

Med J Islam Repub Iran. 2021(17 Feb);35.25. https://doi.org/10.47176/mjiri.35.25

Relationship between common interleukin 1-beta gene polymorphisms and the risk of gestational disorders: An updated meta-analysis

Mahdiyeh Harati-Sadegh¹, Saman Sargazi*²[®], Hamed Taheri^{2,3}, Narges Arbabi⁴, Ramin Saravani*^{2,5} [®], Shekoufeh Mirinejad2

Received: 11 Apr 2020 Published: 17 Feb 2021

Abstract

Background: To quantitatively estimate the relationship between IL-1β -511C>T, −31T>C, and +3954C>T polymorphisms and risk of gestational disorders.

 Methods: In this meta-analysis, eligible publications were searched in Web of Knowledge, MEDLINE, PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar databases (updated April 2020), using appropriate or relevant keywords. Case-control population-based reports were included if provided with genotypic frequencies of both studied groups. Statistical analyses were performed using the MetaGenyo web tool software, where a P value less than 0.05 indicated a significant association. For the assessment of between-study variations, heterogeneity analysis was applied with the I² statistics.

Results: A total of thirteen studies were included. We observed a significant association between IL-1β−31T>C polymorphism and reduced risk of gestational disorders under codominant CT vs. CC [OR= 0.74, CI (0.59-0.92)], and dominant CT+TT vs. CC [OR= 0.74, CI (0.60-0.91)] contrasted genetic models. The stratified analysis considering the disease type showed that the 511C>T variant, under the recessive CC vs. CT+TT model, enhanced the risk of preterm birth by 1.29 fold.

 Conclusion: Our results failed to support an association between two IL ‐1β polymorphisms, 511C>T and +3954C>T, with the overall risk of gestational disorders. In contrast, the 31T>C variant reduced the incidence of such diseases. Further studies are encouraged to get more precise estimates of effect sizes.

Keywords: Cytokine, Interleukin, Polymorphism, Pregnancy, Meta-analysis

Conflicts of Interest: None declared Funding: None

**This work has been published under CC BY-NC-SA 1.0 license. Copyright© Iran University of Medical Sciences*

Cite this article as : Harati-Sadegh M, Sargazi S, Taheri H, Arbabi N, Saravani R, Mirinejad Sh. Relationship between common interleukin 1-beta gene polymorphisms and the risk of gestational disorders: An updated meta-analysis. *Med J Islam Repub Iran.* 2021 (17 Feb);35:25. https://doi.org/10.47176/mjiri.35.25

Introduction

Gestational disorders are a heterogeneous group of conditions affecting pregnant women with unknown etiology

Corresponding author: Dr Saman Sargazi, sgz.biomed@zaums.ac.ir Dr Ramin Saravani, saravaniramin@zaums.ac.ir

- 1. Genetic of Non-Communicable Disease Research Center, Resistant Tuberculosis Institute, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran
- ^{2.} Cellular and Molecular Research Center, Resistant Tuberculosis Institute, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran
- 3. Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran
- 4. Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine Research Center, Resistant Tuberculosis Institute, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran
- 5. Department of Clinical Biochemistry, School of Medicine, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran

(1, 2). Notwithstanding, many of these disorders are caused by insufficient oxygen transfer and/or nutrients

↑What is "already known" in this topic:

Gestational disorders are a heterogeneous group of conditions affecting pregnant women with unknown etiology. Regulation of inflammatory responses seems to be etiologically crucial in the pathogenesis of gestational diseases. Previous studies have shown the correlation between IL-1 β gene polymorphisms and the risk of gestational disorders; still, the results showed inconsistency.

→What this article adds:

In this study, we observed a significant association between IL ‐1β−31T>C polymorphism and reduced risk of gestational disorders under CT vs. CC and CT+TT vs. CC genetic contrasted models. Our results failed to support an association between the other two IL-1 β polymorphisms, 511C \geq T and +3954C>T, with the overall risk of gestational disorders.

Downloaded from mjiri.iums.ac.ir on 2025-01-22

Interleukin 1-beta gene polymorphisms and gestational disorders

from mother to the fetus (3, 4). Pregnancy itself is marked by increased expression of inflammatory mediators, such as chemokines, cytokines, and pattern recognition receptors, which is often occurred during gestation (5, 6). During gestation, the balance between multiple cytokines is profoundly altered. This might trigger the production of inflammatory cytokines, which are mainly involved in adverse pregnancy outcomes (7). Through innate immune response, an organism reacts to possible perturbations in organ function, playing a substantial role in preterm birth (PTB), preeclampsia, recurrent spontaneous abortion (RSA), etc. (7, 8). Moreover, high levels of inflammatory mediators were detected in placentae affected by gestational diabetes, causing defective placentation (9). That is to say, several types of gestational disorders share a mutual phenotype of variability in inflammatory responses.

Cytokines are immunomodulatory proteins that are primarily involved in many aspects of pregnancy (10). As a multifunctional cytokine, interleukin 1 (IL1) is produced by villous syncytiotrophoblast of the human placenta during early pregnancy (11, 12).

Several genetic variants of the IL ‐1 gene contribute to altered IL ‐1 expression, causing impaired embryogenesis and abortion (13). In this regard, single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) located within coding or noncodingregions of the IL‐1β gene are well-studied. One wellstudied variant is rs16944, a C-to-T substitution variant that resided in the promoter region of the IL-1β gene. The other IL-1 β variant, rs1143634 (in the +3954 locus), is associated with an elevated cytokine production as a fourfold higher amount of IL-1β was observed in homozygous carriers of the T allele compared with the CC genotype carriers (14). Besides, rs1143627 is a TATA box polymorphism (genotype T/C) in the -31 locus of the IL-1 β gene (15). These SNPs are high-risk candidates, and investigating their effects will provide new information in developing novel treatment strategies for subjects with etiologically different conditions.

Regulation of inflammatory responses seems to be etiologically crucial in the pathogenesis of gestational disorders. Previous studies have established a link between IL ‐1β gene polymorphisms and the risk of gestational disorders (16-18). However, the results showed inconsistency. In this comprehensive study, we sought to explore a more accurate estimation of the relationship between three common IL ‐1β polymorphisms and susceptibility to gestational disorders.

Methods

Study selection

In this meta-analysis, we searched Web of Knowledge, MEDLINE, PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar databases for relevant reports (the last search on April 2020) and statistically combined the results of previous casecontrol studies. Keywords in the searches included "rs1143627 or IL-1β -31T or rs16944 or IL-1β -511T or rs1143634 or IL-1 β -3954 C/T" and "gestation or gestational or pregnancy or labor or miscarriage or abortion or preterm or preterm or preeclampsia or preeclampsia" and "polymorphism or mutation or variant or SNP or singlenucleotide polymorphism." Also, additional eligible studies were identified by the use of hand searching of retrieved articles.

High-quality case-control population-based reports were included if provided with genotypic frequencies of both studied groups. Cohort studies, review articles, conference abstracts, publication in other languages, low-quality studies, and duplicated data were excluded. If more than one different case-controls were reported in the same article, they were treated as independent studies.

Data extraction

Information including the first author's name, publication date, country, ethnicity, number of subjects in the studied groups, genotyping method, and the genotypic distribution of IL-1β polymorphisms (-31T, -511, and - 3954) in cases and controls were recorded from each publication. Data extraction was done independently by two authors (S.S. and M.H.S.) from all eligible publications. In case of disagreement, a third reviewer (N.A.) settled the discrepancy.

Risk of bias

The quality assessment (QA) was performed according to the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) as described previously (19), and scores are presented in Tables 1-3. Studies with a score ≤ 6 were regarded as "low-quality," whereas those scored > 6 were considered "high-quality."

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using the MetaGenyo web tool (20) and Stata v.12 software. The Mantel-Haenszel method was used to pool Odds Ratios (ORs) with 95 % confidence intervals (CIs) for estimation of the strengths of association under the allelic and different genetic contrasted models (21). Subgroup analysis was performed by disease type, ethnicity, and score of each study. For each study, deviations from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE in the controls) were checked using the Chi-square test. Heterogeneity analysis was applied with the I² statistics (>50% as heterogeneity) to assess variations between studies. When appropriate, the fixed-effect model (in the absence of between-study variation) or the random effect model (in the presence of between-study variation) was applied to pool the data from individual studies. Publication bias was estimated using Egger's tests. Analysis of sensitivity was also performed to assess the robustness of summary ORs.

Results

Study characteristics

Upon performing a comprehensive literature search, 22 reports of genetic association studies were identified regarding three IL-1 β polymorphisms and the risk of gestational disorders (16-18, 22-39) containing 7574 subjects (for IL-1 β -511), 2452 subjects (for IL-1 β -31), and 3092 subjects (for IL-1 β -3954). Eleven of these studies were performed on Asians, and eight studies were on Caucasians. Figure 1 shows the PRISMA diagram of the searching procedure. The characteristics of all included studies

http://mjiri.iums.ac.ir 2 *Med J Islam Repub Iran.* 2021 (17 Feb); 35:25.

Fig. 1. Flow diagram of the study selection procedure

are summarized in Tables 1, 2, and 3.

Eight studies rated low-quality and therefore excluded. Finally, a total of 13 studies were included in the metaanalysis.

Meta-analysis results of IL1- β -511C>T polymorphism

Eleven studies with a total of 2747 women with gestational disorders and 3436 controls were included to examine the correlation of IL1-β -511C>T polymorphism and gestational disorders risk. The Meta-analysis identified no significant link between the overall risk of gestational disorders and the SNP under different genetic models (Table 4). Subgroup analysis indicated an increased PTB risk under the recessive (OR 1.29, 95% CI 1.06- 1.58, p= 0.01; CC vs. CT+TT) genetic model. Besides, stratified analysis by ethnicity revealed that this polymorphism conferred a protective effect against susceptibility to gestational disorders under the heterozygous codominant model in Asians (OR 0.85, 95% CI 0.72-

0.99, p= 0.036; CT vs. TT) (Table 5).

Meta-analysis results of IL1- β -31T>C polymorphism

By pooling the results of 5 studies, including 660 cases and 1433 healthy women, we found a noteworthy link between IL‐1β −31T>C polymorphism and reduced the risk of gestational disorders under heterozygous codominant (OR 0.74, 95% CI 0.59-0.92, p= 0.01; CT vs. CC) and dominant (OR 0.74, 95% CI 0.60-0.91, p= 0.01; CT+TT vs. CC) models (Table 4). Stratified analysis by ethnicity revealed a significant decrease in the risk of gestational disorders under codominant (OR 0.70, 95% CI 0.55-0.89, p= 0.003; CT vs. CC) and dominant (OR 0.70, 95% CI 0.56- 0.88, p= 0.002; CT+TT vs. CC) contrasted models. In this regard, no remarkable association was noticed in Caucasian women (Table 5). Figure 2 shows the forest plot for the association between the IL-1β -31T>C polymorphism and overall risk of gestational disorders under the codominant heterozygous CT vs. CC contrasted model.

Table 1. Main characteristics of the studies included in the meta-analysis for IL-1β –511C>T

Study (year)	<i>Tubic</i> 1. Main characteristics of the studies included in the meta analysis for its Tp Genotyping method	Disease	Country	\sim 1 \sim 1 Ethnicity	Case	Control	Cases					Controls					P_{HWE}	$P_{HWE}*$	Score
IL-1 β -511C>T							CC	СT	TТ	C		_{CC}	СT						
Hefler et al. (2002)	PCR-RFLP	RSA	Austria	Caucasian	130	67	29	-90		148	112	20	38		78	56	0.17	0.52	
Wang et al. (2002)	PCR-RFLP	RSA	USA	Caucasian	131	72	65	49		179	83	21	32	19	74	70	0.35	0.53	
Linjawi et al. (2005)	PCR-RFLP	RSA	UK	Caucasian	206	224	69	117	20	255	157	85	110	29	280	168	0.48	0.57	
Sata et al. (2009)	RT PCR	PTB	Japan	Asian	73	341	26	27	20	79	67	86	162	93	334	348	0.36	0.45	
Ma et al. (2011)	PCR-RFLP	RSA	China	Asian	162	156	38	84	40	160	164	46	84	26	176	136	0.24	0.45	
Agrawal et al. (2012)	PCR-RFLP	RSA	India	Asian	200	300	13	86	101	112	288	15	126	159	156	411	0.11	0.51	
Schmid et al. (2012)	PCR and pyrosequencing	PTB	Austria	Caucasian	100	100	37	47	16	121	79	43	39	18	125	75	0.09	0.37	
Yilmaz et al. (2012)	PCR-RFLP	PTB	Turkev	Caucasian	100	101	41	52		134	66	35	36	30	106	96	0.004	0.05	
Kim et al. (2014)	PCR-RFLP	RSA	Korea	Asian	385	232	96	190	99	382	388	39	120	73.	198	266	0.38	0.45	
Wang et al. (2014)	PCR-RFLP	PE	China	Asian	232	447	52	98	82	202	262	125	214	108	464	430	0.38	0.45	
Awasthi et al. (2015)	PCR-RFLP	PTB	India	Asian	559	559	199	223	137	621	497	166	266	127	598	520	0.30	0.45	
Langmia et al. (2016)	Mass ARRAY	PTB	Malaysia	Asian	92	391	22	49	21	93	91	104	203	84	41	371	0.42	0.45	
Pereyra et al. (2016)	RT PCR	PTB	Uruguay	Hispanic	142	100	44	81		169	115	23	61	16	107	93	0.02	0.14	
Nasr et al. (2017)	PCR-RFLP	PE	Egypt	Arab	80	80		32	31	66	94	24	40	16	88	72	0.93	0.93	
Rahmani et al. (2018)	PCR-RFLP	RSA	Iran	Caucasian	100	100	35	43	22	113	87	40	42	18	122	78	0.24	0.45	
Wang et al. (2019)	PCR-RFLP	RSA	China	Asian	598	603	149	294	155	592	604	10	275	218	495	711	0.16	0.45	
Majcher et al. (2019)	Taqman	GDM	Poland	Caucasian	204	207	93	93	18	279	129	86	95	26	267	147	0.98	0.98	

RSA: Recurrent spontaneous abortion; PE: Preeclampsia; PTB: Pre term birth; GDM: Gestational diabetes mellitus; UK: United Kingdom; USA: United States; RT PCR: Real-time PCR. PHWE: The P-value of chi-square test for Hardycontrols; PHWE*: PHWE corrected for multiple testing by FDR method.

RSA: Recurrent spontaneous abortion; PE: Preeclampsia; PTB: Preterm birth; USA: United States; RT PCR: Real-time PCR. PhwE: The P-value of chi- test for Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) in controls; PHwE: PhwE: PhwE correc testing by FDR method.

[Downloaded from mjiri.iums.ac.ir on $2025-01-22$]

<i>Fable 5</i> . Main characteristics of the statics included in the meta analysis for 11 TD 19 +373 TC + 1 Study (year)	Genotyping	Disease	Country	Ethnicity	Case	Control	Cases						Controls				$P_{\rm HWE}*$	Score	
	method																		
IL-1 β , +3954C>T							CC					nη							
Reid et al. (2001)	PCR-RFLP	PE	UK	Caucasian		40				28		26	14		66.		0.18	0.37	
Ma et al. (2011)	PCR-RFLP	RSA	China	Asıan	162	156	l 24	38		286	38	130	26		286	26	0.26	0.38	
Mohajertehran et al. (2012)	PCR-RFLP	PE	lran	Asıan		50	28	20		76		28	20		76	24	0.49	0.59	
Galvao et al. (2016)	RT PCR	PЕ	Brazil	Hispanic	168	449		58	105	68	268	181	87	181	449	449			
Langmia et al. (2016)	MassArray	PTB	Malavsia	Asian	94	398	83					346	52		744	52	0.16	0.38	
Tavakkol Afshari et al. (2016)	PCR-RFLP	PE		Arab	153	150	80	57	16	217	-89	84	60		228		0.24	0.38	
Wang et al. (2019)	PCR-RFLP	RSA	China	Asıan	598	603	482			1075	121	474	121		1069	137	0.93	0.93	

Table 3. Main characteristics of the studies included in the meta-analysis for IL-1β +3954C>T

RSA: Recurrent spontaneous abortion; PE: Preeclampsia; PTB: Preterm birth; UK: United Kingdom; RT PCR: Real-time PCR. P_{HWE}: The P-value of chi- test for Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) in controls; P_{HWE}: P_{HWE} correc testing by FDR method.

Table 4. The pooled ORs and 95% CIs for the association between IL1-β polymorphisms and overall risk of gestational disorders

			Association test			Heterogeneity		Egger's test
	No	Genetic model	OR (95% CI)	D	Model		$I^2(%)$	
Polymorphism								
IL-1 β -511C>T		C vs. T	$1.07(0.91-1.26)$	0.39	Random	0.0001	70.44%	0.0002
		CT vs. TT	$0.90(0.78-1.04)$	0.14	Fixed	0.32	31.32%	0.15
		CC vs. TT	$1.15(0.85-1.58)$	0.39	Random	0.00	68.03%	0.0005
		CT+CC vs. TT	$1.00(0.80-1.25)$	0.98	Random	0.03	57.88%	0.008
		CC vs. CT+TT	$1.18(0.95-1.46)$	0.12	Random	0.0004	56.10%	0.011
IL-1 β -31T>C		T vs. C	$0.89(0.71-1.11)$	0.31	Random	0.04	60.10%	0.12
		CT vs. CC	$0.74(0.59-0.92)$	0.01	Fixed	0.30	17.30%	0.01
		TT vs. CC	$0.80(0.54-1.20)$	0.28	Random	0.08	51.94%	0.23
		CT+TT vs. CC	$0.74(0.60-0.91)$	0.01	Fixed	0.13	44.41%	0.02
		TT vs. CT+CC	$0.92(0.73-1.15)$	0.47	Fixed	0.16	39.31%	0.64
IL- β +3954C>T		T vs. C	$1.44(0.75 - 2.77)$	0.27	Random	0.0001	93.35%	0.88
		CT vs. CC	$1.79(0.82 - 3.91)$	0.14	Random	0.0001	90.95%	0.17
		TT vs. CC	3.37 (0.46-24.88)	0.23	Random	0.0001	91.53%	0.72
		$CT+TT$ vs. CC	$1.82(0.84-3.95)$	0.13	Random	0.0001	91.18%	0.16
		TT vs. CT+CC	$1.83(0.86-3.90)$	0.12	Random	0.07	63.05%	0.54

P<0.05 is considered as statistically significant (bolded P-value).

								ers susceptionny by type und culment,								
	No	OR	P	$I^2(\%)$	OR	P	$I^2({\%})$	OR	P	$I^2(\%)$	OR	P	$I^2({\%})$	OR	P	$\overline{I^2(}^\circ\!\!/\!o)$
		$(95\%CI)$			$(95\%CI)$			$(95\%CI)$			$(95\%CI)$			$(95\%CI)$		
$-511C>T$		C vs. T			CT vs. TT			CC vs. TT			CT+CC vs. TT			CC vs. CT+TT		
Type																
PTB	$\overline{4}$	1.09	0.16	θ	0.84	0.15	$\overline{0}$	1.15	0.26	θ	0.95	0.68	$\mathbf{0}$	1.29	0.01	6.35
		$(0.97 - 1.27)$			$(0.66 - 1.06)$			$(0.90-1.48)$			$(0.77-1.19)$			$(1.06 - 1.58)$		
RSA		1.12	0.44	78.05	1.04	0.7	15.88	1.26	0.46	76.02	1.10	0.62	62.80	1.24	0.33	68.27
		$(0.84 - 1.52)$			$(0.84 - 1.30)$			$(0.68 - 2.35)$			$(0.76 - 1.59)$			$(0.80-1.93)$		
Ethnicity																
Asian		1.00	0.96	70.28	0.85	0.036	32.64	0.99	0.96	68.68	0.90	0.36	56.69	1.11	0.42	60.39
		$(0.84 - 1.20)$			$(0.72 - 0.99)$			$(0.69-1.42)$			$(0.71 - 1.13)$			$(0.85 - 1.45)$		
Caucasian		0.80	0.33	78.75	1.26	0.27	$\mathbf{0}$	1.56	0.3	73.51	1.40	0.28	58.91	1.29	0.36	69.79
		$(0.50-1.26)$			$(0.83 - 1.93)$			$(0.67 - 3.61)$			$(0.75 - 2.59)$			$(0.75 - 2.34)$		
$-31T>C$			T vs. C			CT vs. CC		TT vs. CC	CT+TT vs. CC			TT vs. CT+CC				
Type																
PTB	2	1.08	0.55	59.71	0.92	0.70	$\mathbf{0}$	1.15	0.57	53.67	0.99	0.98	$\mathbf{0}$	1.23	0.53	63.56
		$(0.85 - 1.37)$			$(0.61 - 1.39)$			$(0.72 - 1.83)$			$(0.68-1.46)$			$(0.65 - 2.31)$		
RSA	2	0.83	0.14	24.62	0.83	0.36	11.18	0.70	0.15	17.29	0.79	0.22	33.59	0.78	0.26	$\mathbf{0}$
		$(0.65-1.06)$			$(0.56 - 1.23)$			$(0.43 - 1.14)$			$(0.55 - 1.15)$			$(0.51 - 1.20)$		
Ethnicity																
Asian	4	0.87	0.32	67.87	0.70	0.003	6.10	0.78	0.30	61.71	0.70	0.002	46.60	0.94	0.74	54.45
		$(0.67-1.14)$			$(0.55 - 0.89)$			$(0.48 - 1.25)$			$(0.56 - 0.88)$			$(0.65 - 1.35)$		

Table 5. Stratified analysis of IL1-β polymorphisms and gestational disorders susceptibility by type and ethnicity

P<0.05 is considered as statistically significant (bolded P-value).

IL-16 $-31T>C$, CT vs. CC

Fig. 2. Forest plot describing the meta-analysis for the association between the IL-1β -31T>C polymorphism and overall risk of gestational disorders under the codominant CT vs. CC contrasted model.

Meta-analysis results of IL1- β +3954C>T polymorphism

An overall analysis of 5 studies for IL1-β +3954C>T polymorphisms, including 1175 cases and 1756 controls, revealed no significant association between the SNP and risk of gestational disorders (Table 4) *.* Unfortunately, we could not carry out the subgroup analysis for this variant due to low genotypic frequencies.

Heterogeneity and publication bias

The Egger's test showed significant publication bias regarding −511C>T and −31T>C polymorphisms in some studied models (Table 4). Except for the codominant model of −511C>T and recessive model of +3954C>T polymorphisms, high degrees of heterogeneity was found between studies under the assessed genetic models of both SNPs $(p<0.05)$. As regards -31 polymorphism, heterogeneity was observed between studies under the allelic model (p=0.04).

Sensitivity analysis

To examine the effect of each study on summary ORs, a sensitivity analysis was carried out by deleting each study one by one in all inheritance modes. We found that ORs were not statistically influenced, which proved the accuracy of pooled results. Figure 3 shows the sensitivity analysis describing the correlation between the IL-1β -31T>C polymorphism and the risk of gestational disorders under the codominant CT vs. CC genetic model.

IL-16 $-31T>C$, CT vs. CC

Fig. 3. Sensitivity analysis was carried out to test the effect of the each dataset on the summary ORs between the IL-1β -31T>C polymorphism and risk of gestational disorders under the codominant CT vs. CC genetic model.

Immune imbalance leads to unsuccessful pregnancy (40). Aberrant function and expression of cytokines have a potential role in different pregnancy complications (41). The incidence of these complications is significantly higher in developing countries (42).

It has been well documented that polymorphisms could alter cytokine production levels and the strength of cytokine responses (43). The IL-1 α and IL-1 β , most-studied interleukins in pregnancy disorders, are proinflammatory cytokines that bind to the IL-1 receptor to rapidly initiate signal transduction and apply biological effects (44). The expression of the IL-1 cytokine family by the placenta in normotensive pregnancies highlights the role of this cytokine in pregnancy (45). A wealth of studies have reported the increased level of IL-1β in plasma of women with pregnancy disorders (29). Previous studies have examined the effect of IL1-β gene polymorphisms on gestational disorders. However, the reports for the association between $-511C>T$, $-31T>C$, and +3954C>T polymorphisms, as common IL1β SNPs, and various gestational disorders have shown inconsistent results in different populations. A meta-analysis of available data presents the precise estimation of the effects of the polymorphisms. Previous meta-analyses showed that IL1β polymorphisms affect the risk of various clinical conditions and cancers (46-48). However, to this date, no metaanalysis study has reported a precise correlation between IL1β polymorphisms and the risk of gestational disorders. Hence, in the current study, we combined data from the 13 genetic association studies regarding -511C>T, −31T>C, and +3954C>T polymorphisms and the risk of gestational disorders.

Pooled results from our meta-analysis revealed that IL-1 β -31T>C polymorphism reduces the risk of gestational disorders under codominant CT vs. CC and dominant CT+TT vs. CC genetic models. Besides, in the overall analysis, no noteworthy association was noticed between -511C>T and +3954C>T polymorphisms and the incidence of gestational disorders. By performing the stratified analysis by disease type, our findings showed that -511C>T variant decreased the risk of PTB under the recessive genetic model. Moreover, +3954C>T did not influence the risk of gestational disorders under the assessed genetic models. For counting the potential distracting effect of population admixture, we carried out subgroup analysis by ethnicity. We found the protective effect of -511C>T and −31T>C polymorphisms against the risk of gestational disorders in Asians.

Awasthi and colleagues carried out a meta-analysis to examine the correlation between IL1β-511C/T polymorphism and the risk of PTB (30). By including four studies (consist of 832 cases and 1101 controls) in the analysis, they failed to find a link between this SNP and vulnerability to PTB under the studied genetic models. Likewise, in the present up-dated meta-analysis, we pooled the results of 11 studies, including 2747 women with gestational disorders and 3436 healthy women, and found the same results. The first meta-analysis describing the correlation between IL1β polymorphisms and risk of RSA was per-

formed by Bombell and Mcguire in 2008 (49). By pooling the findings of three case-control studies, they failed to find a noteworthy link between -511C/T and RSA risk, although an association between -31T allele with RSA was detected by pooling trial results of two studies. Another meta-analysis by Agrawal et al. in 2012 revealed similar results for the lack of association between -511C/T and RSA susceptibility (three studies included) (27). In a recent meta-analysis, Zhang et al. pooled five studies (1052 patients and 915 controls). They observed a significant correlation between the -511C/T polymorphism and RSA incidence under the recessive genetic model (50). In our work, however, we found an enhanced risk of PTB under the recessive CC vs. CT+TT inheritance mode.

IL1 gene polymorphisms served significant roles in the development of gestational disorders. However, the findings of the former meta-analysis were controversial because of the limited sample size. Therefore, updated results can help to get more reliable details of gestational disorders' pathogenesis and find biomarkers for predicting their risk. Our meta-analysis had several limitations. First, gestational disorders are multifactorial, and gene variants cannot be considered the only underlying etiology. Second, we merely examined the role of the polymorphisms while their functional consequences were not evaluated. Third, we did not consider gene-environment interactions and haplotypes due to the unavailability of individual data in retrieved publications. Finally, heterogeneity was observed between studies, which might be due to the varied study designs, application of different genotyping methods, and ethnicity differences.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our results failed to support an association between two IL‐1β polymorphisms, 511C>T and +3954C>T, with the overall risk of gestational disorders. In contrast, the 31T>C variant reduced the incidence of such diseases. Further studies are encouraged to get more precise estimates of effect sizes.

Acknowledgment

The authors wish to thank Dr. Alireza Ansari Moghadam for his contribution to data analyses.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- 1. Teimoori B, Moradi-shahrebabak M, Razavi M, Rezaei M, Harati Sadegh M, Salimi S. The effect of GPx-1 rs1050450 and MnSOD rs4880 polymorphisms on PE susceptibility: a case-control study. Mol Biol Rep. 2019;46(6):6099-104.
- 2. Mohammadpour-Gharehbagh A, Eskandari M, Sadegh MH, Nematollahi MH, Rezaei M, Rasouli A, et al. Genetic and epigenetic analysis of the BAX and BCL2 in the placenta of pregnant women complicated by preeclampsia. Apoptosis. 2019;24(3-4):301-11.
- 3. Holland O, Nitert MD, Gallo LA, Vejzovic M, Fisher JJ, Perkins AV. Placental mitochondrial function and structure in gestational disorders. Placenta. 2017;54:2-9.
- 4. Harati-Sadegh M, Kohan L, Teimoori B, Mehrabani M, Salimi S. Analysis of polymorphisms, promoter methylation, and mRNA

http://mjiri.iums.ac.ir

Med J Islam Repub Iran. 2021 (17 Feb); 35:25. 8

expression profile of maternal and placental P53 and P21 genes in preeclamptic and normotensive pregnant women. J Biomed Sci. 2019;26(1):92.

- 5. Segerer S, Kämmerer U, Kapp M, Dietl J, Rieger L. Upregulation of chemokine and cytokine production during pregnancy. Gynecol Obstet Invest. 2009;67(3):145-50.
- 6. Harati ‐Sadegh M, Kohan L, Teimoori B, Mehrabani M, Salimi S. The effects of placental long noncoding RNA H19 polymorphisms and promoter methylation on H19 expression in association with preeclampsia susceptibility. Iubmb Life. 2020;72(3):413-25.
- 7. Challis JR, Lockwood CJ, Myatt L, Norman JE, Strauss III JF, Petraglia F. Inflammation and pregnancy. Reprod Sci. 2009;16(2):206-15.
- 8. Li M, Huang SJ. Innate immunity, coagulation and placenta-related adverse pregnancy outcomes. Thromb Res. 2009;124(6):656-62.
- 9. Desoye G, Hauguel-de Mouzon S. The human placenta in gestational diabetes mellitus: the insulin and cytokine network. Diabetes Care. 2007;30(Supplement 2):S120-S6.
- 10. Prins JR, Gomez-Lopez N, Robertson SA. Interleukin-6 in pregnancy and gestational disorders. J Reprod Immunol. 2012;95(1- 2): 1-14.
- 11. Paulesu L, King A, Loke Y, Cintorino M, Bellizzi E, Boraschi D. Immunohistochemical localization of IL-1 alpha and IL-1 beta in normal human placenta. Lymphokine Cytokine Res. 1991;10(6):443- 8.
- 12. Wang Z, Yunis E, De los Santos M, Xiao L, Anderson D, Hill J. T helper 1-type immunity to trophoblast antigens in women with a history of recurrent pregnancy loss is associated with polymorphism of the IL1B promoter region. Genes Immun. 2002;3(1):38-42.
- 13. Kwak ‐Kim J, Yang KM, Gilman ‐Sachs A. Recurrent pregnancy loss: a disease of inflammation and coagulation. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2009;35(4):609-22.
- 14. Prakash P, Victor D. Interleukin-1b gene polymorphism and its association with chronic periodontitis in South Indian population. Int J Genet Mol Biol. 2010;2(8):179-83.
- 15. Zur Hausen A, Crusius JBA, Murillo LS, Alizadeh BZ, Morré SA, Meijer CJ, et al. IL-1B promoter polymorphism and Epstein-Barr virus in Dutch patients with gastric carcinoma. Int J Cancer. 2003;107(5):866-7.
- 16. Kim JO, Lee WS, Lee BE, Jeon YJ, Kim YR, Jung SH, et al. Interleukin-1beta-511T> C genetic variant contributes to recurrent pregnancy loss risk and peripheral natural killer cell proportion. Fertil Steril. 2014;102(1):206-12. e5.
- 17. Leme Galvão LP, Menezes FE, Mendonca C, Barreto I, Alvim-Pereira C, Alvim-Pereira F, et al. Analysis of association of clinical aspects and IL1B tagSNPs with severe preeclampsia. Hypertens Pregnancy. 2016;35(1):112-22.
- 18. Schmid M, Haslinger P, Stary S, Leipold H, Egarter C, Grimm C. Interleukin-1 beta gene polymorphisms and preterm birth. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2012;165(1):33-6.
- 19. Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. Eur J Epidemiol. 2010;25(9):603-5.
- 20. Martorell-Marugan J, Toro-Dominguez D, Alarcon-Riquelme ME, Carmona-Saez P. MetaGenyo: a web tool for meta-analysis of genetic association studies. BMC Bioinform. 2017;18(1):563.
- 21. Cummings P. Methods for estimating adjusted risk ratios. Stata J. 2009;9(2):175-96.
- 22. Hefler LA, Tempfer CB, Bashford MT, Unfried G, Zeillinger R, Schneeberger C, et al. Polymorphisms of the angiotensinogen gene, the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene, and the interleukin-1β gene promoter in women with idiopathic recurrent miscarriage. Mol Hum Reprod. 2002;8(1):95-100.
- 23. Wang Z, Yunis E, De los Santos M, Xiao L, Anderson D, Hill J. T helper 1-type immunity to trophoblast antigens in women with a history of recurrent pregnancy loss is associated with polymorphism of the IL1B promoter region. Genes Immun. 2002;3(1):38.
- 24. Linjawi S, Li T-C, Laird S, Blakemore A. Interleukin-1 receptor antagonist and interleukin-1 beta polymorphisms in women with recurrent miscarriage. Fertil Steril. 2005;83(5):1549-52.
- 25. Sata F, Toya S, Yamada H, Suzuki K, Saijo Y, Yamazaki A, et al. Proinflammatory cytokine polymorphisms and the risk of preterm birth and low birthweight in a Japanese population. Mol Hum Reprod. 2009;15(2):121-30.
- 26. Ma X, Xu LJ, Wang J, Xian MM, Liu M. Association of IL ‐1β and

IL ‐6 gene polymorphisms with recurrent spontaneous abortion in a Chinese Han population. Int J Immunogenet. 2012;39(1):15-9.

- 27. Agrawal S, Parveen F, Faridi R, Prakash S. Interleukin-1 gene cluster variants and recurrent pregnancy loss among North Indian women: retrospective study and meta-analysis. Reprod Biomed Online. 2012;24(3):342-51.
- 28. Yılmaz Y, Verdi H, Taneri A, Yazıcı AC, Ecevit AN, Karakaş NM, et al. Maternal–fetal proinflammatory cytokine gene polymorphism and preterm birth. DNA Cell Biol. 2012;31(1):92-7.
- 29. Wang X, Jiang F, Liang Y, Xu L, Li H, Liu Y, et al. Interleukin-1beta-31C/T and -511T/C polymorphisms were associated with preeclampsia in Chinese Han population. PLoS One. 2014;9(9):e106919.
- 30. Awasthi S, Pandey M, Sundaram V, Dixit P. Association of TNF-α and IL-1 β gene polymorphisms with preterm birth-replication study and meta analysis. Int J Sci Res. 2015;4:1679-85.
- 31. Langmia IM, Apalasamy YD, Omar SZ, Mohamed Z. Impact of IL1B gene polymorphisms and interleukin 1B levels on susceptibility to spontaneous preterm birth. Pharmacog Genom. 2016;26(11):505-9.
- 32. Pereyra S, Bertoni B, Sapiro R. Interactions between environmental factors and maternal–fetal genetic variations: strategies to elucidate risks of preterm birth. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2016;202:20-5.
- 33. Nasr AS, El Azizy HM, Hassan S, Salem H, Diaa N. Interleukin-1βgene polymorphisms in preeclamptic Egyptian women. Middle East Fertil Soc J. 2017;22(4):285-9.
- 34. Ali SR, Paknejad Z, Mohammadkhanlou M, Daneshparvar M. Association of of IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1RN) and interleukin-1β genes (IL-1β) polymorphisms with recurrent pregnancy loss in Iranian Azeri women. Horm Mol Biol Clin Invest. 2017;33(3).
- 35. Wang T, Lu N, Cui Y, Tian L. Polymorphisms in interleukin genes and their association with the risk of recurrent pregnancy loss. Genes Genet. 2019;94(3):109-16.
- 36. Majcher S, Ustianowski P, Tarnowski M, Dziedziejko V, Safranow K, Pawlik A. IL-1β and IL-10 gene polymorphisms in women with gestational diabetes. J Mat Fet Neonat Med. 2019:1-6.
- 37. Reid JG, Simpson NA, Walker RG, Economidou O, Shillito J, Duffy SR, et al. The carriage of proinflammatory cytokine gene polymorphisms in recurrent pregnancy loss. Am J Reprod Immunol. $2001:45(1):35-40.$
- 38. Mohajertehran F, Afshari JT, Rezaieyazdi Z, Ghomian N. Association of single nucleotide polymorphisms in the human tumor necrosis factor-α and interleukin 1-β genes in patients with preeclampsia. Iran J Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2012:224-9.
- 39. Tavakkol Afshari Z, Rahimi HR, Ehteshamfar SM, Ganjali R, Tara F, Shapouri Moghadam A. Tumor necrosis factor-α and interleukin-1 β polymorphisms in preeclampsia. Iran J Immunol. 2016;13(4):309 - 16.
- 40. Kwak ‐Kim J, Park JC, Ahn HK, Kim JW, Gilman ‐Sachs A. Immunological modes of pregnancy loss. Am J Reprod Immunol. 2010;63(6):611-23.
- 41. Yockey LJ, Iwasaki A. Interferons and proinflammatory cytokines in pregnancy and fetal development. Immunity. 2018;49(3):397-412.
- 42. Menon R. Spontaneous preterm birth, a clinical dilemma: etiologic, pathophysiologic and genetic heterogeneities and racial disparity. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2008;87(6):590-600.
- 43. Razdaibiedina A, Khobzey M, Tkachenko V, Vorobiova I. Effects of single-nucleotide polymorphisms in cytokine, Toll-like receptor, and progesterone receptor genes on risk of miscarriage. Obstet Gynecol Int. 2018;2018.
- 44. Li J, Liu M, Zong J, Tan P, Wang J, Wang X, et al. Genetic variations in IL1A and IL1RN are associated with the risk of preeclampsia in Chinese Han population. Sci Rep. 2014;4(1):1-6.
- 45. Southcombe J, Redman C, Sargent I, Granne I. Interleukin ‐1 family cytokines and their regulatory proteins in normal pregnancy and preeclampsia. Clin Exp Immunol. 2015;181(3):480-90.
- 46. Wang Y, Liu L, Xu X, Wang J, Zhou H, Li T, et al. Association of interleukin-1β-511C/T promoter polymorphism with COPD risk: a meta-analysis. Genet Mol Res. 2015;14(2):4477-84.
- 47. Sun X, Cai H, Li Z, Li S, Yin W, Dong G, et al. Association between IL-1β polymorphisms and gastritis risk: A meta-analysis. Medicine. 2017;96(5).
- 48. Chen B, Luo M-x, Zhou X, Lv Y, Su G-q. Correlation between interleukin-1β-511 C/T polymorphism and gastric cancer in Chinese populations: a meta-analysis. Medical science monitor. Med Sci Mon

http://mjiri.iums.ac.ir

Med J Islam Repub Iran. 2021 (17 Feb); 35:25. 9

Int Med J Exp Clin Res. 2016;22:1742.

- 49. Bombell S, Mcguire W. Cytokine polymorphisms in women with recurrent pregnancy loss: meta ‐analysis. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol. 2008;48(2):147-54.
- 50. Zhang M, Xu J, Bao X, Niu W, Wang L, Du L, et al. Association between genetic polymorphisms in interleukin genes and recurrent pregnancy loss–a systematic review and meta-analysis. PloS One. 2017;12(1).