoost A, Alavian S, Asgharifard H, Baradaran H, et al . Health Literacy and the Influencing Factors: A Study in Five Provinces of Iran. Strides Dev Med Educ 2007;4(1):1-9.

19. Nurss JR, Baker D, Davis T, Parker R, Williams M. Difficulty in functional health literacy screening in Spanish-speaking adults. Journal of Reading 1995;38:632–637.

20. Trief PM, Wade MJ, Britton KD, Weinstock RS. A prospective analysis of marital relationship factors and quality of life in diabetes. Diabetes Care 2002;25(7):1154-8.

21. Pala T, Eser E, Ozmen B, Aydemir O, Boyvoda S. The eterminants of quality of life including treatment satisfaction in patients with type two diabetes mellitus: are different generic Qol instruments sensitive to the same determinants? Turkish J EndocrinolMetab 2004;8(3):91-9.

22. National Work Group on Literacy and Health. Communicating with patients who have limited literacy skills.Report of the National Work Group on Literacy and Health. J of FamPract 1998, 46(2): 168-76.

23. American College of Physicians: Position Paper: Ethics Manual, Fifth Edition. Section on Physician and the Patient (p.561). Ann Intern Med 2005; 142:560-582.

24. Coonrod BA, Betschart J, Harris MI. Frequency and determinants of diabetes education among adults in the U.S. population. Diabetes Care 1994;17:852– 858.

25. Glasgow RE, Toobert D, Hampson SE. Participation in outpatient diabetes education programs: how many patients takepart and how representative are they? Diabetes Educ1991;17:376 –380.

26. Nielsen-Bohlman L, Panzer AM, Kindig DA, Eds. Washington, D.C. Institute of Medicine: Health Literacy: A Prescription to End Confusion, The National Academics Press, 2004.