


## Effect of Lipid Profile Parameters on Noise Induced Hearing Loss

Hassan Amiri<sup>1</sup>, Amir Bahrami-Ahmadi<sup>2\*</sup> , Mohammad Hassan Nassiri-Kashani<sup>2</sup>, Mashallah Aghilinejad<sup>2</sup>, Elaheh Kabir Mokamelkhah<sup>2</sup>, Faezeh Mohammadi<sup>2</sup>

Received: 27 Dec 2022

Published: 15 Aug 2023

### Abstract

**Background:** Noise induced hearing loss (NIHL) is an irreversible occupational disease among industrial workers. Recent studies have reported that changes in some metabolic factors such as the serum level of sugar and lipids might have a role in suffering from NIHL among workers exposed to noise. We designed this study to assess the association between lipid profile changes and NIHL occurrence among noise-exposed workers.

**Methods:** This case-control study has been conducted according to noise-exposed workers registry data in one of the Iranian automobile factories between 2007 and 2017. We classified study workers into the NIHL and control groups. We assessed the impact of lipid profile parameters across the study groups using the independent samples t-test, chi-square, and regression.

**Results:** The mean serum level of cholesterol was significantly higher in the NIHL group than in workers of the control group ( $215.27 \pm 60.30$  vs  $204.49 \pm 63.69$  mg/dL;  $P = 0.041$ ). Moreover, the serum level of HDL was significantly lower in workers in the NIHL group compared with the control group ( $35.21 \pm 6.87$  vs  $37.43 \pm 7.28$  mg/dL;  $P < 0.001$ ). Although other lipid profile parameters (LDL, TG, LDL/HDL ratio) were higher among workers of the NIHL group, their differences were not significant.

**Conclusion:** A cholesterol level lower than 200 mg/dL is known as a protective factor and an HDL level lower than 40 mg/dL is an NIHL risk factor. More attention should be paid to controlling serum levels of cholesterol and HDL.

**Keywords:** Cholesterol, HDL, LDL, NIHL, Triglyceride, Lipid Profile, Noise Exposure

**Conflicts of Interest:** None declared

**Funding:** None

\*This work has been published under CC BY-NC-SA 1.0 license.

Copyright© Iran University of Medical Sciences

**Cite this article as:** Amiri H, Bahrami-Ahmadi A, Nassiri-Kashani MH, Aghilinejad M, Kabir Mokamelkhah E, Mohammadi F. Effect of Lipid Profile Parameters on Noise Induced Hearing Loss. *Med J Islam Repub Iran*. 2023 (15 Aug);37:88. <https://doi.org/10.47176/mjiri.37.88>

### Introduction

Noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL) is known as a gradually progressive hearing loss that occurs when one is exposed to a high level of noise for a long time at the workplace (1). It is estimated that nearly one-third of workers in European countries are exposed to high levels of noise (2). The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) has reported that in the USA, about 5.7 million workers in manufacturing industries are exposed to hazardous noise (3).

NIHL is one of the most common forms of hearing loss in the United States with a prevalence of 25% in adults (4). NIHL is an irreversible occupational disease and therefore prevention has a critical role in controlling NIHL among industrial workers. Recent studies have reported that changes in some metabolic factors such as serum levels of sugar and lipids might have a role in suffering from NIHL among workers exposed to noise (5, 6). Unfortunately,

**Corresponding author:** Dr Amir Bahrami-Ahmadi, [bahrami.a@iums.ac.ir](mailto:bahrami.a@iums.ac.ir)

<sup>1</sup> Emergency Medicine Management Research Center, Department of Emergency Medicine, School of Medicine, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Occupational Medicine Research Center, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

#### ↑What is “already known” in this topic:

The chance of developing noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL) is influenced by a few factors such as genes, diet, and healthy listening habits. Using appropriate hearing protection devices in workers exposed to high noise levels is the most important action to prevent NIHL, however, controlling other factors such as dyslipidemia may also affect the frequency of NIHL.

#### →What this article adds:

The serum level of cholesterol ( $>200$  mg/dL) in workers is independently associated with noise induced hearing loss. The serum level of HDL ( $<40$  mg/dL) in workers is independently associated with noise induced hearing loss.

most studies in this field have not provided sufficient evidence to create a causative relationship between lipid profile changes and NIHL occurrence. Therefore, more accurate methodological designs, case-control, or cohort studies are required for this purpose.

Local and national occupational health registers have been developed in recent years for recording occupational health variables to create more scientific practical occupational disease protocols and some studies were conducted using these databases (7-9). Audiometry and serum lipid levels have been recorded in Iranian medical occupational health examinations and we can design case-control studies using these data. Accordingly, we designed the present study for the assessment of the association between lipid profile changes and NIHL occurrence among noise-exposed workers.

### Methods

The present case control study has been conducted according to recorded data from the annual occupational examination data registry in one of the Iranian automobile factories. We included all data related to male workers in the study workplace between 2007 and 2017. We included male workers with chronic noise exposure at least 85 dB for more than 1 year. Among the workers, those who were smokers, had any pathological ear disorders and acoustic trauma, diabetes, hypertension, a history of using ototoxic drugs in the last 3 months, and secondary jobs with ototoxic chemicals and noise exposure were excluded. Study protocols have been approved by the committee of ethics in Iran University of Medical Sciences and Health Services.

The level of noise exposure was a potential confounder, thus, we calculated the time weighted mean of noise exposure of the study population and matched the exposure level among the study groups. The control group was matched with respect to age, work experience, and the level of noise exposure with the case group. We assessed the audiogram findings of study workers during the study period and defined NIHL cases in our study population since the presence of notch in any of 3 KHz, 4 KHz, and 6 KHz with recovery at 8 KHz in their audiogram results. We classified study workers into the NIHL and control groups and collected demographic characteristics, including age, education, marital status, past medical history, and drug history from the registry data of occupational medicine annual examination and history. We computed the mean serum level of lipid profile (cholesterol, triglyceride, HDL, and LDL) among the study population during the study period to assess the impact of lipid profile changes among the study groups. In the laboratory findings report, we considered serum levels of triglyceride and cholesterol higher than 150 mg/dL and 200 mg/dL and serum levels of LDL higher than 100 mg/dL and HDL lower than 40 mg/dL as lipid profile impaired.

### Statistical Analysis

The study data were analyzed using the statistical software SPSS Version. 22.0. Mean and standard deviation were used to report quantitative data, and frequency and

percentage were used for qualitative data. For the comparison of quantitative and qualitative variables between the NIHL group and the control group, we used independent t-tests and chi-square tests. We used the odds ratio to assess the effects of lipid profile parameters across study groups. All statistical tests were considered significant, when  $P < 0.05$ . Logistic regression model analysis was performed to control the confounding variables. We included NIHL occurrence as a dependent dichotomous variable and age, work experience, and BMI as quantitative variables, and serum levels of TG, cholesterol, LDL, HDL, and LDL/HDL ratio as categorical variables in the regression model. All  $P$  values in the final regression model output less than 0.05 were assumed as significant results and related variables were recognized as NIHL independent predictors.

### Results

According to study inclusion criteria, data related to 606 workers were used in the statistical analysis. The mean of age and work experience between study workers were  $35.26 \pm 6.8$  years (range: 21-50) and  $5.14 \pm 3.04$  years (range: 1-17), respectively. Among study workers, 564 (93.1%) were married and 108 (17.8%) had secondary jobs. The educational level in half of workers was high school diploma (47.5%). The mean of body mass index (BMI) among workers was  $23.18 \pm 4.06$  ( $17.35$ - $33.9$ )  $\text{kg/m}^2$ . Accordingly, the BMI of 322 (53.14%) workers was in a normal range ( $\text{BMI} < 25$ ). According to findings of audiometry, 218 (35.97%) workers had NIHL and were allocated in the NIHL group and 388 (64.03%) did not have NIHL and belonged to the control group. The mean age among workers in the NIHL and control group did not have a significant difference ( $37.65 \pm 7.65$  vs  $38.47 \pm 7.54$ ;  $P = 0.784$ ). The mean work experience among workers in the NIHL and control groups did not have a significant difference ( $6.46 \pm 2.89$  vs  $6.86 \pm 3.54$ ;  $P = 0.122$ ).

Although the mean serum level of triglyceride among the NIHL group was higher than the control group, the noted difference was not significant ( $165.93 \pm 64.89$  vs  $160.80 \pm 71.17$   $\text{mg/dL}$ ;  $P = 0.379$ ). Average serum level of cholesterol among workers in NIHL group was significantly higher than control group ( $215.27 \pm 60.30$  vs  $204.49 \pm 63.69$   $\text{mg/dL}$ ;  $P = 0.041$ ). The mean serum level of LDL among the NIHL and control groups did not have significant differences ( $108.72 \pm 35.10$  vs  $108.63 \pm 33.38$   $\text{mg/dL}$ ;  $P = 0.977$ ). The mean serum level of HDL among workers in NIHL group was significantly lower than those in the control group ( $35.21 \pm 6.87$  vs  $37.43 \pm 7.28$   $\text{mg/dL}$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ).

Among the study workers, the prevalence of hypocholesteremia among workers of the NIHL group was significantly higher than workers of the control group (300, 40.1% vs 96, 53.3%;  $P = 0.001$ ). Workers with hypocholesteremia had a higher chance of NIHL compared with workers of the control group (1.71, CI95%: 1.23-2.37). The prevalence of hypertriglyceridemia had no significant difference between workers of the 2 groups (358, 47.8% vs 98, 54.4%;  $P = 0.113$ ). The prevalence of LDL was similar among workers of the NIHL and control groups (325, 43.4% vs 87, 48.3%;  $P = 0.228$ ). The prevalence of high HDL ( $>40\text{mg/dL}$ ) was

**Table 1.** Comparing lipid profile impaired among workers of NIHL and control groups

Study variable		Case (NIHL+)	Control (NIHL-)	P-value	Odds ratio (CI 95%)
Serum Cholesterol level	Normal	449 (59.9%)	84 (46.7%)	0.001	1.71 (1.23-2.37)
	Abnormal	300 (40.1%)	96 (53.3%)		
Serum triglyceride level	Normal	391 (52.2%)	82 (45.6%)	0.113	1.31 (0.94-1.81)
	Abnormal	358 (47.8%)	98 (54.4%)		
Serum LDL level	Normal	424 (56.6%)	93 (51.7%)	0.232	1.21 (0.88-1.69)
	Abnormal	325 (43.4%)	87 (48.3%)		
Serum HDL level	Normal	185 (24.7%)	23 (12.8%)	0.001	0.44 (0.28-0.71)
	Abnormal	564 (75.3%)	157 (87.2%)		
Serum LDL/HDL ratio	Normal	280 (37.4%)	51 (28.3%)	0.018	1.51 (1.06-2.16)
	Abnormal	469 (62.6%)	129 (71.7%)		

**Table 2.** Results of logistic regression analysis in our participants

variable	EXP (β)	Standard Error	P-value	95.0% C.I. for EXP (B)	
				lower	Upper
BMI	0.998	0.02	0.942	0.96	1.04
TG<150 mg/dl	1.12	0.22	0.608	0.72	1.71
Cholesterol<200 mg/dl	0.53	0.24	0.005	0.32	0.82
LDL<100 mg/dl	1.25	0.21	0.256	0.83	2.08
HDL<40 mg/dl	2.11	0.28	0.006	1.24	3.67
LDL-HDL ratio<2.5	1.05	0.23	0.842	0.64	1.73
Constant	0.07	0.68	0.000	-	-

significantly lower among workers of the NIHL group compared with the control group (157, 87.2% vs 564, 75.3%;  $P = 0.001$ ). Workers with high HDL levels had a lower chance of NIHL compared with workers in the control group (0.44, CI95%: 0.28-0.71). Workers in the NIHL group had a significantly higher prevalence of LDL/HDL ratio compared with workers of the control group (469, 62.6% vs 129, 71.7%;  $P = 0.021$ ). On the other hand, workers with higher LDL/HDL ratios had higher chances of NIHL compared with workers in the control group (1.51, CI95%: 1.06-2.16) (Table 1).

Regression analysis showed that after including the study variables that had univariate  $P < 0.2$  into the model, only serum cholesterol levels  $< 200$  mg/dL and serum HDL levels  $< 40$  mg/dl were reminded in the model and were recognized as independent NIHL predictors. People with total cholesterol levels  $> 200$  had 1.88 times more chances of NIHL and people with HDL  $< 40$  had 2.11 times more chances of NIHL (Table 2).

## Discussion

Findings of the present study on the male workers of an Iranian automobile factory showed that among workers with NIHL, the mean serum level of cholesterol was significantly higher than workers in the control group (without NIHL). Moreover, the serum level of HDL was significantly lower in workers of the NIHL group compared with those in the control group. Although other lipid profile parameters (LDL, TG, LDL/HDL ratio) were higher among workers of the NIHL group, their differences were not statistically significant.

In the general population, there are few studies in the literature that found a relationship between hearing loss and high levels of blood lipids. For instance, Axelsson and Lindgren in their study, examined hearing loss among 78 people with cholesterol levels  $> 270$  mg/dL and 75 people with serum cholesterol levels  $< 216$  mg/dL and reported that higher levels of serum cholesterol was associated with

hearing loss (10). In another study, Fuortes et al reported that hearing loss among 665 university worker was associated with high serum cholesterol levels (11). Contrary to the above findings, Chang et al reported a weak association between high levels of serum triglycerides and hearing loss, nonetheless, in their study there was no correlation between high cholesterol levels and hearing loss among 4071 participants who underwent health checkups (12). We can therefore conclude that the impact of lipid profile on hearing loss among the general population is a controversial topic and needs to be further studied to confirm or reject this relationship.

There is a hypothesis on the pathophysiology of high lipid profile parameters in hearing loss. Dyslipidemia can affect the microcirculation in the organ of Corti, which can lead to hearing loss. Since the organ of Corti has a high blood supply, hearing loss is associated with reduced local blood flow and the formation of free radicals. It seems that we had a multifactorial phenomenon as hearing loss and some of causing factors might overlap their impacts and decrease their effects in their interactions (13).

In occupational settings, in a study by Doosti et al, workers with hypertriglyceridemia who were exposed to noise, had more chance having NIHL compared with exposed workers with normal triglyceride levels (14). Demir et al conducted their study on 456 noise-exposed ( $\geq 85$  Db) and control unexposed workers to assess the relationship between lipid profile parameters and NIHL occurrence. They found no significant association between lipid profile parameters and NIHL occurrence (5). Arlien-soborg et al conducted their study to assess the relationship between noise exposure and serum lipid levels. They found that total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, triglycerides, and cholesterol/HDL ratios increased with workplace noise exposure, but after adjusting for the effect, the relationship was not significant (15). There are some differences between above studies and our findings. We think that some epidemiologi-

ical characteristics of our study such as study design, sample selection, and size might be responsible for the above differences. Therefore, we suggest that more accurate studies be conducted with higher sample sizes for approving or rejecting the noted differences.

According to the findings of our study, cholesterol levels <200 mg/dL were known as protective and HDL levels <40 mg/dL as NIHL risk factors. We suggest that for NIHL prevention among the working population, in addition to using the Hearing Control Program (HCP), we need to pay more attention to control serum levels of cholesterol and HDL.

### Conclusion

Total cholesterol was significantly higher in patients with NIHL, and HDL was significantly lower in them. Our findings showed that total cholesterol levels <200 mg/dL is a protective factor and HDL levels <40 mg/dL is a risk factor for NIHL. We suggest that policymakers pay more attention to the diagnosis and treatment of dyslipidemia in workers with chronic noise exposure.

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Occupational Medicine Research Center, Iran University of Medical Sciences (IUMS) for technically supporting this study.

### Ethical Consideration

Ethical issues, including plagiarism, informed consent, misconduct, data falsification and/or falsification, duplicate publication and/or submission, redundancy, et cetera, have been completely avoided by the authors and the methods of this study were according to Helsinki declaration.

### Authors Contributions

In this study, H.A. and M.A. worked on the idea and design of the study. M.H. N.K. and E. K.M. collected and organized the data. A.B.A. and F.M. performed the statistical analysis. A. B.A. and F.M. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the editing of the article and approved the submitted version.

### Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### References

1. Rabinowitz PM. Noise-induced hearing loss. *Am Fam Physician*. 2000;61(9):2759-60.
2. Monitoring the state of occupational safety and health in the European Union pilot study; 2000.
3. Tak S, Davis RR, Calvert GM. Exposure to hazardous workplace noise and use of hearing protection devices among US workers—NHANES, 1999–2004. *Am J Ind Med*. 2009;52(5):358-71.
4. Carroll YI, Eichwald J, Scinicariello F, Hoffman HJ, Deitchman S, Radke MS, et al. Vital signs: noise-induced hearing loss among adults—United States 2011–2012 Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2017;66(5):139-44.
5. Demir MG, Aydin S. The effect of the cholesterol levels on noise-induced hearing loss. *Int Arch Otorhinolaryngol*. 2018;22(1):19-22.
6. Tan H, Lan NSR, Knuiman MW, Divitini ML, Swanepoel DW, Hunter M, et al. Associations between cardiovascular disease and its risk factors with hearing loss—a cross-sectional analysis. *Clin Otolaryngol*. 2018;43(1):172-81.
7. Nassiri-Kashani M, Kashani M, Khatami A, Ghafari M, Firooz A, Dowlati Y. Comparison of patch testing results between job related and non job related allergic contact dermatitis in Iran. *Iran J Dermatol*. 2014;17(2):49-53.
8. Aghilinejad M, Ehsani AA, Talebi A, Koohpayehzadeh J, Dehghan N. Ergonomic risk factors and musculoskeletal symptoms in surgeons with three types of surgery: Open, laparoscopic, and microsurgery. *Med J Islam Repub Iran*. 2016;30:467.
9. Bahrami-Ahmadi A, Kabir-Mokamelkhah E, Kuhpaizadeh J, Ghalichi L, Aghilinejad M. Prefer parameters of Occupational Health Surveillance System (OSS) in expert opinions of occupational staffs: a qualitative study. *Iran J Health Saf Environ*. 2017;4(4):866-72.
10. Axelsson A, Lindgren F. Is there a relationship between hypercholesterolaemia and noise-induced hearing loss? *Acta Otolaryngol*. 1985;100(5-6):379-86.
11. Fuortes LJ, Tang S, Pomrehn P, Anderson C. Prospective evaluation of associations between hearing sensitivity and selected cardiovascular risk factors. *Am J Ind Med*. 1995;28(2):275-80.
12. Chang NC, Yu ML, Ho KY, Ho CK. Hyperlipidemia in noise-induced hearing loss. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2007;137(4):603-6.
13. Fransen E, Topsakal V, Hendrickx J-J, Van Laer L, Huyghe JR, Van Eyken E, et al. Occupational noise, smoking, and a high body mass index are risk factors for age-related hearing impairment and moderate alcohol consumption is protective: a European population-based multicenter study. *J Assoc Res Otolaryngol*. 2008;9(3):264-76.
14. Doosti A, Lotfi Y, Bakhshi E. Effects of Hyperlipidemia on Noise Induced Hearing Loss (NIHL). *Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2016;68(2):211-3.
15. Arlien-Søborg M, Schmedes A, Stockholm Z, Jensen C, Kolstad H, editors. Noise exposure and serum lipid levels when adjusted for established risk factors. 10th International Congress on Noise as a Public Health Problem (ICBEN); 2011; London.