Cosmetic surgical repair of contaminated wounds versus traditional loose approximation: Does it increase the rate of wound infections?

H. Khajouei Kermani, MD.¹, A. Afsharfard, MD.², M. Zeynalzadeh, MD.³, A. Najafbeigi, MD.⁴, P. Yavari, PhD.⁵, and, M. R. Kalantar Motamedi, MD., FACS.⁶

Department of General Surgery, Shohada-e-Tajrish Hospital, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Abstract

Background: The cosmetic result of the surgical scar has long been considered by surgeons as an important factor for patient satisfaction. On the other hand, there has been an old teaching that perfect closure of contaminated wounds increases the rate of infection. We decided to look into this matter and see if this is a fact or a myth.

Methods: In this prospective randomized study conducted on 200 patients with suppurative or gangrenous appendicitis, we closed the wounds with a cosmetic subcuticular suture of 4/0 nylon in 100 patients and in the other 100 patients the wound was approximated loosely with a few stitches of 3/0 nylon in vertical mattress fashion during a 14-month period.

Results: There was no significant difference in the rate of wound infection between these two groups.

Conclusions: This study shows that perfect closure of the wound with subcuticular closure, which gives a very good cosmetic result in comparison with traditional loose closure, does not increase the rate of wound infection.

Keywords: Appendectomy, Wound infection, Subcuticular suture, Vertical mattress suture.

contaminated wounds [1 2 5 0 10] There

	[1,2,3,3,10]. There
	has been an old teaching that when we
	close the wound completely for better cos-
Introduction	metic results, the rate of infection increas-
Infection remains the most common complication after surgical procedures on	es, so most surgeons approximate the wound edges loosely and allow drainage
complication after surgical procedures on	would edges loosely and allow drainage

^{1,3&}amp;5. Residents of General Surgery, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. 2. Associate Professor of General and Vascular Surgery, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

^{6.} Corresponding author, Professor and Chairman of General and Vascular Surgery, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences. Address: Shohada-e-Tajrish Hospital, Ghods square, Tehran, Iran, Tel: (+9821) 2278001-14, Fax: (+9821) 22721144. Email: Houman. kk@gamil.com

from between the loosely placed sutures [3,4]. Since this technique gives poor cosmetic results from which most patients complain [6,7], we decided to perform a randomized prospective study to see if this old teaching is a fact or a myth.

Methods

Over a period of 14-months between August 2005 and October 2006, 200 consecutive emergency appendectomies were performed at Shohada-e-Tajrish Medical Center. A unified surgical protocol was established. Appropriate fluid resuscitation was started on admission. Intravenous prophylactic antibiotics were given routinely just prior to the surgical procedure, in all patients with a diagnosis of acute appendicitis (one dose gentamycin sulfate (5 mg/kg/IV) and clindamycin (300-600 mg/IV), half an hour preoperatively).

A Mc-Burney incision was used. Appendectomy was performed with ligation and cauterization of the mucosal protrusion after stump ligature. The peritoneum and transversalis fascia were closed together in one layer with 3/0 chromic catgut sutures. Internal oblique and external oblique muscles each were approximated loosely with chromic catgut sutures. Before skin closure, the wound was irrigated copiously with warm saline solution.

Group I consisted of 100 patients aging from 8 to 41 years with a mean age of 21.3 years (77 males, and 23 females), in whom the skin was closed with subcuticular sutures of 4/0 nylon for better cosmetic results and in group II which consisted of 100 patients aging from 10 to 44 years with a mean age of 23.6 years, (84 males and 16 females) wound edges were approximated loosely with a few stitches of 3/0 nylon with horizontal mattress technique to allow draining and the exposed fat was covered with gauze.

We did not use any drain in either group. Antibiotics were continued for at least 24 hours or until they became afebrile postoperatively in all suppurative or gangrenous appendicitis cases. The temperature was recorded daily and the wound examined in all patients with special attention to redness, edema, swelling and discharge from the wound.

Suppurated wounds were opened, the pus drained, and left open until the infection subsided and then closed secondarily with nylon. All patients were reviewed in the outpatient clinic at 1 week and 2 weeks after discharge.

Results

In group I, acute appendicitis was found in 92 cases and in 8 cases the appendix was normal. The incidence of wound infection in group I was 4% (4 patients). Bacteriologic study in this group showed Escherichia coli and Streptococcus fecalis in the wound culture. Transient erythema and swelling of the surgical wound without discharge was noted in 10 patients, in none of whom any specific treatment was required.

In group II, acute appendicitis was found in 90 patients and in 10 cases the appendix was normal. In group II, the incidence of wound infection was 5% (5 patients). Bacteriologic study in this group showed E. coli, Strep. fecalis and Staphylococcus aureus in the wound culture. Transient erythema and swelling of surgical wound without discharge was noted in 7 patients and there was no need for antibiot-

MJIRI.Vol. 20, No.4, 2007. pp.158-160

Cosmetic surgical repair of contaminated...

ic treatment or drainage.

In both groups wound infection was noted 3 to 7 days after appendectomy. There was no significant difference between the two groups regard wound infection. It is noteworthy to say that the rate of infection following appendectomy reported in the literature is around 5% [1,8,11,12] and our infection rate overall was the same.

Conclusion

Considering the result of this study there is no superiority in loose approximation of wound edges in decreasing the rate of wound infection over perfect closure, we can have better cosmetic results with exact approximation and perfect closure of the wounds and there was no increase in the rate of infection compared with traditional closure. We therefore recommend this type of closure for all clean and contaminated wounds.

References

1. Brasel KJ, Burgstrom DC, Weigelt JA. Costutility analysis of contaminated appendectomy wounds. J Am Coll Surg1997; 184: 23-30.

2. Serour F, Efrati Y, Klin B, et al. Subcuticular skin closure as a standard approach to emergency appendectomy in children: a prospective clinical trial. World J Surg 1996; 20: 38-42.

 Fronkalsrud EW, Buchmiller TL: Reduction of wound infection in high risk surgical patients. Am Surg 1993; 59: 838.

4. Burnweit C, Bilik R, Shandling B. Primary closure of contaminated wounds in perforated appendicitis. J Pediatr Surg 1991; 26: 1362.

5. Seco JL, Ojeda E, Reguilon C, et al. Combined topical and systemic antibiotic prophylaxis in acute appendicitis. Am J Surg 1990; 159: 226.

6. Taube M, Porter RJ, Lord PH. A combination of subcuticular suture and sterile micropore tape

MJIRI.Vol. 20, No.4, 2007. pp. 158-160

compared with conventional interrupted sutures for skin closure. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 1983; 65: 164.

7. Foster GE, Hardy EG, Hardcastle JD: Subcuticular suturing after appendectomy. Lancet 1: 1128, 1977.

8. Christou NV, Nohr CW, Meakins JL. Assessing operative site infection in surgical patients. Arch Surg 1987; 122: 165.

9. Mangram AJ, Horan TC, Pearson ML, et al. Guideline for prevention of surgical site infection. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 1999; 20: 250-278.

10. Page CP, Bohnen JM, Fletcher JR, et al. Antimicrobial prophylaxis for surgical wounds. Guidelines for clinical care. Arch Surg 1993; 128: 79.

11. Margenthaler JA, Longo WE, Virgo KS, et al. Risk factors for adverse outcomes after the surgical treatment of appendicitis in adults. Ann Surg 2003; 238: 59.

12. Perencevich EN, Sands KE, Cosgrove SE, et al. Health and economic impact of surgical site infections diagnosed after discharge. Emerg Infect Dis 2003; 9: 196.