



# Examining the Completeness of Breast Cancer Pathology Reports Registered in the Population-Based Cancer Registration System in Iran during 2016 to 2018

Zeynab Moradian Haft Cheshmeh<sup>1</sup>, Afshin Ostovar<sup>2</sup>, Ali Ghanbari Motlagh<sup>3</sup>, Mohsen Asadi-Lari<sup>4\*</sup>

Received: 25 Sep 2023

Published: 29 May 2024

## Abstract

**Background:** Ensuring the comprehensive and accurate representation of data within cancer registries holds paramount significance across various facets of public health decision-making. This study delves into the evaluation of data completeness in breast cancer (BC) pathology reports within a population-based cancer registration system in Iran, spanning the period from 2016 to 2018.

**Methods:** Employing a retrospective and descriptive analytical approach, we harnessed secondary data extracted from pathology reports encompassing breast cancer diagnoses, which were duly recorded in the Integrated Cancer Information Management System database during 2016-2018. A total of 4000 pathology reports were thoughtfully selected from each of the three years. The spectrum of pathology information encompassed tumor type, site grade, size (T), and involvement of lymph nodes (N). Summary statistics were provided as percentages of categorical variables and mean with standard deviation of continuous variables. A comparison of categorical variables was performed using the Chi-squared test.

**Results:** The participants' mean age was  $51.8 \pm 12.5$  years. Among the 12,000 studied patients, 5744 (47.9%) were  $\leq 50$  years old, 5233 (43.6%) were aged 50-69 years, and 1023 (8.5%) were  $>60$  years old. The completeness of BC pathology reports varied for different variables. Interestingly, the completeness of these variables increased with older age groups. The proportion of specific tumor types differed significantly among age groups ( $P = 0.001$ ). Notably, the prevalence of invasive ductal carcinoma was higher in the  $\leq 50$  years age group compared to the older cohorts. Likewise, notable variations in tumor sizes were observed ( $P = 0.009$ ), with a higher prevalence of missing tumor size data noted in the age group  $\leq 50$  years. On the other hand, pathologic T stage also demonstrated age-dependent variations ( $P = 0.014$ ), indicating a higher prevalence of missing stages in the  $\leq 50$  years age group. Finally, tumor grade exhibited a statistically significant difference ( $P < 0.001$ ), with a higher proportion of grade 1 tumors observed in the 50-69 years age group.

**Conclusion:** Tumor grade had the highest completeness rate, while tumor size, pathologic T stage, and pathologic N stage had the lowest. Therefore, a good understanding of completeness of pathology reports, as well as improvement in the registration of stage, integrated system at the national level for BC is warranted.

**Keywords:** Completeness, Pathology report, Breast cancer, Iran

**Conflicts of Interest:** None declared

**Funding:** None.

**\*This work has been published under CC BY-NC-SA 1.0 license.**

Copyright© Iran University of Medical Sciences

**Cite this article as:** Moradian Haft Cheshmeh Z, Ostovar A, Ghanbari Motlagh A, Asadi-Lari M. Examining the Completeness of Breast Cancer Pathology Reports Registered in the Population-Based Cancer Registration System in Iran during 2016 to 2018. *Med J Islam Repub Iran*. 2024 (29 May);38:61. <https://doi.org/10.47176/mjiri.38.61>

## Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) stands as one of the most frequently diagnosed malignancies in females, with a projected count

**Corresponding author:** Dr Mohsen Asadi-Lari, [asadilari@iums.ac.ir](mailto:asadilari@iums.ac.ir) & [Mohsen.asadi@yahoo.com](mailto:Mohsen.asadi@yahoo.com)

1. Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
2. Osteoporosis Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinical Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
3. Department of Radiotherapy, Faculty of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
4. Oncopathology Research Centre, and Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

### ↑What is “already known” in this topic:

The rising incidence rates and the importance of accurate recording and reporting in breast cancer management are highlighted in Iran. Emphasis is placed on the critical role of pathology reports, involving a multidisciplinary approach for comprehensive patient care.

### →What this article adds:

This study analyzes a sample of breast cancer pathology reports in Iran from 2016 to 2018, highlighting age-dependent variations in completeness. Older age groups show higher completeness levels. Significant differences in tumor characteristics across age groups, including tumor type prevalence and missing data patterns, are identified.

of over 2.2 million new cases worldwide in 2020. Notably, BC ranks as the fifth leading cause of cancer-related mortality in women and also affects a noteworthy proportion of men. GLOBOCAN 2020 findings underscore an age-standardized incidence rate (ASIR) of 35.8 per 100,000 person-years for BC, constituting 12.9% of all new cancer cases (1). The trajectory of ASIR has exhibited a slight increase from 1990 to 2019 (2). Predictions for the year 2040 anticipate a surge in new BC cases surpassing 3 million, accompanied by over 1 million deaths, amplifying the impending disease burden (3). Distinct regional variations in BC incidence emerge, with East Asia reporting the highest incident cases and North America witnessing the highest ASIR attributed to BC in 2019. Notably, North Africa and the Middle East have experienced a significant surge in BC incidence over the past three decades, particularly impacting younger populations in developing nations (2, 4).

Of particular concern is Iran, where cancer holds the second rank among chronic noncommunicable diseases, and BC alone accounts for 21.4% of all prevalent cancers (5). Iranian females have experienced a remarkable rise in ASIR for BC between 1990 and 2019 (6). GLOBOCAN reports have further highlighted BC's ascendancy as the leading cancer type in Iran in terms of new cases, fatalities, and 5-year prevalence in 2020, posing a substantial public health challenge (1, 7). A comprehensive understanding of BC's distribution across provinces has been garnered through extensive studies in Iran over the past decades, revealing uniform incidence rates (8-16). Notably, a cross-sectional study rooted in Iran's cancer registry report identified the highest BC incidence in Isfahan, Yazd, Gilan, and Alborz province (17).

In the context of BC management, the accurate recording of surgical findings, injury identification, diagnosis, and prognosis plays a pivotal role in facilitating informed patient treatment decisions. A surgical identification injury report necessitates the documentation of cancer presence, type, grade, size, local extent, vascular involvement, margin status, and, at times, tumor markers. Pathological factors, including tumor invasion, prognosis, treatment responses, and outcome prediction, heavily influence treatment decisions (18, 19). Vital to the selection of optimal and effective treatment approaches is the comprehension of tumor spread and severity at diagnosis. This mandates that pathology reports be characterized by timeliness, precision, comprehensiveness, and utility. In addition to precision, the promptness and turnaround time of cancer pathology reports hold significance. The completeness of a cancer pathology report serves as a pivotal indicator of overall quality. Accurate presentation of these facets within a pathology report, alongside pertinent information for diagnosis and prognosis, fosters the selection of the most fitting treatment modality for the afflicted individual (20-23).

A comprehensive pathology report concerning breast surgical specimens assumes critical importance in discerning the benign or malignant nature of lesions, ensuring surgery's completeness, gauging the risk of cancer recurrence, and guiding the selection of suitable treatments (24-26). An adept breast cancer team involves a radiologist, surgeon, histopathologist, physician, and radiation oncologist. The

patient undergoes sequential evaluation by a radiologist, followed by a breast surgeon and subsequently a histopathologist. The surgeon and histopathologist's close collaboration is essential to furnish an accurate and all-encompassing pathology report for breast cancer surgery. Histopathological reports relating to breast cancer furnish oncologists with pivotal insights indispensable for patient care, allowing the determination of disease stage, prognosis estimation, future treatment strategy formulation, and outcome prediction. Within this multidisciplinary paradigm, the surgeon assumes a critical role, as the quality of a surgical pathology report hinges on its precision and comprehensiveness (27). Upholding international standards and quality within clinical laboratories assumes paramount significance. A breast surgeon's responsibility encompasses the procurement of appropriately labeled specimens, their comprehensive reporting, and engagement with histopathologists to yield meticulous analyses. The meticulous interpretation of surgical pathology reports by oncologists forms an integral aspect of patient management decisions (26). Furthermore, maintaining clinical laboratory quality entails satisfying physicians' expectations and providing surgical pathology reports that meet their standards (28, 29).

Given the absence of studies on this topic in Iran, this research delves into the completeness of breast cancer pathology reports registered in the population-based cancer registration system from 2016 to 2018. The study aims to scrutinize the efficiency of the pathology report registration system with the expectation that the identification of existing shortcomings will catalyze improvements in pathology report quality, thereby enhancing the development of tailored cancer treatment protocols.

## Methods

### Data sources

This study adopts a cross-sectional pathology-based approach to investigate patients with breast cancer. The dataset comprises 8940, 10091, and 12127 pathology reports registered in 2016, 2017, and 2018, respectively. The chosen sample size encompasses 12000 pathology reports. Employing a simple random sampling methodology, 4000 pathology reports were systematically selected for each year from the Integrated Cancer Information Management System, also known as Sima Cancer.

The primary data sources within the population-based cancer registration system encompass pathology centers, hospitals, and the death registration unit under the purview of the vice-chancellor of health. Pathology reports find their repository within the Integrated Cancer Information Management System (Sima Cancer), an entity established by the Ministry of Health, Treatment, and Medical Education. This system encompasses comprehensive patient details, including personal information such as name, surname, gender, place of residence, date of birth, and occupation, as well as insurance specifics such as insurance type, insurance number, and validity date. The registration of cancer case reports bifurcates into two segments: the first section pertains to tumor-related information, encompassing tumor type, tumor site, tumor size, maximum tumor diameter,

pathologic T stage, pathologic N stage, number of resected lymph nodes, number of implicated lymph nodes, surgical margin status, lymphatic vascular invasion, peripheral nerve invasion, gene mutation data, cancer grade, estrogen receptor status, progesterone receptor status, and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 status. The second section pertains to cancer registration sources, encompassing affiliations with universities and centers. The current study implements the Framework for Specialist Minimum Data Set Development for Specific Cancers in Clinical Cancer Registration to design and employ the minimum data set of the pathology report (28). Ethical clearance for this study was granted by the Research Ethics Committee of Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran (IR.IUMS.REC.1400.878).

### Completeness Assessment

The focal point of this study revolves around the assessment of completeness, achieved by ascertaining the frequency and proportion of available data within clinical pathology reports across distinct age categories ( $\leq 50$ , 50-60, and  $> 60$  years). The Chi-squared test emerged as the method of choice for assessing differences between these groups. All data were meticulously entered and subjected to analysis utilizing STATA 13.0 (StataCorp CLL, College Station, TX). Statistical significance was attributed to  $P$ -values below 0.05.

### Results

This study embarks on a comprehensive examination of surgical pathology reports concerning 12,000 individuals diagnosed with breast cancer within the period of 2016 to 2018. The encompassed age spectrum spans from 15 to 96 years, with an average age of  $51.8 \pm 12.5$  years. Notably, the highest frequency of age distribution was noted among individuals below the age of 50. Among the studied patients, 11,817 (98.5%) were females, while 183 (1.5%) were males. The analysis embraced 2,709 pathologies from government centers and 9,291 reports from private centers. Health insurance coverage was evident for 94.6% of the patients, while 5.4% remained uninsured (Table 1).

The scrutiny of surgical pathology reports originating from breast cancer patients revealed intriguing frequency distributions among the evaluated parameters. The disclosure of cancer grade demonstrated full coverage at 100% for all 12,000 reports. Notably, favorable figures were observed for tumor site (10942; 91.2%), tumor type (9575; 79.8%), tumor size (4427; 36.7%), margin status (4345; 36.2%), lymphatic vascular invasion (4200; 35%), pathologic T stage (3951; 32.9%), and pathologic N stage (3085; 31.7%), portraying limited instances of unreported information. However, variables such as human epidermal growth factor 2 receptor status (786; 6.6%), progesterone receptor status (1358; 11.3%), and estrogen receptor status (1370; 11.4%) exhibited substantial numbers of unreported cases (Table 2).

Upon delving into the relationship between tumor type, tumor location, and tumor size across different age groups, the highest frequency of invasive ductal carcinomas was

Table 1. Demographic characteristics (N = 12000)

Characteristic	N (%)
Age	
Mean $\pm$ SD; Range (years old)	51.8 $\pm$ 12.5; 15-96
$\leq 50$	5744 (47.9)
50-69	5233 (43.6)
$>60$	1023 (8.5)
Sex	
Female	11817 (98.5)
Male	183 (1.5)
Center	
Public	2709 (22.6)
Private	9291 (77.4)
Insurance	
Insured	11359 (94.6)
uninsured	641 (5.4)

Note: The frequency (percentage) of each variable was reported. Numeric variables were summarized using mean  $\pm$  SD and range. Abbreviation: standard deviation (SD).

Table 2. Tumor, and Laboratory Characteristics (N=12,000)

Characteristic	No. of Patients
Tumor type	9575 (79.8)*
Tumor size	4427 (36.9)
Tumor site	10942 (91.2)
Tumor grade	12000 (100.0)
Pathologic T category	3951 (32.9)
Pathologic N category	3085 (31.7)
Lymph vascular invasion	4200 (35.0)
Perineural invasion	3429 (28.6)
Margin status	4345 (36.2)
ER status	1370 (11.4)
PR status	1358 (11.3)
Her2 status	786 (6.6)

\*Number (%)

Abbreviations: ER, Estrogen receptor; PR, Progesterone receptor; Her2, Human epidermal growth factor 2

consistently observed in the age ranges  $\leq 50$  (3721; 64.8%), 50-69 (3415; 65.3%), and  $>60$  years (650; 63.5%). Similarly, the upper outer quadrant emerged as the predominant tumor site across all age groups, with respective figures of 4273 (74.4%), 3824 (72%), and 751 (73.5%). Conversely, the middle quadrant depicted the lowest frequency across these groups, constituting 23 (0.4%), 26 (0.5%), and 8 (0.8%) instances. The frequency distribution of tumor size revealed age-specific disparities, with the highest frequencies noted in age groups  $\leq 50$  (2018; 35.1%), 50-69 (1968; 37.6%), and  $>60$  years (395; 38.6%). A notable count of 2425 tumor types, 1058 tumor site descriptions, and 7573 tumor size specifications remained unreported. The correlation between tumor type ( $X^2_{(8)} = 32.72$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ) and tumor size ( $X^2_{(4)} = 13.43$ ,  $P = 0.009$ ) with age were statistically significant, while no significant association was detected between tumor site and age ( $X^2_{(12)} = 17.74$ ,  $P = 0.156$ ) (Table 3).

Evaluating pathologic T stage, pathologic N stage, and cancer grade across age groups, the highest frequency consistently aligned with the T2 stage (1124; 19.6%, 1109; 21.2%, and 221; 21.6%). In contrast, the T4 stage, indicative of skin and chest involvement, registered the lowest frequency across all age groups, manifesting as 27 (0.5%), 29 (0.6%), and 13 (1.3%) cases, respectively. Among all age groups, stage N0 (722; 12.6%, 699; 13.4%, and 130; 12.7%) correlated with the highest frequency of pathologic T stage, while stage NX (47; 0.8%, 50; 1%, and 8; 0.8%)

Table 3. Association between surgery pathology report information (tumor type, tumor sit and tumor size) and age groups during 2016-2018

Characteristic	Age			Total	X <sup>2</sup>	P-Value
	≤ 50	50-69	>60			
Tumor type						
Ductal carcinoma in situ	305 (5.3)	235 (4.5)	35 (3.4)	575 (4.8)	32.72	0.001 <sup>†</sup>
Invasive ductal carcinoma	3721 (64.8)	3415 (65.3)	650 (63.5)	7786 (64.9)		
Invasive lobular carcinoma	243 (4.2)	238 (4.5)	41 (4.0)	522 (4.4)		
Lobular carcinoma in situ	208 (3.6)	183 (3.5)	57 (5.6)	448 (3.7)		
Others	91 (1.6)	123 (2.3)	30 (3.0)	244 (2.0)		
Not report/ missing	1176 (20.5)	1039 (19.9)	210 (20.5)	2425 (20.2)		
Tumor sit						
central portion of breast	797 (13.9)	738 (14.1)	146 (14.3)	1681 (14.0)	17.74	0.156 <sup>†</sup>
lower inner quadrant	23 (0.4)	26 (0.5)	8 (0.8)	57 (0.5)		
lower outer quadrant	45 (0.8)	64 (1.2)	10 (1.0)	119 (1.0)		
Nipple	46 (0.8)	61 (1.2)	17 (1.7)	124 (1.0)		
upper inner quadrant	54 (0.9)	51 (1.0)	8 (0.8)	113 (0.9)		
upper outer quadrant	4273 (74.4)	3824 (72.0)	751 (73.5)	8848 (73.8)		
Not report/ missing	506 (8.8)	469 (9.0)	83 (8.1)	1058 (8.8)		
Tumor size						
Can be assessed	2018 (35.1)	1968 (37.6)	395 (38.6)	4381 (36.5)	13.43	0.009 <sup>†</sup>
Cannot be assessed	25 (0.4)	21 (0.4)	0 (0.0)	46 (0.4)		
Not report/ missing	3701 (64.4)	3244 (62)	628 (61.4)	7573 (63.1)		

<sup>†</sup> Chi-squared test.

Table 4. Association between surgery pathology report information (pathologic T category, pathologic N category and tumor grade) and age groups during 2016-2018

Characteristic	Age			Total	X <sup>2</sup>	P-Value
	≤ 50	50-69	>60			
Pathologic T stage*						
TX	23 (0.4)	19 (0.4)	0 (0.0)	42 (0.4)	31.10	0.014 <sup>†</sup>
T1	421 (7.2)	447 (8.6)	80 (7.9)	948 (7.9)		
T2	1124 (19.6)	1109 (21.2)	221 (21.6)	2454 (20.5)		
T3	232 (4.0)	167 (3.2)	39 (3.8)	438 (3.7)		
T4	27 (0.5)	29 (0.6)	13 (1.3)	69 (0.6)		
Not report/ missing	3917 (68.2)	3462 (66.2)	670 (65.5)	8049 (67.1)		
Pathologic N stage**						
NX	47 (0.8)	50 (1.0)	8 (0.8)	105 (0.9)	5.22	0.816 <sup>†</sup>
N0	722 (12.6)	699 (13.4)	130 (12.7)	1551 (12.9)		
N1	557 (9.7)	502 (9.6)	94 (9.2)	1155 (9.6)		
N2	320 (5.6)	293 (5.6)	66 (6.5)	680 (5.7)		
N3	148 (2.6)	144 (2.8)	22 (2.2)	314 (2.6)		
Not report/ missing	3949 (68.8)	3543 (67.7)	703 (68.7)	8195 (68.3)		
Tumor grade***						
Grade 1	2621 (45.6)	2625 (50.2)	531 (51.9)	5777 (48.1)	32.39	0.0001 <sup>†</sup>
Grade 2	1649 (28.7)	1420 (27.1)	280 (27.4)	3349 (27.9)		
Grade 3	1474 (25.7)	1188 (22.7)	212 (20.7)	2874 (24.0)		

<sup>†</sup> Chi-squared test. \*TX (Primary tumor cannot be assessed), T1 (tumor ≤ 20mm), T2 (tumor > 20mm but ≤ 50mm), T3 (tumor > 50mm), T4 (Any size tumor with skin or chest wall involvement)

\*\* NX (Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed), N0 (No regional lymph node metastasis), N1 (Metastasis in 1 to 3 regional lymph nodes), N2 (Metastasis in 4 to 9 regional lymph nodes), N3 (Metastasis in 10 or more regional lymph nodes or in ipsilateral supraclavicular lymph node)

\*\*\* G1 (low grade (score 3-5)), G2 (intermediate grade (score 6-7)), G3 (high grade (score 8-9))

represented the lowest. Remarkably, tumor grade demonstrated its highest frequency in grade 1 across all age groups, accounting for 2621 (45.6%), 2625 (50.2%), and 531 (51.9%) patients. Notably, 8049 patients lacked pathologic T stage reporting, and 8195 patients were without pathologic N stage documentation in the pathology reports. A significant statistical association was found between pathologic T stage ( $X^2_{(10)} = 31.10$ ,  $P = 0.014$ ) and tumor grade ( $X^2_{(4)} = 32.39$ ,  $P = 0.0001$ ) with age, although no substantial correlation emerged between pathologic N stage and age ( $X^2_{(10)} = 5.22$ ,  $P = 0.816$ ) (Table 4).

## Discussion

A population-based cancer registry serves as a critical tool to accumulate accurate and comprehensive information concerning new cancer cases within a specific population. In this context, developing countries rely on such

registries as a pivotal component of their health information systems. The assessment of data quality spans a spectrum of attributes, including accuracy, validity, reliability, accessibility, usefulness, confidentiality, completeness, comparability, correctness, and timeliness (30). The international pathology community has published guidelines aimed at enhancing the quality of pathology reports. Prior research from diverse nations has underscored the issue of incomplete pathology reports that lack essential information crucial for clinical decision-making (31-33). In Iran, the National Cancer Registry constitutes the primary source of cancer statistics, rendering an appraisal of data completeness crucial for accurate clinical decision-making and patient welfare. Within the scope of this study, a comprehensive evaluation of the completeness of pathology reports associated with breast cancer was undertaken. The findings revealed high proportions of completeness, particularly for

tumor grade (100%), tumor site (91.2%), and tumor type (79.8%). Notably, some literature has highlighted the efficacy of synoptic reporting and templates in fostering completeness within surgical pathology reports (25, 34).

A striking observation arose concerning the relationship between age groups and the completeness of key variables within pathology reports. The data indicated an augmented completeness trend as age progressed. This phenomenon could be attributed to the heightened vulnerability to breast cancer with advancing age, greater data availability for individuals beyond 50 years, and a higher disease prevalence within this segment, collectively contributing to improved pathology report completeness (35). On the contrary, the detection rate of breast cancer among younger women is lower compared to their older counterparts. Consequently, the assessment of data completeness is more intricate in this demographic due to limited research and data availability, exacerbated by the possibility of younger women being less attuned to breast cancer risk factors and hence less likely to seek medical attention or undergo regular screenings, thereby leading to incomplete data (36, 37).

It is noteworthy that the completeness of breast cancer pathology reports across different age groups is influenced by multifarious factors including data collection resources, population distribution within age groups, the nature of the collected data, cancer type, and the studied population. Factors such as data collection method—whether derived from medical records, surveys, or self-reported information—contribute significantly to the completeness of the data. For instance, data accuracy from medical records hinges upon the meticulousness of the records. Similarly, survey-based or self-reported data relies on participants' willingness to provide accurate information (38). Studies in the United States and the United Kingdom have exhibited divergent trends in the completeness of cancer data across age groups, showcasing the intricate interplay between these variables (39, 40).

Delving into the pathology reports, our findings revealed high levels of completeness for tumor grade (100%) and tumor site (above 90%) across all age groups. Correspondingly, a Brazilian study evaluating hospital-based cancer registries by Lopes-Júnior et al. reported notably high completeness for tumor site (97.5%), potentially owing to the objective nature of this parameter's interpretation (41). However, a different picture emerged for tumor size, pathologic T category, and pathologic N category, revealing suboptimal levels of completeness. This outcome likely results from a complex interplay of factors, including age, comorbidity, patient and physician preferences, and the alignment with prevailing clinical guidelines, collectively contributing to reduced completeness of pathology reports (42-44). This deficit in completeness has the potential to undermine clinical decision-making, strategic planning, resource allocation, and the validity of assessments (45, 46). Variability in the completeness of pathologic T and N stages across different studies is attributed to diverse factors including resource availability, data collection quality, and reporting systems (47). Some countries, such as Denmark and the Netherlands, have achieved pathologic T and

N completeness exceeding 90% for various cancers including breast cancer (48, 49). Ramos et al.'s study, however, uncovered pathologic T and N completeness exceeding 50% for breast cancer (47). In essence, comprehensive, reliable, and timely information is the cornerstone of effective decision-making and appropriate treatment provision, constituting integral components of a functional health system.

Several limitations warrant acknowledgment in this study. The presence of illegible handwriting in medical records introduces the potential for missing data, thus complicating the interpretation of observed disparities. The irregular and disjointed nature of documentation within medical records also poses a challenge to data interpretation. Additionally, while this study evaluates the level of surgery pathology report completeness, the actual validity of registrations remains unexplored. Lastly, the study's short duration precludes the execution of trend analysis on incomplete data. Despite these limitations, the study's national representation of the Iranian population makes it a significant contribution to the field, providing unprecedented insights into breast cancer pathology report completeness across age groups.

## Conclusion

To the best of our knowledge, this study marks the inaugural examination of the completeness of breast cancer data by age group in Iran. The findings underscore the importance of comprehending pathology report completeness and urge for improvements in stage registration for breast cancer. Furthermore, the establishment of a dedicated integrated system at the national level, capable of harmonizing data from hospitals, clinics, pathology laboratories, and death certificates, is essential to ensuring a comprehensive and accurate representation of breast cancer statistics.

## List of Abbreviations

BC: Breast Cancer  
ASIR: Age-standardized Incidence Rate  
ER: Estrogen Receptor  
PR: Progesterone Receptor  
Her2: Human epidermal growth factor 2  
SD: Standard Deviation

## Authors' Contributions

All the authors have contributed to the study design, data collection, data analysis, and manuscript editing.

## Ethical Considerations

This study was approved by the ethics committee of Iran University of Medical Sciences with the ethics code IR.IUMS.REC.1400.878.

## Acknowledgment

All the authors thank all those who contributed to this study.

## Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## References

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2021;71(3):209-49.
- Xu S, Liu Y, Zhang T, Zheng J, Lin W, Cai J, et al. The global, regional, and national burden and trends of breast cancer from 1990 to 2019: Results From the global burden of disease study 2019. *Front Oncol.* 2021;1789.
- Arnold M, Morgan E, Rumgay H, Mafra A, Singh D, Laversanne M, et al. Current and future burden of breast cancer: Global statistics for 2020 and 2040. *Breast.* 2022;66:15-23.
- Dolatkhah R, Somi MH, Kermani IA, Ghojzadeh M, Jafarabadi MA, Farassati F, et al. Increased colorectal cancer incidence in Iran: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health.* 2015;15(1):1-14.
- Kazeminia M, Salari N, Hosseini-Far A, Akbari H, Bazrafshan M-R, Mohammadi M. The prevalence of breast cancer in Iranian women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Indian J Gynecol Oncol.* 2022;20(1):1-9.
- Xu S, Liu Y, Zhang T, Zheng J, Lin W, Cai J, et al. The Global, Regional, and National Burden and Trends of Breast Cancer From 1990 to 2019: Results From the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Front Oncol.* 2021;11:1789.
- Montazeri V, Sadegh FJ, Hosseini-pour S, Mirzaei H, Akbari E, Ehsani M, et al. Reproductive risk factors of breast cancer among women in Tehran and northwest of Iran: a case-control study. *Iran J Epidemiol.* 2016;12(1).
- Ahmadi A, Ramazani R, Rezagholi T, Yavari P. Incidence pattern and spatial analysis of breast cancer in Iranian women: Geographical Information System applications. *East Mediterr Health J.* 2018;24(4):360-7.
- Rahimzadeh S, Burczynska B, Ahmadvand A, Sheidaei A, Khademioureh S, Pazhuheian F, et al. Geographical and socioeconomic inequalities in female breast cancer incidence and mortality in Iran: A Bayesian spatial analysis of registry data. *PLoS One.* 2021;16(3):e0248723.
- Rafieemaneh H, Zahedi A, Mehtarpour M, Zemestani A, Balouchi A, Aghaali M, et al. Cancer epidemiology and trends in North Khorasan Province of Iran. *Clin Epidemiol Glob Health.* 2018;6(2):51-5.
- Ataieinia B, Saeedi Moghaddam S, Shabani M, Gohari K, Sheidaei A, Rezaei N, et al. National and Subnational Incidence, Mortality, and Years of Life Lost Due to Breast Cancer in Iran: Trends and Age-Period-Cohort Analysis Since 1990. *Front Oncol.* 2021;11:561376.
- Tavakkoli L, Kalantari-Khandani B, Mirzaei M, Khanjani N, Moazed V. Breast cancer trend, incidence, and mortality in Kerman, Iran: A 14-year follow-up. *Arch Breast Cancer.* 2018;122-8.
- Ainvand MH, Shakibaei N, Ravankhah Z, Yadegarfar G. Breast cancer incidence trends in isfahan province compared with those in england over the period 2001–2013. *Int J Prev Med.* 2021;12.
- Khanali J, Kolahi A-A. National and subnational cancer incidence for 22 cancer groups, 2000 to 2016: a study based on cancer registration data of Iran. *J Cancer Epidemiol.* 2021;2021.
- Karimi Jaber M, zareei F, Karimi Jaber Z, Asadi Lari M, Solaymani-Dodaran M, Salarpour E. Survival rate of breast cancer and its related factors in Hormozgan province. *J Prev Med.* 2021;8(4):36-44.
- Abolghasemi J, Asadi Lari M, Mohammadi M, Salehi M. Effective factors in the appearance of metastasis in patients with breast cancer using frailty model. *J Arak Univ Med Sci.* 2013;15(8):85-94.
- Haghighat S, Omid Z, Ghanbari-Motlagh A. Trend of Breast Cancer Incidence in Iran During A Fifteen-Year Interval According To National Cancer Registry Reports. *Iran J Breast Dis.* 2022;15(2):4-17.
- Mehta S SA, Muthukaruppan A, Lasham A, Blenkiron C, Laking G, et al. Predictive and prognostic molecular markers for cancer medicine. *Ther Adv Med Oncol.* 2010;2(2):148-25.
- Ntiamoah P, Monu NR, Abdulkareem FB, Adeniji KA, Obafunwa JO, Komolafe AO, et al. Pathology services in Nigeria: Cross-sectional survey results from three cancer consortia. *J Glob Oncol.* 2019;5:1-9.
- Joseph AO, Li Y-H, Salako O, Doi S, Balogun OD, Awofeso OM, et al. A review of breast cancer pathology reports in Nigeria. *Ecanermedicalscience.* 2021;15.
- Yesufe AA, Assefa A, Bekele A, Ergete W, Aynalem A, Wondemagegnehu T, et al. Adequacy of pathology reports of invasive breast cancer from mastectomy specimens at Tikur Anbessa Specialized Hospital Oncology Center in Ethiopia. *J Glob Oncol.* 2018;4:1-12.
- Vallacha A, Haider G, Raja W, Kumar D. Quality of breast cancer surgical pathology reports. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2018;19(3):853.
- Srigley JR MT, MacLean A, Raby M, Ross J, Kramer S, et al. Standardized synoptic cancer pathology reporting: A population-based approach. *J Surg Oncol.* 2009;99(8):524-17.
- Gradishar WJ, Anderson BO, Balassanian R, Blair SL, Burstein HJ, Cyr A, et al. Invasive breast cancer version 1.2016, NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw.* 2016;14(3):324-54.
- Idowu MO, Bekeris LG, Raab S, Ruby SG, Nakhleh RE. Adequacy of surgical pathology reporting of cancer: a College of American Pathologists Q-Probes study of 86 institutions. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* 2010;134(7):969-74.
- Patani N, Martin LA, Dowsett M. Biomarkers for the clinical management of breast cancer: international perspective. *Int J Cancer.* 2013;133(1):1-13.
- Mamoon N, Hassan U, Mushtaq S, Sharif MA. Histopathology of breast carcinoma-an audit of 50 reports in Rawalpindi, Pakistan. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2010;11:169-72.
- Adyanthaya S, Jose M. Quality and safety aspects in histopathology laboratory. *J Oral Maxillofac Pathol.* 2013;17(3):402.
- Nakhleh R. What is quality in surgical pathology? *J Clin Pathol.* 2006;59(7):669-72.
- Teklegiorgis K, Tadesse K, Terefe W, Mirutse G. Level of data quality from Health Management Information Systems in a resources limited setting and its associated factors, eastern Ethiopia. *S Afr J Inf Manag.* 2016;18(1):1-8.
- Wilkinson NW, Shahryarinejad A, Winston JS, Watroba N, Edge SB. Concordance with breast cancer pathology reporting practice guidelines. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2003;196(1):38-43.
- Atanda A, Atanda J. Audit of histopathology reports for breast cancer in Aminu Kano Teaching Hospital. *West Afr J Med.* 2010;29(3).
- Ndlovu BC, Sengayi-Muchengeti M, Kellett P, Kuonza L, Cubasch H, Singh E, et al. Completeness of Reporting for Breast Cancer Data in the National Pathology-Based Cancer Registry in South Africa. *Journal of Registry Management.* 2021;48(2):54-8.
- Messenger DE, McLeod RS, Kirsch R. What impact has the introduction of a synoptic report for rectal cancer had on reporting outcomes for specialist gastrointestinal and nongastrointestinal pathologists? *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* 2011;135(11):1471-5.
- Angarita FA, Zhang Y, Elmi M, Hong NJL. Older women's experience with breast cancer treatment: A systematic review of qualitative literature. *Breast.* 2020;54:293-302.
- Brandão-Souza C, Amorim MHC, Zandonade E, Fustinoni SM, Schirmer J. Completeness of medical records of elderly women with breast cancer: a trend study. *ACTA Paul Enferm.* 2019;32:416-24.
- Wang Y, Gavan SP, Steinke D, Cheung KL, Chen LC. The impact of age on health utility values for older women with early-stage breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-regression. *Health Qual Life Outcomes.* 2022;20(1):1-13.
- Donnelly C, Cairnduff V, Chen JJ, Kearney T, Fitzpatrick D, Fox C, et al. The completeness and timeliness of cancer registration and the implications for measuring cancer burden. *Cancer Epidemiol.* 2017;49:101-7.
- Weir HK, Sherman R, Yu M, Gershman S, Hofer BM, Wu M, et al. Cancer incidence in older adults in the United States: characteristics, specificity, and completeness of the data. *J Registry Manag.* 2020;47(3):150.
- Wilcox Hagberg KV-S, C; Persson, R; Yelland, E; Williams, T; Myles, P; S. Jick, J. Quality and completeness of malignant cancer recording in United Kingdom Clinical Practice Research Datalink Aurum compared to Hospital Episode Statistics. *Ann Cancer Epidemiol.* 2022;6:1-15.
- Lopes-Júnior LC, Dell'Antonio LS, Pessanha RM, Dell'Antonio CS, da Silva MI, de Souza TM, et al. Completeness and Consistency of Epidemiological Variables from Hospital-Based Cancer Registries in a Brazilian State. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2022;19(19):12003.
- Gebreslassie A, Below M, Ashebir M, Gezae K, Chekole M. Enhancing health facility-based data quality and use for decision making at primary health care units to improve health service delivery of maternal newborn child and adolescent health, Tigray Ethiopia 2018. *Arch Community Med Public Health.* 2020;6(1):031-5.
- Andersson TM-L, Rutherford MJ, Myklebust TÅ, Möller B, Soerjomataram I, Arnold M, et al. Exploring the impact of cancer registry completeness on international cancer survival differences: a simulation study. *Br J Cancer.* 2021;124(5):1026-32.

44. Nguyen-Nielsen M, Frøslev T, Friis S, Borre M, Harving N, Sogaard M. Completeness of prostate cancer staging in the Danish Cancer Registry, 2004–2009. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2012;4(sup2):17-23.
45. Gimbel S, Mwanza M, Nisingizwe MP, Michel C, Hirschhorn L. Improving data quality across 3 sub-Saharan African countries using the consolidated framework for implementation research (CFIR): results from the African health initiative. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2017;17(3):53-63.
46. Li M, Brodsky I, Geers E. Barriers to Use of Health Data in Low-and Middle-Income Countries: A Review of the Literature. *Measure Evaluation*. 2018.
47. Ramos M, Franch P, Zaforteza M, Artero J, Durán M. Completeness of T, N, M and stage grouping for all cancers in the Mallorca Cancer Registry. *BMC Cancer*. 2015;15(1):1-6.
48. Ording AG, Nielsson MS, Frøslev T, Friis S, Garne JP, Sogaard M. Completeness of breast cancer staging in the Danish Cancer Registry, 2004–2009. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2012;4(sup2):11-6.
49. Sogaard M, Olsen M. Quality of cancer registry data: completeness of TNM staging and potential implications. Taylor & Francis. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2012:1-3.