



Letter to the Editor, New Approach to Familial Homicide in Tehran, Iran during 2014-2019

Masoud Ghadipasha¹, Mehdi Forouzesh¹, Maryam Ameri², Seyed Morteza Tabatabaie³, Mansoure Heidari^{4*}

Received: 7 Jun 2021

Published: 19 Feb 2022

Conflicts of Interest: None declared

Funding: None

***This work has been published under CC BY-NC-SA 1.0 license.**

Copyright© Iran University of Medical Sciences

Cite this article as: Ghadipasha M, Forouzesh M, Ameri M, Tabatabaie SM, Heidari M. Letter to the Editor, New Approach to Familial Homicide in Tehran, Iran during 2014-2019. *Med J Islam Repub Iran*. 2022 (19 Feb);36.11. <https://doi.org/10.47176/mjiri.36.11>

Dear Editor

The family is viewed by most people as providing a loving environment, but for some, the family environment can be deadly and pernicious (1). Five million adults have experienced the killing of an immediate family member (2). According to the increasing trend of familial homicide in our country, Iran, in recent years, we overviewed different aspects of familial homicide to better understand characteristics of this social dilemma and help to develop further social policies and training programs for high-risk population.

During a 5-year period between April 2014 to March 2019, data of 138 cadavers were reviewed which were died due to familial homicide in Tehran. There were 75 (54.3%) females and the mean age of victims was 33.60 ± 14.90 (the mean age in male was 34.10 ($SD=14.80$) and in female was 33.14 ($SD=15.06$, $P=0.722$). There were 17 victims aged under 20 years (12.3 %), 84 victims between 21 and 40 years (60.9 %) and 37 victims more than 40 years (26.8 %). Of 138 cases, the most common murderers were husband (38%), brother (16.7%), father (8.7%), wife (8.0%), sonship (5.1%) and mother (1.4%). Intimate partner killing (46%) was the most common type of homicide and killing a wife was 5 times more than killing a husband. Sharp force trauma (50%) was the most common cause of death in victims and blunt trauma (22.5%) was in the second rank.

There were 14 victims (10.1%) with a history of substance abuse include opium (35.7%), stimulants (28.6%), opium and stimulant simultaneously (21.4%) and other drugs (14.3%). Of 138 victims, 4 (2.9%) cases had a history of chronic depression disease.

We found that the female to male ratio was 1.9 and the mean age was 33.60 ($SD=14.90$) that was similar to other studies (3-5). The majority of offender-victim relationships was an intimate partner (46.4 %) and the majority of the gender of offenders was the man. Prior researches showed that female is more vulnerable to be murdered by men in an intimate relationship (6-10). Although the type of relationship between intimate partners is an important factor in other countries and cohabitate partners are at more risk than married partners (9), but we have just faced married persons in terms of intimate partners due to our religious beliefs. In other words, we don't have any information about a homicide in intimate partners out of marriage. Nevertheless, other studies showed that women who have separated are at higher risk of homicide by an intimate partner than women in the current relationship (9, 10). Claudio et al. showed that female homicides accounted for 30.8%, 47.3%, and 22.4% of all homicides in Italy, Germany, and the U.S. in 2014, respectively. The majority of female homicides are related to intimate partner/ family-related violence (11). It seems there are no strong protective laws to defend women against domestic violence and honor killings.

Most studies show that poverty, unemployment, and marginalization are directly linked with violence (10). Psychological instability, violence and crime were found in all these categories of familicides (12). In a Systematic Literature Review, they coded methodological aspects of the studies. Familicides were almost exclusively committed by men and about half of the familicide cases led to the suicide of the offender. Mental health problems, relationship problems, and financial difficulties were preva-

Corresponding author: Dr Mansoure Heidari, Heidarimansoure83@yahoo.com

¹. Forensic Toxicology Department, Legal Medicine Research Center, Legal Medicine Organization, Tehran, Iran

². Department of Forensic Medicine, School of Medicine, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³. Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine, Aja University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁴. Department of Forensic Medicine, Legal Medicine Organization, Tehran, Iran

lent (13). The contradiction between culture and human rights continues in countries where penal codes provide immunity for when a murder is conducted in the name of honor. Countries like Lebanon and Jordan that permit such acts are silencing women and allowing the act to continue without objection (14). We can express that community should protect unsafe families and families with dangerous behaviors, also families with a history of domestic violence. Family homicides are more than a problem and becoming a catastrophic problem that should be considered a danger to the community. In addition, all members of the community should be trained to control their anger. Poor regulation and implementation of the provisions set out to protect women, especially where countries fail to take action and where the perpetrators of such crimes feel that their actions are morally and ethically legitimized by the culture codes, allow these homicides to be continued. With comprehensive study and investigation of victims' cases by categorizing and analyzing the information from all over the country, more comprehensive and fundamental solutions can be obtained.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. Mouzos J, Rushforth C. Family homicide in Australia. *Aust N Z Criminol*. 2003.
2. Hertz MF, Prothrow-Stith D, Chery C. Homicide survivors: Research and practice implications. *Am J Prev Med*. 2005;29(5):288-295.
3. Hwa HL, Pan CH, Shu GM, Chang CH, Lee TT, Lee JCI. Child homicide victims in forensic autopsy in Taiwan: A 10-year retrospective study. *Forensic Sci Int*. 2015;257:413-419.
4. Wilson M, Daly M. Spousal homicide risk and estrangement. *Violence Vict*. 1993;8(1):3.
5. Hotton T. Spousal violence after marital separation. *Juristat*. 2001.
6. Johnson H, Hotton T. Losing control: Homicide risk in estranged and intact intimate relationships. *Homicide Stud*. 2003;7(1):58-84.
7. Silverman RA, Kennedy LW. Relational distance and homicide: The role of the stranger. *J Crim L Criminol*. 1987;78:272.
8. Silverman RA, Mukherjee SK. Intimate homicide: An analysis of violent social relationships. *Behav Sci Law*. 1987;5(1):37-47.
9. Mouzos J, Shackelford TK. A comparative, cross-national analysis of partner-killing by women in cohabiting and marital relationships in Australia and the United States. *Aggress Behav*. 2004;30(3):206-216.
10. Green S. Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Women's Taskforce on Violence Report, 2000. *Aborig Isl Health Work*. 2001;25(1):8.
11. Terranova C, Zen M. Women victims of intentional homicide in Italy: New insights comparing Italian trends to German and U.S. trends, 2008-2014. *J Forensic Legal Med*. 2018 Jan;53:73-78.
12. Aho AL, Remahl A, Paavilainen E. Homicide in the western family and background factors of a perpetrator. *Scand J Public Health*. 2017;45(5):55-568.
13. Karlsson LC, Antfolk J, Putkonen H, Amon S, da Silva Guerreiro J, de Vogel V, et al. Familicide: A Systematic Literature Review. *Trauma Violence Abuse*. 2021;22(1):83-98.
14. Familial Homicide: A Silenced Cultural Crisis Impacting The Women Of The Middle East [Internet]. *Human Rights Pulse*. [cited 2021 Aug 25]. Available from: <https://www.humanrightspulse.com/mastercontentblog/familial-homicide-a-silenced-cultural-crisis-impacting-the-women-of-the-middle-east>